

# TRANSFERENCE OF MULTILINEAR OPERATORS

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS\* AND GUIDO WEISS\*

University of Missouri and Washington University

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of transference  $(k + 1)$ -tuples of strongly continuous mappings defined on an amenable group  $G$ . We use these tuples to transfer boundedness properties of multilinear operators from products of Lebesgue spaces into  $L^p$  and weak  $L^p$ .

## 0. Introduction and statement of results

Fix an integer  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $G$  be an amenable group and  $(M, d\mu)$  a measure space. For  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , let  $0 < p_j \leq \infty$ , and assume that  $p_0 = p$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_k}.$$

Assume that for any  $0 \leq j \leq k$  and any  $u \in G$ ,  $R_u^j$  is a bounded map from the Banach space  $L^{p_j}(M)$  into itself. We denote by  $\|R_u^j\|_{\text{op}}$  the operator norm of  $R_u^j : L^{p_j}(M) \rightarrow L^{p_j}(M)$ . We say that  $R_u^j$  is strongly continuous if for any sequence  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in the topology of  $G$ , we have  $\|R_{u_n}^j f - R_u^j f\|_{L^{p_j}(M)} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $f \in L^{p_j}(M)$ . We call the family  $(R_u^0, R_u^1, \dots, R_u^k)_{u \in G}$  a transference  $(k + 1)$ -tuple if the following are true:

(0.1) for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , the maps  $u \rightarrow R_u^j$  are strongly continuous.

(0.2)  $\sup\{\|R_u^j\|_{\text{op}}, u \in G\} = C_j < \infty$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ .

---

\*Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation  
1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42.

$$(0.3) \quad R_v^0 R_u^j f = R_{vu}^j f, \text{ for all } u, v \in G, 1 \leq j \leq k, \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{D},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is some dense subclass of all the spaces  $L^{p_j}(M)$  and we are implicitly assuming that the domain of any  $R_u^0$  includes the ranges of each of the  $R_v^j$ . [BPW] used transference couples, ( $k = 1$ ), to transfer boundedness properties of convolution and maximal operators. In this paper, we will use  $(k + 1)$ -tuples to transfer boundedness properties of multilinear operators from amenable groups into measure spaces. The general maximal transference presented in [BPW] can be extended to the multilinear setting, but this will not concern us in this paper.

We need to make the additional assumption that each  $R_u^0$  is multiplicative. More precisely, this means that  $R_u^0(fg) = (R_u^0 f)(R_u^0 g)$  whenever  $f, g$ , and  $fg$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}$ . This property is clearly satisfied if the  $R_u^0$ 's are given by actions on the points of  $M$ , i.e. for all  $u \in G$  there exist maps  $U_u : M \rightarrow M$ , such that

$$(0.4) \quad (R_u^0 f)(x) = f(U_{u^{-1}} x).$$

In this paper we shall, in fact, assume that (0.4) holds. In many settings (0.4) is a consequence of being multiplicative. Moreover, the restriction given by (0.4) is used explicitly for all the families  $R^j$  in the proof of the weak-type transference announced in Theorem 2. Let  $\lambda$  be left Haar measure on  $G$ . It is well known that if  $G$  is amenable with respect to left Haar measure  $\lambda$ , it is also amenable with respect to right Haar measure  $\rho$ . The spaces  $L^{p_j}(G)$  are defined with respect to left Haar measure  $\lambda$ . Consider the multilinear operator  $T$  on the group  $G$  defined by

$$(0.5) \quad T(g_1, \dots, g_k)(v) = \int_{G^k} K(u_1, \dots, u_k) g_1(u_1^{-1}v) \dots g_k(u_k^{-1}v) d\lambda(u_1) \dots d\lambda(u_k),$$

for  $g_j$  in some dense subspace of  $L^{p_j}(G)$ , where  $K$  is a kernel on  $G$  which may not be integrable. For  $k = 1$ ,  $T$  is a usual convolution operator but for  $k \geq 2$  it isn't. We transfer the operator  $T$  to an operator  $\tilde{T}$  defined by :

$$(0.6) \quad \tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x) = \int_{G^k} K(u_1, \dots, u_k) (R_{u_1}^1 f_1)(x) \dots (R_{u_k}^k f_k)(x) d\lambda(u_1) \dots d\lambda(u_k),$$

for  $f_j$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . We have the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $T$  be as in (0.5), where the  $R_u^j$ 's satisfy (0.1), (0.2), (0.3), and (0.4). Assume that  $T$  is a bounded operator from  $L^{p_1}(G) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$  with bound  $N$ . Then  $\tilde{T}$  can be extended to a bounded operator from  $L^{p_1}(M) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$  with bound no larger than  $NC_0C_1 \dots C_k$ .*

We denote by  $L^{p,\infty}(M)$  the space weak  $L^p(M)$  with quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} = \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha \left[ \mu(\{x \in M : |f(x)| > \alpha\}) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let us now consider the case where all the  $R^j$ 's are given by actions on points. That is, for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and for all  $u \in G$ , there exist maps  $U_u^j : M \rightarrow M$  such that the representations  $R_u^j$  have the special form

$$(0.7) \quad (R_u^j f)(x) = f(U_{u^{-1}}^j x).$$

In this case, we replace condition (0.3) by

$$(0.8) \quad U_{uv}^j f = U_u^j U_v^0 f \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, k, \text{ all } u, v \in G, \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We now have the following

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that the  $R_u^j$ 's satisfy (0.1), (0.2), (0.7), and (0.8). Assume that  $T$  given by (0.5) extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{p_1}(G) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(G) \rightarrow L^{p,\infty}(G)$  with norm  $N$ . Then  $\tilde{T}$  can be extended to a bounded operator  $L^{p_1}(M) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(M) \rightarrow L^{p,\infty}(M)$  with a bound no larger than  $NC_0C_1 \dots C_k$ .*

Finally, observe that an immediate consequence of (0.3) is

$$(0.9) \quad R_v^0 R_{v^{-1}}^0 R_u^j = R_u^j$$

for all  $u, v \in G$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

### 1. The proof of Theorem 1

We first assume that  $L = \text{support}(K)$  is compact in all variables and that  $K$  is bounded in absolute value by some constant  $C_K$  on  $L$ . Once the required estimate is proved for such kernels  $K$ , with bounds independent of their support and their size, a density argument will give the conclusion for all kernels  $K$ .

The amenability of  $G$  is equivalent to Leptin's condition: given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $B$  a compact subset of  $G$ , there exists an open subset  $V$  of  $G$ , such that  $\bar{B}$  is compact and

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda(B^{-1}V) \leq (1 + \epsilon)\lambda(V).$$

For a given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $L = \text{support}(K)$ , fix such a  $V$ . Also fix  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{D}$ . The multiplicative property of  $R_v^0$  and (0.9) imply

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x) = \int_{G^k} K(u_1, \dots, u_k) R_v^0 \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k (R_{v^{-1}u_j}^j f_j) \right] (x) d\lambda(u_1) \dots d\lambda(u_k)$$

for all  $v$  in  $G$ . By the continuity of  $R_v^0$ , we can “move”  $R_v^0$  outside the  $k$ -fold integral in (1.2). Since  $\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$  is in  $L^p(M)$ , (with bounds that depend on  $K$ ) and  $R_v^0$  is bounded on  $L^p(M)$  uniformly in  $v \in G$ , the following estimate holds

$$(1.3) \quad \int_M |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x)|^p d\mu(x) \leq C_0^p \int_M \left| \int_{G^k} K(u_1, \dots, u_k) \prod_{j=1}^k (R_{v^{-1}u_j}^j f_j)(x) d\lambda(u_1) \dots d\lambda(u_k) \right|^p d\mu(x),$$

for all  $v$  in  $G$ . Next, we average inequality (1.3) over  $V$  and we interchange the order of

integration to the right hand side of the averaged inequality. We obtain

$$(1.4) \quad \int_M |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x)|^p d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C_0^p}{\lambda(V)} \int_M \int_V \left| \int_{G^k} K(u_1, \dots, u_k) \prod_{j=1}^k (R_{v^{-1}u_j}^j f_j)(x) d\lambda(u_1) \dots d\lambda(u_k) \right|^p d\lambda(v) d\mu(x).$$

We denote by  $\chi_A$  the characteristic function of the set  $A$ . Observe that we can replace  $(R_{v^{-1}u_j}^j f_j)(x)$  by  $h_j(u_j^{-1}v, x)$  in (1.4), where  $h_j(w, x) = (R_w^j f_j)(x)\chi_{L^{-1}V}(w^{-1})$ . Clearly  $h_j(\cdot, x) \in L^{p_j}(G)$  for all  $x \in M$ . By the boundedness of  $T$  from  $L^{p_1}(G) \times \dots \times L^{p_k}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ , we deduce the estimate

$$(1.5) \quad \int_M |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x)|^p d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C_0^p N^p}{\lambda(V)} \int_M \prod_{j=1}^k \|h_j(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{p_j}(G)}^p d\mu(x).$$

At this point, we apply Hölder's inequality with exponents

$$1 = \frac{1}{p_1/p} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k/p}$$

to the right hand side of (1.5). We first assume that all  $p_j < \infty$  for all  $j$ . We have

$$(1.6) \quad \int_M |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x)|^p d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C_0^p N^p}{\lambda(V)} \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \int_M \|(R^j f_j)(x)\chi_{L^{-1}V}\|_{L^{p_j}(G)}^{p_j} d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{p_j}}.$$

Interchanging the order of integration in (1.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x)|^p d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{C_0^p N^p}{\lambda(V)} \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \int_{L^{-1}V} \int_M |R_{u_j}^j f_j|^{p_j} d\mu du_j \right)^{\frac{p}{p_j}} \\ & \leq N^p \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k C_j^p}{\lambda(V)} \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \int_{L^{-1}V} \int_M |f_j|^{p_j} d\mu du_j \right)^{\frac{p}{p_j}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= N^p \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k C_j^p}{\lambda(V)} \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda(L^{-1}V)^{\frac{p}{p_j}} \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}}^p \\
(1.7) \quad &\leq (1 + \epsilon) N^p \prod_{j=0}^k C_j^p \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}}^p,
\end{aligned}$$

by Leptin's condition (1.1). Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, the required conclusion follows. If some of the  $p_j$ 's, but not all of them, are equal to  $\infty$ , factor out all the  $L^\infty$  norms from the second integral in (1.5) and since  $\frac{1}{p}$  is the sum of the remaining  $\frac{1}{p_j}$ 's, we can apply Hölder's inequality to these  $p_j$ 's. The rest of the proof is the same. Finally if  $p_j = \infty$  for all  $j$ , then the argument above can be easily adapted to this case. The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

## 2. The proof of Theorem 2

We now suitably modify the proof of Theorem 1 to obtain Theorem 2. This modification is precisely the one used in Theorem (2.6) in [CW]. In this reference there is a discussion that motivates the arguments given which is certainly applicable here.

Let  $\epsilon, L$  and  $V$  be as before. We first assume that all of the  $p_j$ 's are finite. Fix  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $A_\alpha = \{x \in M : |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x)| > \alpha\}$  and for  $v \in V$ , let  $B_\alpha(v) = \{x \in M : |\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(U_v^0 x)| > \alpha\}$ . It is easy to check that  $B_\alpha = R_{v^{-1}}^0[A_\alpha]$ . By the boundedness of  $R^0$  on  $L^p(M)$  we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad (\mu(A_\alpha))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_0 (\mu(B_\alpha(v)))^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for all  $v \in V$ . Averaging the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power of (2.1) over  $V$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(A_\alpha) &\leq \frac{C_0^p}{\lambda(V)} \int_V \mu(B_\alpha(v)) d\lambda(v) = \frac{C_0^p}{\lambda(V)} \int_V \int_M \chi_{B_\alpha(v)}(x) d\mu(x) d\lambda(v) \\
(2.2) \quad &= \frac{C_0^p}{\lambda(V)} \int_M \int_V \chi_{D_\alpha(x)}(v) d\lambda(v) d\mu(x) = \frac{C_0^p}{\lambda(V)} \int_M \lambda(D_\alpha(x)) d\mu(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $D_\alpha(x) = \{v \in V : x \in B_\alpha(v)\}$ . By property (0.6) we have  $D_\alpha(x) = \{v \in V : \int_{G^k} K(u_1, \dots, u_k) f_1(U_{u_1^{-1}v} x) \dots f_k(U_{u_k^{-1}v} x) d\lambda(u_1) \dots d\lambda(u_k) > \alpha\}$ . We can

now replace  $f_j(U_{u_j^{-1}v}x)$  by  $h_j(u_j^{-1}v, x)$ , where  $h_j(w, x) = f_j(U_w x)\chi_{L^{-1}V}(w)$ . Clearly  $h_j(\cdot, x) \in L^{p_j}(G)$  for all  $x \in M$ . The assumed weak type estimate for  $T$  gives

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda(D_\alpha(x)) \leq \frac{N^p}{\alpha^p} \prod_{j=1}^k \|h_j(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{p_j}(G)}^p.$$

Using (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(A_\alpha) &\leq \frac{C_0^p N^p}{\lambda(V)\alpha^p} \int_M \prod_{j=1}^k \|h_j(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{p_j}(G)}^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{C_0^p N^p}{\lambda(V)\alpha^p} \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \int_M \|h_j(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{p_j}(G)}^{p_j} d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{p_j}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Hölder's inequality as before. By Fubini's Theorem and the boundedness of the maps  $R^j$  on  $L^{p_j}(M)$ , we obtain the following bound for (2.4)

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &N^p \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k C_j^p}{\lambda(V)\alpha^p} \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \int_{L^{-1}V} \int_M |f_j|^{p_j} d\mu du_j \right)^{\frac{p}{p_j}} \\ &= N^p \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k C_j^p}{\lambda(V)\alpha^p} \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda(L^{-1}V)^{\frac{p}{p_j}} \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(M)}^p \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{N^p}{\alpha^p} \prod_{j=1}^k C_j^p \prod_{j=0}^k \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(M)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Leptin's condition (1.1) in the last inequality above. Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, (2.4) and (2.6) imply the required weak type inequality. The removal of the restriction on the support and the size of  $K$  is standard. Finally, the case where some or all of the  $p_j$ 's are infinite is treated as in the previous section.

### 3. Remarks and Applications

We begin by observing that the kernels  $K(u_1, \dots, u_k)$  of the previous sections can depend on  $l$  variables only, say  $u_1, \dots, u_l$ , while the remaining  $k - l$  variables can be linear

functions of the first  $l$  variables. Let us consider the case where  $u_{l+1}, \dots, u_k$  are related to the variable  $u_l$  by the relation  $\frac{u_l}{b_l} = \frac{u_{l+1}}{b_{l+1}} = \dots = \frac{u_k}{b_k}$ , where  $b_l, \dots, b_k$  are nonzero real numbers. More precisely, let

$$(3.1) \quad K = K_0(u_1, \dots, u_l) \delta_{\frac{u_l}{b_l} = \frac{u_{l+1}}{b_{l+1}} = \dots = \frac{u_k}{b_k}},$$

where  $1 \leq l < k$ ,  $\delta$  is the Dirac distribution, and  $K_0$  is a function of  $l$  variables. For this kernel  $K$ , the  $k$ -fold integral (0.5) defining  $T$  reduces to an  $l$ -fold integral. Assuming first that  $K_0$  is compactly supported and bounded, the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 apply as before with minor modifications. Then a density argument will give the conclusion for general  $K_0$ .

We are now going to give some applications of our Theorems. Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  with counting measure,  $M = \mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure, and  $K(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  a complex-valued function on  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ , or a distribution of the type (3.1). For  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , let  $a_j$  be multipliers for  $L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R})$  and define the operators  $R_u^j$  acting on  $L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R})$  as follows:

$$(R_u^0 f)(x) = f(x - u) = (\hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i u \xi})^\vee, \quad (R_u^j f)(x) = (\hat{f}(\xi) a_j(\xi) e^{2\pi i u \xi})^\vee,$$

where we are using the definition  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$ . It is easy to see that the family  $(R_u^0, \dots, R_u^k)_{u \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies (0.1)-(0.4), and thus it is a transference  $(k+1)$ -tuple as the ones we considered. Assume that the operator

$$(3.2) \quad T(g_1, \dots, g_k)(n) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} K(m_1, \dots, m_k) g_1(n - m_1) \dots g_k(n - m_k)$$

maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{Z})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then Theorem 1 implies that the transferred operator

$$\tilde{T}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} K(m_1, \dots, m_k) (R_{m_1}^1 f_1)(x) \dots (R_{m_k}^k f_k)(x),$$



maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . In particular, if the multipliers  $m_j(\xi)$  have the special form  $e^{2\pi i d_j \xi}$  for some  $d_j$  real constants, and  $T$  maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{Z})$  into  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , then by Theorem 2,  $\tilde{T}$  maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . An interesting situation arises when the kernel  $K$  is the distribution

$$(3.3) \quad K(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \frac{1}{n_1} \delta_{\frac{n_1}{b_1} = \frac{n_2}{b_2} = \cdots = \frac{n_k}{b_k}},$$

where  $b_j$  are nonzero and pairwise distinct numbers, and the notation in (3.3) means that all the variables  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  have collapsed to being multiples of the single variable  $n_1$ . For  $p \geq 1$ , it is a difficult open question whether the operator  $T$  in (3.2) maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{Z})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{Z})$ . Replacing  $\frac{1}{n_1}$  by  $\frac{1}{n_1^\epsilon}$  or by  $\frac{1}{n_1(\log n_1)^{1+\epsilon}}$  in (3.3) for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have examples of multilinear operators for which we know that the operator  $T$  in (3.2) is bounded.

Next, we turn to an application regarding fractional integrals. Let  $G = \mathbb{R}^1$  and  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , both with usual Lebesgue measure. For  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  functions on  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  let

$$I_\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_k)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_1(x - \theta_1 t) \cdots g_k(x - \theta_k t) |t|^{\alpha-1} dt,$$

where  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$  are fixed nonzero and pairwise distinct numbers. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_k > 1$ , and assume that their harmonic sum  $p$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \leq p < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ . By Theorem 1 in [G] we have that  $I_\alpha$  maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\frac{1}{q} + \alpha = \frac{1}{p}$ . Fix a unit vector  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ . Using the maps  $R_u^0 = \text{Identity}$ ,  $(R_u^j f)(x) = f(x - u\theta_j \omega)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , we obtain that the transferred operator

$$\tilde{I}_{\alpha,\omega}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_1(x - \theta_1 t\omega) \cdots g_k(x - \theta_k t\omega) |t|^{\alpha-1} dt,$$

maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  when  $\frac{1}{q} + \alpha = \frac{1}{p}$ . Here we are using the fact that the kernel of  $I_\alpha$  has the special form  $K(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \frac{|u_1|}{\theta_1} |\alpha-1| \delta_{\frac{u_1}{\theta_1} = \frac{u_2}{\theta_2} = \cdots = \frac{u_k}{\theta_k}}$ . Compare this result with Theorem 1 in [G] in dimension  $n$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BPW] E. Berkson, M. Paluszyński and G. Weiss, *Transference couples and their applications to convolution operators and maximal operators*, to appear.
- [CM] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Non-linear Harmonic Analysis, Operator Theory and P.D.E.*, Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, edited by E.M. Stein, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1986).
- [CW] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Transference Methods in Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference in Mathematics Series, Number 31, published by the AMS, 1–59.
- [G] L. Grafakos, *On multilinear fractional integrals*, *Studia Math.* **102**, 49–56.
- [SW] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1971).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ST LOUIS, MISSOURI