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#### Abstract

Jacobians of maps on the Heisenberg group are shown to map suitable group Sobolev spaces into the group Hardy space $H^{1}$. From this result and a weak* convergence theorem for the Hardy space $H^{1}$ of the Heisenberg group, a compensated compactness property for these Jacobians is obtained.


## 0. Introduction

We investigate compensated compactness properties of Jacobians of maps on the Heisenberg group and we prove results analogous to those for the Jacobians of maps on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Let $\mathbb{H}^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the Lie group with multiplicative structure $(z, t) \cdot\left(z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(z+z^{\prime}, t+t^{\prime}+2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)$ where $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right), z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \overline{z_{j}^{\prime}}$. This is the $n^{\text {th }}$ order Heisenberg group. It can be shown that the group operation is $C^{\infty}$ on the manifold $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ is a locally compact Lie group. Let $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}, t\right)$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. Write $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$ and define the vector fields:

$$
X_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+2 y_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad Y_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-2 x_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad T=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}
$$

It turns out that the $X_{j}, Y_{j}$ and $T$ form a basis for the left invariant vector fields on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. They satisfy the commutation relations $\left[X_{j}, Y_{j}\right]=-4 T, j=1, \ldots, n$ and all other

[^0]commutators vanish. The group $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ is equipped with a natural dilation structure $r(z, t)=$ $\left(r z, r^{2} t\right), r>0$, which is consistent with the group multiplication. The associated norm $|(z, t)|=\left(|z|^{4}+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}$ is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to this group of dilations. We denote by $B_{r}\left(u_{0}\right)$ the Heisenberg group ball $\left\{u \in \mathbb{H}^{n}:\left|u^{-1} u_{0}\right|<r\right\}$.

Haar measure on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. Convolution on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(u)=\int f(v) g\left(v^{-1} u\right) d v=\int f\left(u v^{-1}\right) g(v) d v
$$

Fix $\varphi$ be a smooth bump on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ with $\int \varphi d u \neq 0$. For a distribution $f$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ define

$$
f^{+}(u)=\sup _{\delta>0}\left|\left(f * \varphi_{\delta}\right)(u)\right| \quad \text { where } \quad \varphi_{\delta}(u)=\delta^{-2 n-2} \varphi\left(\delta^{-1} u\right)
$$

If $\gamma>\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+3}$, the Hardy space $H^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)=H^{\gamma}$ is the set of all $f$ such that $f^{+} \in L^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. An alternative definition of $H^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ can be given via the atomic decomposition. See [FOS] or [CW] for details.

Given a $C^{1} \operatorname{map} F=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ from $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, define

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(F)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{1} f_{1} & L_{1} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{1} f_{n}  \tag{0.3}\\
L_{2} f_{1} & L_{2} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{2} f_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
L_{n} f_{1} & L_{n} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{n} f_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $L_{j}$ is either $X_{j}$ or $Y_{j}$. We would like to show that $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ maps a product of suitable group Sobolev spaces into the spaces $H^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ for $1 \geq \gamma>\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+3}$. The analogous result for the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has been proved by P. L. Lions and Y. Meyer when $\gamma=1$ and extended by [CLMS] for $1 \geq \gamma>\frac{n}{n+1}$. Note that the lower bound for $\gamma$ in both cases is $\frac{d}{d+1}$, where $d$ is the homogeneous dimension of the group. Our first result is

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 2$ and for $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $1<p_{j}<2 n+2$. Let $\gamma=\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right)^{-1}$ be the harmonic mean of the $p_{j}$ 's. We suppose that $\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+3}<\gamma \leq 1$. Then, there exists
a constant $C>0$ that depends only on $n$ and on the $p_{j}$ 's such that for every map $F=$ $\left(f_{1}, \ldots f_{n}\right)$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\operatorname{Jac}(F)\|_{H^{\gamma}} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left\|X_{k} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}}+\left\|Y_{k} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}}\right)\right] . \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1. Proof of Theorem 1

Note that since $\gamma$ is the harmonic mean of the $p_{j}$ 's, Hölder's inequality implies that $|\operatorname{Jac}(F)|^{\gamma}$ is integrable. The novelty provided by our Theorem is that any smooth maximal function of $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ raised to the power $\gamma$ is also integrable.

Two basic ingredients are needed for the proof. The first is that whenever $F=$ $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ is a compactly supported $C^{1}$ map on the Heisenberg group, $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ has integral zero. This is explained in the next section. The second ingredient is the Poincaré (local Sobolev) inequality (1.0) stated below.

Let $B$ be a Heisenberg group ball. We denote by $2 B$ its double and by $|B|$ its the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem. Let $q$ and $r$ be given such that $\frac{1}{2 n+2}<\frac{1}{r}<1$ and $\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2 n+2} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ that depends only on $n, q$ and $r$ such that for all Heisenberg group balls $B$ and for all $f$ with mean value zero over $B$, the following inequality is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B}|f(u)|^{q} d u\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C|B|^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2 n}\left[\left(\int_{2 B}\left|\left(X_{j} f\right)(u)\right|^{r} d u\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\left(\int_{2 B}\left|\left(Y_{j} f\right)(u)\right|^{r} d u\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right] \tag{1.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $m=\frac{1}{2 n+2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r} \geq 0$.
The theorem above is true even when the ball $2 B$ on the right hand side of the inequality is replaced by the ball $B$. This more subtle result has been proved by Jerison [JE] when $1 \leq q=r<\infty$ and recently by $\mathrm{Lu}[\mathrm{L} 1],[\mathrm{L} 2]$ in the remaining cases, including the endpoint case $m=0$. The global form of (1.0) can be found in [FOS] and [VSC]. The local case stated above can be obtained in different ways. We refer the reader to [L2] for a proof.

To prove Theorem 1 we only need the Poincaré inequality above for $m>0$. We will need the case $m=0$ to prove the sharper endpoint result that the Jacobian (0.3) maps into the space weak $H^{\gamma}$ for $\gamma=\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+3}$. (See next section).

Let $F$ be a compactly supported $C^{1}$ map from the Heisenberg group into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Our estimates will be independent of the function $F$ and a density argument will give the required inequality for general functions $F$ for which the right hand side of (0.4) is finite. Fix $\psi$ a smooth bump with support inside the unit ball $|u|<1$ and also fix $u_{0}=\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. We need to show that $\sup _{\delta>0}\left|\operatorname{Jac}(F) * \psi_{\delta}\right| \in L^{\gamma}$. We have

$$
\left(\operatorname{Jac}(F) * \psi_{\delta}\right)\left(u_{0}\right)=\iint_{\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Jac}(F)(z, t) \varphi_{\delta}(z, t) d z d \bar{z} d t
$$

where $\varphi_{\delta}(z, t)=\varphi_{\delta}^{u_{0}}(z, t)=\delta^{-2 n-2} \psi\left(\frac{z_{0}-z}{\delta} \frac{t_{0}-t-2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}_{0}}{\delta^{2}}\right)$. Let $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$. Start with the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Jac}(F) \varphi_{\delta}=-\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{1}\left(L_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{1} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{1} f_{n} \\
f_{1}\left(L_{2} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{2} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{2} f_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{1}\left(L_{n} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{n} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{n} f_{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
&  \tag{1.1}\\
&+\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{1}\left(f_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{1} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{1} f_{n} \\
L_{2}\left(f_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{2} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{2} f_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
L_{n}\left(f_{n} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{n} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{n} f_{n}
\end{array}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which follows from the multilinearity of the Jacobian. Let $c_{1}=\int_{B_{\delta}} f_{1} d u$ where $B_{\delta}=$ $B_{\delta}\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{H}^{n}:\left|u^{-1} u_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}$. We replace $f_{1}$ by $f_{1}-c_{1}$ in (1.1) and we note that $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ remains unchanged. Next we integrate over the Heisenberg group. Note that the second determinant in (1.1) is the Jacobian of the map $\left(f_{1} \varphi_{\delta}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$. A crucial fact, explained in the next section, is that Jacobians of compactly supported maps have integral zero. Using this fact we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \operatorname{Jac}(F) \varphi_{\delta} d u=-\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(f_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(L_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{1} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{1} f_{n}  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(f_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(L_{2} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{2} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{2} f_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\left(f_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(L_{n} \varphi_{\delta}\right) & L_{n} f_{2} & \ldots & L_{n} f_{n} \\
4
\end{array}\right) d u
$$

Expand the determinant in (1.2) along its first column. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \operatorname{Jac}(F) \varphi_{\delta} d u\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\left(f_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(L_{j} \varphi_{\delta}\right) M_{j}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) d u\right|, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{j}$ is a minor. We treat only one term of the sum in (1.3), say the first one, since the remaining terms are similar. The minor $M_{1}$ is a sum of terms of the form $\pm \prod_{j=2}^{n} L_{m_{j}} f_{j}$ where $\left\{m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}=\{2, \ldots, n\}$. We need to estimate the $L^{\gamma}$ (quasi)norm of the supremum over all $\delta>0$ of a typical term of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}}\left|f_{1}-c_{1}\right|\left|L_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right| \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left|L_{m_{j}} f_{j}\right| d u \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $\left|\left(L_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right)(u)\right| \leq C \delta^{-2 n-3}$. To see this, recall that $u_{0}=\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and let $z_{0}=\left(x_{j}^{0}+i y_{j}^{0}\right)$ and $z=\left(x_{j}+i y_{j}\right)$. Then $\left(X_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right)(z, t)=\frac{1}{\delta^{2 n+2}}\left\{-\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{2 y_{1}^{0}-2 y_{1}}{\delta^{2}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right\}$, where the function inside the curly brackets is evaluated at $\left(\frac{z_{0}-z}{\delta}, \frac{t_{0}-t-2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}_{0}}{\delta^{2}}\right)$. Since $\psi$ is supported in the unit ball we deduce that $\left|y_{1}^{0}-y_{1}\right| \leq \delta$ and therefore the expression inside the curly brackets above is bounded by $C \delta^{-1}$. We obtain the estimate $\left|\left(X_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right)(u)\right| \leq$ $C \delta^{-2 n-3}$ and similarly $\left|\left(Y_{1} \varphi_{\delta}\right)(u)\right| \leq C \delta^{-2 n-3}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$. Let's now estimate (1.4) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \delta^{-2 n-3} \int_{B_{\delta}}\left|f_{1}-c_{1}\right| \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left|L_{m_{j}} f_{j}\right| d u \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $1 \leq j \leq n$ select $1<s_{j}<p_{j}$ and let $q=\left(1-\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{1}{s_{j}}\right)^{-1}$. Because of our assumption on $\gamma$ and on the $p_{j}$ 's, one can check that $0<\frac{1}{s_{1}}-\frac{1}{2 n+2}<\frac{1}{q}<1$. We now apply Hölder's inequality to (1.5) with exponents

$$
\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{s_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{s_{n}}=1
$$

We estimate (1.5) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \delta^{-2 n-3}\left\|f_{1}-c_{1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(B_{\delta}\right)} \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left\|L_{m_{j}} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{s_{j}}\left(B_{\delta}\right)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to use the Poincaré inequality (1.0). Since we have $\frac{1}{2 n+2}<\frac{1}{s_{1}}<1$ and $\frac{1}{s_{1}}-\frac{1}{2 n+2}<\frac{1}{q}$, the hypotheses are satisfied. We obtain that (1.6) is bounded by
$C \delta^{-2 n-3}\left(\delta^{2 n+2}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{2 n+2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{s_{1}}\right)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left\|X_{k} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{s_{1}}\left(2 B_{\delta}\right)}+\left\|Y_{k} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{s_{1}}\left(2 B_{\delta}\right)}\right]\right] \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left\|L_{m_{j}} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{s_{j}}\left(B_{\delta}\right)}$ which equals

$$
\begin{gather*}
C \delta^{-(2 n+2) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{s_{j}}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left\|X_{k} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{s_{1}}\left(2 B_{\delta}\right)}+\left\|Y_{k} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{s_{1}}\left(2 B_{\delta}\right)}\right]\right] \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left\|L_{m_{j}} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{s_{j}}\left(B_{\delta}\right)}  \tag{1.7}\\
\leq C^{\prime} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(\left(\left|X_{k} f_{j}\right|^{s_{j}}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{j}}}\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(\left(\left|Y_{k} f_{j}\right|^{s_{j}}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{j}}}\left(u_{0}\right)\right]\right] \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where by $g^{*}$ we denote the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $g$ on the Heisenberg group defined as follows:

$$
g^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)=\sup _{\epsilon>0} \frac{1}{\left|B_{\epsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{\epsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)}|g(u)| d u .
$$

(1.8) now controls the supremum over all $\delta>0$ of (1.4). Summing (1.8) over all possible permutations $\left\{m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}=\{2, \ldots, n\}$ we get the estimate below for the first term of the sum in (1.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}(n-1)!\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(\left(\left|X_{k} f_{j}\right|^{s_{j}}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{j}}}\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(\left(\left|Y_{k} f_{j}\right|^{s_{j}}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{j}}}\left(u_{0}\right)\right]\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar estimates hold for the other terms of the sum in (1.3). In fact, the proof is exactly the same, except that the index 1 is replaced by some $2 \leq l \leq n$. Now (1.9) majorizes the supremum over all $\delta>0$ of (1.3). Since $s_{j}<p_{j}$, Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{p_{n}}=\frac{1}{\gamma}$ and the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on $L^{t}$ for $t>1$ will give that the $L^{\gamma}$ (quasi)norm of (1.9) is bounded above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n, p_{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left\|X_{k} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}}+\left\|Y_{k} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}}\right]\right] . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\left\|\sup _{\delta>0}\left|\int \operatorname{Jac}(F) \varphi_{\delta} d u\right|\right\|_{L^{\gamma}}$ is dominated by (1.10) and the proof of (0.4) is now complete.

## 2. Remarks on Theorem 1

We begin this section by indicating why $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ has integral zero whenever $F$ is a compactly supported $C^{1}$ function from the Heisenberg group into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We expand $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ along a column, say the first one. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Jac}(F)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\left(L_{j} f_{1}\right) M_{j}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we integrate over the Heisenberg group. Using that $F$ is compactly supported and that the $L_{j}$ 's are skew-adjoint, $\left(L_{j}^{*}=-L_{j}\right)$, an integration by parts gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \operatorname{Jac}(F) d u=-\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} f_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} L_{j}\left[M_{j}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)\right] d u \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $L_{j}\left[M_{j}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)\right]$ is a sum of $(n-1)$ ! terms so the sum in (2.2) consists of a total of $n$ ! signed terms. Note that since $L_{j} \in\left\{X_{j}, Y_{j}\right\}$, all the commutators $\left[L_{j}, L_{k}\right]=0$. This means that the vector fields $L_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ commute with each other and the order of the indices $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$ in the expression $L_{i_{1}} L_{i_{2}} \ldots L_{i_{n}}$ is irrelevant. An easy induction argument, shows that the sum in (2.2) is identically equal to zero! We omit the details. Let us state this observation as a proposition.

Proposition. Let $k \leq N$ and suppose that $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}$ are smooth skew adjoint vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ which commute with each other. Then for all $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ compactly supported $C^{1}$ functions on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the Jacobian $\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{j} f_{l}\right)$ has integral zero.

Let us point out that there is a more esoteric reason for the apperently artificial cancellation discussed above. As the reader may have guessed this reason must involve some general way of integrating by parts, i.e. some form of Stokes' Theorem. For instance,
in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ let $Z_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$. Pick a ball $B$ properly containing the support of $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{j} f_{l}\right) d x=\int_{B} \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{j} f_{l}\right) d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{N}=\int_{B} d f_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{N}= \\
\int_{B} d\left(f_{1} d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{N}\right)=\int_{\partial B} f_{1} d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{N}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

since $f_{1}$ vanishes on $\partial B$. We used Stokes' Theorem in the penultimate equality above.
Finally we note that the proof of Theorem 1 can be modified to give the following endpoint result. We denote by $\|g\|_{H^{\gamma, \infty}}$ the weak $L^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ (quasi)norm of $g^{+}$.

Theorem 1a. In the endpoint case where the harmonic mean of $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ is $\gamma=\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+3}$, there exits a constant $C>0$ that depends only on $n$ and on the $p_{j}$ 's, such that for all $F$ $=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ as before, the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\operatorname{Jac}(F)\|_{H^{\gamma, \infty}} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left\|X_{k} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}}+\left\|Y_{k} f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}}\right)\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sketch of proof: We set $q=\left(1-\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{j}}\right)^{-1}$. Since $p_{1}<2 n+2$, we conclude that $1<q<\infty$. Up to and including estimate (1.5) the proof is similar to the previous section. Then we obtain (1.6) by applying Hölder's inequality to (1.5) with exponents $q$, $s_{2}=p_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}=p_{n}$. The Poincaré inequality (1.0) with $m=0$ will give (1.8) where the $s_{j}$ 's are replaced by the $p_{j}$ 's. Therefore, the pointwise estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n, p_{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(\left(\left|X_{k} f_{j}\right|^{p_{j}}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}}\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(\left(\left|Y_{k} f_{j}\right|^{p_{j}}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}}\left(u_{0}\right)\right]\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for the supremum over all $\delta>0$ of (1.3). We obtain the required weak type inequality by applying to (2.4) an argument similar to that in [G], page 77 .

We end this section by noting that for some typle $F=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ of compactly supported smooth functions, $\operatorname{Jac}(F)$ doesn't have vanishing first order moments and hence it
can't lie in $H^{\gamma}$ for $\gamma \leq \frac{2 n+2}{2 n+3}$. Therefore, Theorem 1a is sharp since the weak space $H^{\gamma, \infty}$ cannot be replaced by the (strong) space $H^{\gamma}$.

## 3. Weak convergence in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$

In this section we wish to extend the theorem of Jones and Journé, [JJ], on weak* convergence in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The proof we are going to give follows the ideas of the original proof by [JJ] and our only contribution is its extension to general normal spaces of homogeneous type. Following R. Macías and C. Segovia, [MS], we say that a space of homogeneous type $(X, d, \mu)$ is normal if there exist two positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} r \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq c_{2} r \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every ball $B(x, r)$ with radius $r$ and $\mu(\{x\})<r<\mu(X)$. Since $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, or the Heisenberg group, with the usual structures are not normal spaces in the sense of Macías and Segovia, we need to modify their definition by introducing a power of $\beta$ in (3.1). We call a space of homogeneous type $(X, d, \mu) \beta$-normal if for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $\beta$ the following inequality holds

$$
c_{1} r^{\beta} \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq c_{2} r^{\beta}
$$

for every $r$ with $\mu(\{x\})<r<\mu(X)$. Fortunately, a result of [MS] asserts that a given quasimetric $d$ may always be replaced by another quasimetric $\delta$, which induces the same topology, such that the space $(X, \delta, \mu)$ is normal. $\delta(x, y)$ is the "measure" distance which is defined as $\delta(x, y)=\inf \{\mu(B): B$ is a d-ball containing $x$ and $y\}$ and the topologies induced by $d$ and $\delta$ coincide. Then by raising the quasimetric $\delta$ to the power $1 / \beta$, we obtain a third quasimetric $\delta_{\beta}=\delta^{1 / \beta}$ such that $\left(X, \delta_{\beta}, \mu\right)$ is $\beta$-normal and the topologies induced by $\delta_{\beta}$ and $\delta$ coincide. Thus for all $\beta>0$, every space of homogeneous type $(X, d, \mu)$ has a topologically equivalent quasimetric $\delta_{\beta}$ under which it is $\beta$-normal. Furthermore by another result of $[\mathrm{MS}]$ every $d$-Lipschitz function of order $\alpha$ is equal a.e. to a $\delta_{\beta}$-Lipschitz function of order $\beta \alpha$ ([MS] prove this for $\beta=1$ but raising the metric to the power $1 / \beta$ explains the index $\beta \alpha$ ).

We assume that $(X, d, \mu)$ is a $\beta$-normal space of homogeneous type. The spaces $H^{1}(X)$, $V M O(X)$ and $B M O(X)$ are defined in [CW].

Under the assumption of $\beta$-normality for $X$, the theorem of [JJ] on weak* convergence in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ carries over to $H^{1}(X)$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $H^{1}(X)$ such that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(X)} \leq 1$ for all $n$ and such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ a.e. Then $f$ is in $H^{1}(X)$ and for all $\varphi \in V M O(X)$

$$
\int_{X} f_{n} \varphi d \mu \rightarrow \int_{X} f \varphi d \mu .
$$

Proof: We may suppose that $\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}},\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$, $\operatorname{support}(\varphi)$ is compact and that $\|\varphi\|_{\text {Lip } 1} \leq 1 . V M O$ is defined as the closure in $B M O$ of the continuous functions with compact support. To see that it is sufficient to suppose $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip} 1$, consider a compact set $K \subseteq X$ containing the support of $\varphi$ and note that by the Stone Weierstrass Theorem Lip $1 \cap C(K)$ is uniformly dense in $C(K)$.

Fix $\varepsilon>0$. We need to find an $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0},\left|\int\left(f_{n}-f\right) \varphi d \mu\right| \leq \varepsilon$. By Fatou's lemma $\|f\|_{L^{1}}=\left\|\lim _{n}\left|f_{n}\right|\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq \lim _{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq \lim _{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq 1$. Thus $f \in L^{1}$ and we can therefore find a $\delta>0$ such that $\int_{A}|f| d \mu \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $\mu(A) \leq C \delta e^{\varepsilon^{-1}}$. We select $\delta$ smaller than $\varepsilon^{\beta+1} e^{-\varepsilon^{-1}}$.

By Egorov's Theorem, there exists a set $E$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $X-E$ and $\mu(E)<\delta$. Let $\tau=\max \left(0,1+\varepsilon \log \left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}\right)$, where $\left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}$ denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of the characteristic function of the set $E$. Note that $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ and $\tau \equiv 1$ a.e. on $E$. We will show that $\varphi \tau \in B M O(X)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi \tau\|_{B M O} \leq C \varepsilon \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming (3.3) we complete the proof of Theorem 2. Clearly $E$ is contained in the support of $\tau$. However, the support of $\tau$ is not very much larger than $E$; in fact, the weak
type $(1,1)$ estimate for the maximal function gives that $\mu(\operatorname{support}(\tau)) \leq C e^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu(E) \leq$ $C e^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \delta$. Hence $\int_{\operatorname{support}(\tau)}|f| d \mu \leq \varepsilon$ by our choice of $\delta$. We now select $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ the uniform norm of $f_{n}-f$ on $X-E$ is smaller than $\varepsilon$. Then for $n \geq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{X}\left(f_{n}-f\right) \varphi d \mu\right| & \leq\left|\int_{X}\left(f_{n}-f\right) \varphi(1-\tau) d \mu\right|+\left|\int_{X} f \varphi \tau d \mu\right|+\left|\int_{X} f_{n} \varphi \tau d \mu\right| \\
& \leq\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X-E)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}}+\int_{\text {support }(\tau)}|f| d \mu+\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\|\varphi \tau\|_{B M O} \\
& \leq \varepsilon+\varepsilon+C \varepsilon=(C+2) \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $f_{n}$ converges weakly* to $f$. Let us now show (3.3). The estimate

$$
\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B}|\varphi \tau| d \mu \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty} \mu(\operatorname{support}(\tau))} \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)} C e^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \delta \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{\beta+1}}{\mu(B)} \leq C \varepsilon
$$

holds when $B$ is a ball with $\mu(B) \geq \varepsilon^{\beta}$. Assume therefore that $\mu(B) \leq \varepsilon^{\beta}$. If $\varphi_{B}$ is the average of $\varphi$ over $B$, for $x \in B$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi(x)-\varphi_{B}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B}|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| d \mu(y) \leq \\
& \frac{C}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} d(x, y) d \mu(y) \leq C \operatorname{radius}(B) \leq C \mu(B)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq C \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

We used that $\varphi$ is Lipschitz and that $X$ is $\beta$-normal in the inequalities above. Now assume for a moment that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tau\|_{B M O} \leq C \varepsilon \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.3) follows easily, since for all balls $B$ we have:

$$
\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B}\left|\varphi \tau-\varphi_{B} \tau_{B}\right| d \mu \leq \frac{\left|\tau_{B}\right|}{\mu(B)} \int_{B}\left|\varphi-\varphi_{B}\right| d \mu+\frac{\left|\varphi_{B}\right|}{\mu(B)} \int_{B}\left|\tau-\tau_{B}\right| d \mu \leq C \varepsilon
$$

It suffices therefore to prove (3.4). Since $\max (0, g)=\frac{g+|g|}{2}$ and $\||g|\|_{B M O} \leq 2\|g\|_{B M O}$, (3.4) reduces to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{11}{\left\|\log \left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}\right\|_{B M O} \leq C .} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\delta<1$, the function $\left(\left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}\right)^{\delta}$ is an $A_{1}$-weight with a bound $B_{\delta}$ that depends only on $\delta$ and not on $E$. For a proof of this see [S2], Ch. V par. 5.2. The proof presented there for Euclidean spaces can be easily adapted to spaces of homogeneous type. Therefore $\left(\left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}\right)^{\delta}$ is an $A_{2}$-weight with bound $B_{\delta}^{2}$. By the comment in [S2] Ch. V par. 1.8, the logarithm of an $A_{2}$-weight is in $B M O$ with norm bounded by the logarithm of the $A_{2}$ bound of the weight. The same argument applies in the setting of a space of homogeneous type. We obtain that $\log \left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}=\frac{1}{\delta} \log \left(\left(\chi_{E}\right)^{*}\right)^{\delta}$ is in $B M O$ with norm $\leq \frac{2}{\delta} \log B_{\delta}$. This concludes the proof of (3.5) and hence of Theorem 2. A different proof of (3.5) can be found in $[\mathrm{CR}]$ and [JO].

## 4. Compensated Compactness properties of Jacobians

In this section, we give a corollary of Theorems 1 and 2 . We say that a sequence of functions converges weakly* in $H^{1}$ if it converges in the weak ${ }^{*}$ topology of $H^{1}=(V M O)^{*}$. For a sequence of functions $F_{k}: \mathbb{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we use the notation $F_{k}=\left(f_{1}^{k}, \ldots, f_{n}^{k}\right)$. Let $L_{j}$ be $X_{j}$ or $Y_{j}$ as before. We have the following

Corollary. (Compensated compactness property of Jacobians on the Heisenberg group.) Let $B>0$ be a constant. Suppose that for some $p_{j}, 1<p_{j}<\infty$ with harmonic mean 1, and for some sequence of functions $F_{k}: \mathbb{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the bound below holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, l=1}^{n}\left\|L_{j} f_{l}^{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{l}}} \leq B \quad \text { for all } \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then some subsequence $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k}\right)$ converges weakly* in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. Furthermore, if for all $j, l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the sequences $L_{j} f_{l}^{k}$ converge to $g_{j l}$ a.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{j l}\right)$ is in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ converges weakly* to $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{j l}\right)$ as $k^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: By (4.1) and Theorem 1, the sequence $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k}\right)$ satisfies $\left\|\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C B$ for all $k$. $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ is the dual of $\operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. The Banach-Alaoglu Theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ which converges weakly* in $H^{1}$. If $L_{j} f_{l}^{k} \rightarrow g_{j l}$ a.e.
as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(g_{j l}\right)$ a.e. and hence $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(g_{j l}\right)$ a.e. as $k^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2 we conclude that $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{j l}\right)$ is in $H^{1}$ and that the weak* limit of $\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ is $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{j l}\right)$. The proof of the Corollary is now complete.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his useful comments.

## References

[BCK] C. A. Berenstein, D. C. E. Chang and S. G. Krantz, Analysis on the Heisenberg Group, book to appear (1994).
[CG] R. Coifman and L. Grafakos, Hardy space estimates for multilinear operators I, Rev. Mat. Iber. 8 (1992), 45-67.
[CLMS] R. R. Coifman, P. L. Lions, Y. Meyer and S. Semmes, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, C. R. Acad Sci Paris 309 (1991), 945-949.
[CR] R. R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, Another characterization of BMO, Proc. AMS 79 (1980), 249-254.
[CRW] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 611-635.
[CW] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in Analysis, Bull. AMS 83 (1977), 569-645.
[FES] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, $H^{p}$ spaces in several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-193.
[FOS] G. Folland and E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous groups, Mathematical Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1982).
[G] L. Grafakos, Hardy space estimates for multilinear operators II, Rev. Mat. Iber. 8 (1992), 69-92.
[IL] T. Iwaniec and A. Lutoborski, Integral estimates for null Langrangians, Archive for Rat. Mech. and Anal. 125 (1993), 25-79.
[JE] D. Jerison, The Poincaré Inequality for Vector Fields satisfying Hörmander's Condition, Duke Math J. 53 (1986), 503-523.
[JO] J.-L. Journé, Calderón-Zygmund operators, Pseudo-Differential Operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag 994 (1980).
[JJ] P. W. Jones and J.-L. Journé, On weak convergence in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, Proc. AMS, to appear.
[K] S. G. Krantz, Analysis on the Heisenberg Group and Estimates for Functions in Hardy Classes of Several Complex Variables, Math. Ann. 244 (1979), 243-262.
[KV] A. Korányi and H.M. Reimann, Quasiconformal mappings on the Heisenberg group, Inv. Math. 80 (1985), 309-338.
[L1] G. Lu, Weihgted Poincaré and Sobolev Inequalities for Vector Fields satisfying Hörmander's condition and applications, Rev. Mat. Iber. 8 (1992), 367-439.
[L2] G. Lu, The sharp Poincaré inequality for free vector fields: An endpoint result, to appear Rev. Mat. Iber. (1994).
[MS] R. Macías and C. Segovia, Lipschitz Functions on Spaces of Homogeneous type, Adv. in Math. 33 (1989), 257-270.
[O] P. J. Olver, Hyperjacobians, determinant ideals and weak solutions to variational problems, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 95A (1983), 317-340.
[S-C] L. Saloff-Coste, Théorémes de Sobolev et inégalités de Trudinger sur certains groupes de Lie, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 306 (1988), 305-308.
[S1] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1970).
[S2] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1993).
[SW] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1971).
[VSC] N. Th. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste and T. Coulhon, Analysis and Geometry on Groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK (1992).

Department of Mathematics, Washington University, Saint-Louis, MO 63130, USA


[^0]:    *Work partially supported by the National Science Foundation.
    **Work partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9007491.
    Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 42

