

# ON MULTILINEAR FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS

Yale University

ABSTRACT. In  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we prove  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_K}$  boundedness for the multilinear fractional integrals  $I_\alpha(f_1, \dots, f_K)(x) = \int f_1(x - \theta_1 y) \dots f_K(x - \theta_K y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy$  where the  $\theta_j$ 's are nonzero and distinct. We also prove multilinear versions of two inequalities about fractional integrals and a multilinear Lebesgue differentiation theorem.

**1. Introduction.** Although it is not known whether the bi(sub)linear maximal function

$$M(f, g)(x) = \sup_{N>0} \frac{1}{2N} \int_{-N}^N |f(x+t)g(x-t)| dt$$

or the bilinear Hilbert transform

$$H(f, g)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int f(x+t)g(x-t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

map  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^1) \times L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}^1) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbf{R}^1)$  boundedness into  $L^1$  for the corresponding multilinear fractional integrals can be obtained.

Throughout this note,  $K$  will denote an integer  $\geq 2$  and  $\theta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, K$  will be fixed, distinct and nonzero real numbers. We are going to be working in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\alpha$  will be a fixed real number strictly between 0 and  $n$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{f}$  the  $K$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_K)$  and by  $I_\alpha$  the  $K$ -linear fractional integral operator defined as follows:

$$I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) = \int f_1(x - \theta_1 y) \dots f_K(x - \theta_K y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy.$$

When  $K = 1$  the operators  $I_\alpha$  are the usual fractional integrals as studied in [ST]. We also denote by  $M(\mathbf{f})$  the  $K$ -sublinear maximal function

$$M(\mathbf{f})(x) = \sup_{N>0} (\Omega_n N^n)^{-1} \int |f_1(x - \theta_1 y)| \dots |f_K(x - \theta_K y)| dy$$

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

where  $\Omega_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . It is trivial to check that for any positive  $p_1, \dots, p_K$  with harmonic mean  $s > 1$ ,  $M$  maps  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_K}$  into  $L^s$ . If we denote by  $f^*$  the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of  $f$ , then  $M(\mathbf{f})$  is dominated by the product  $C_{\theta_k} ((f_1^{p_1/s})^*)^{s/p_1} \dots ((f_1^{p_K/s})^*)^{s/p_K}$  and hence its boundedness follows from Hölder's inequality and the  $L^s$  boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. This argument breaks down when  $s = 1$  but a slight modification of it gives that  $M$  maps into weak  $L^1$  in this endpoint case. It is conceivable however that  $M$  map into  $L^1$  since it carries  $K$ -tuples of compactly supported functions into compactly supported functions. This problem remains unresolved. The  $L^p \times L^q \rightarrow L^r$  boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform  $H(f, g)$  is more subtle and it remains unresolved even in the case  $r > 1$ .

In this note, we study the easier problem of the multilinear fractional integrals. Our first result concerns the  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_K} \rightarrow L^r$  boundedness of  $I_\alpha$  for  $r \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $s$  be the harmonic mean of  $p_1, \dots, p_K > 1$  and let  $r$  be such that the identity  $1/r + \alpha/n = 1/s$  holds. Then  $I_\alpha$  maps  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_K}$  into  $L^r$  for  $n/(n + \alpha) \leq s < n/\alpha$  (equivalently  $1 \leq r < \infty$ ).*

Note that in the case  $K = 1$ , the corresponding range of  $s$  is the smaller interval  $1 < s < n/\alpha$  (equivalently  $n/(n - \alpha) < r < \infty$ ).

When  $K = 1$ , the following theorem has been proved by Hirschman [HI] for periodic functions and by Hedberg [HE] for positive functions.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $p_j$  be positive real numbers and let  $s > 1$  be their harmonic mean. Then for  $q, r > 1$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ , the following inequality is true:*

$$\|I_{\alpha\theta}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^r} \leq C \|I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^q}^\theta \prod_k \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}}^{1-\theta} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{q} + \frac{1-\theta}{s}.$$

In the endpoint case  $s = n/\alpha$ , Trudinger [T] for  $\alpha = 1$ , and Strichartz [STR] for other  $\alpha$  proved exponential integrability of  $I_\alpha$  when  $K = 1$ . Hedberg [HE] gave a simpler proof of theorem 3 below when  $K = 1$ .

By  $\omega_{n-1}$  we denote the area of the unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ . The factor  $L$  in the exponent below is a normalizing factor and should be there by homogeneity.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $s = n/\alpha$  be the harmonic mean of  $p_1, \dots, p_K > 1$ . Let  $B$  be a ball of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and let  $f_j \in L^{p_j}(B)$  be supported in the ball  $B$ . Then for any  $\gamma < 1$ , there exists a constant  $C_0(\gamma)$  depending only on  $n$ , on  $\alpha$ , on the  $\theta_j$ 's and on  $\gamma$ , such that the following inequality is true:*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_B e^{\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} \gamma \left( \frac{L I_\alpha(f_1, \dots, f_K)}{\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \dots \|f_K\|_{L^{p_K}}} \right)^{n/(n-\alpha)}} dx \leq C_0(\gamma) R^n \quad \text{where} \quad L = \prod_k |\theta_k|^{n/p_k}.$$

All the comments in this paragraph refer to the case  $K = 1$ . [HMT] (for  $\alpha = 1$ ) and later Adams [A] (for all  $\alpha$ ) showed that inequality (1.1) cannot hold if  $\gamma > 1$ . Moser [M] showed exponential integrability of  $n\omega_{n-1}^{1/n-1}(|\phi(x)|/\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^n})^{n/n-1}$  suggesting that theorem 3 be true in the endpoint case  $\gamma = 1$ . (Use formula (18) page 125 in [ST] to show that Moser's result follows from an improved theorem 3 with  $\gamma = 1$ .) In fact, Adams [A] proved inequality (1.1) in the endpoint case  $\gamma = 1$  and also deduced the sharp constants for Moser's exponential inequality for higher order derivatives. Chang and Marshall [CM] proved a similar sharp exponential inequality concerning the Dirichlet integral.

**2. Proof of theorem 1.** We denote by  $|B|$  the measure of the set  $B$  and by  $\chi_A$  the characteristic function of the set  $A$ . We also use the notation  $s' = s/(s-1)$  for  $s \geq 1$ .

We consider first the case  $s \geq 1$ . We will show that  $I_\alpha$  maps  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_K} \rightarrow L^{r,\infty}$ . The required result when  $s > 1$  is going to follow from an application of the Marcinkiewitz interpolation theorem. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $f_j \geq 0$  and that  $\|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}} = 1$ . Fix a  $\lambda > 0$  and define  $\mu > 0$  by  $L^{-1}(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(\alpha-n)s'+n})^{1/s'} \mu^{-n/r} = \frac{\lambda}{2}$  where  $\omega_{n-1}$  and  $L$  are as in theorem 3. Hölder's inequality and our choice of  $\mu$  give that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\alpha^\infty(\mathbf{f})(x) &= \int_{|y|>\mu} f_1(x - \theta_1 y) \dots f_K(x - \theta_K y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy \\
&\leq \left\| \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) \right\|_{L^s(y)} \left\| |y|^{\alpha-n} \chi_{|y|>\mu} \right\|_{L^{s'}} \\
(2.1) \quad &\leq \prod \|f_k(x - \theta_k y)\|_{L^{p_k}(y)} \left( \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(\alpha-n)s'+n} \right)^{1/s'} \mu^{n(\alpha/n-1+1/s')} = \lambda/2
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $I_\alpha^0(\mathbf{f})(x) = \int_{|y|\leq\mu} f_1(x - \theta_1 y) \dots f_K(x - \theta_K y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy$ . We compute its  $L^s$  norm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_\alpha^0(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^s} &\leq \left\| \left( \int (\prod f_k)^s |y|^{\alpha-n} \chi_{|y|\leq\mu} dy \right)^{1/s} \left( \int 1^{s'} |y|^{\alpha-n} \chi_{|y|\leq\mu} dy \right)^{1/s'} \right\|_{L^s} \\
&\leq C \mu^{\alpha/s'} \left( \iint (\prod f_k)^s |y|^{\alpha-n} \chi_{|y|\leq\mu} dx dy \right)^{1/s} \\
(2.2) \quad &\leq C \mu^{\alpha/s'} \left( \prod \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}}^s \int_{|y|\leq\mu} |y|^{\alpha-n} dy \right)^{1/s} = C \mu^{\alpha/s'} \mu^{\alpha/s} = C \mu^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) the set  $\{x : I_\alpha^\infty(\mathbf{f})(x) > \lambda/2\}$  is empty. This fact together with Chebychev's inequality and (2.2) gives us the following inequality:

$$|\{x : I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) > \lambda\}| \leq |\{x : I_\alpha^0(\mathbf{f})(x) > \lambda/2\}| \leq 2^s \lambda^{-s} \|I_\alpha^0\|_{L^s}^s \leq C \lambda^{-s} \mu^{s\alpha} = C_{\theta_k} \lambda^{-r}$$

which is the required weak type estimate for  $I_\alpha$ .

We now do the case  $n/(n + \alpha) \leq s \leq 1$ . The corresponding range of  $r$ 's is  $1 \leq r \leq n/(n - \alpha)$ . Assume that  $K = 2$  and that  $p_1 \geq p_2 > 1$ . Also assume that  $r = 1$  first. Since  $s < n/\alpha$  we must have that  $p_2 < n/\alpha$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^1} &= \iint f_1(x - \theta_1 y) f_2(x - \theta_2 y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dx dy \\
&= \int f_1(x) \int f_2(x - (\theta_2 - \theta_1)y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy dx \\
(2.3) \quad &= |\theta_2 - \theta_1|^{-\alpha} \int f_1(x) I_\alpha(f_2)(x) dx \leq C_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \|I_\alpha(f_2)\|_{L^{p_1'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 < p_2 < n/\alpha$ , we can apply theorem 1, Ch. V on fractional integrals in [ST] to bound (2.3) by  $C_{\theta_2, \theta_1} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}}$ . The case for a general  $r > 1$  follows by interpolating between the endpoint case  $r = 1$  and the case  $r$  close to  $\infty$ . Suppose now that the theorem is true for  $K - 1$ ,  $K \geq 3$ . We will show that it true for  $K$ . Again we first do the case  $r = 1$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_K > 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^1} &= \iint f_1(x - \theta_1 y) \dots f_K(x - \theta_K y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dx dy \\
&= \int f_1(x) \int f_2(x - (\theta_2 - \theta_1)y) \dots f_K(x - (\theta_K - \theta_1)y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy dx \\
(2.4) \quad &= \prod_{k \neq 1} |\theta_k - \theta_1|^{-\alpha} \int f_1(x) I_\alpha(f_2, \dots, f_K)(x) dx \leq C_{\theta_k} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \|I_\alpha(f_2, \dots, f_K)\|_{L^{p_1'}}
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $s_1$  by  $1/s_1 = 1/s - 1/p_1$ . Since  $r = 1$ , we have that  $1/p_1' + \alpha/n = 1/s_1$ . We can apply the induction hypothesis only if we have that  $n/(n + \alpha) \leq s_1 < n/\alpha$ . This inequality follows from the identity  $1 + \alpha/n = 1/s$  which relates  $s$  and  $r = 1$ . From our induction hypothesis we get that (2.4) is bounded by  $C_{\theta_j} \prod \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}}$ . The case  $r \geq 1$  follows by interpolation.

### 3. Proof of theorem 2.

As in the proof of theorem 1, fix  $f_j \geq 0$  such that  $\|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}} = 1$ . Like [HE], split

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha\theta}(\mathbf{f})(x) &= \int_{|y| < \delta} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha\theta-n} dy + \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha\theta-n} dy \leq \\
&\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y| \sim \delta 2^{-m}} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha\theta-n} dy + \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha-n} |y|^{(\theta-1)\alpha} dy \leq \\
&\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\delta 2^{-m})^{\alpha\theta} \int_{|y| \sim \delta 2^{-m}} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{-n} dy + \delta^{(\theta-1)\alpha} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\delta^{\alpha(\theta-\epsilon)}M(\mathbf{f})(x) + \delta^{\alpha(\theta-1)}I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x).$$

Now choose  $\delta = (I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x)/M(\mathbf{f})(x))^{1/\alpha}$  to get  $I_{\alpha\theta}(\mathbf{f})(x) \leq C(I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x))^\theta (M(\mathbf{f})(x))^{1-\theta}$ . Hölder's inequality with exponents  $1/r = 1/(\frac{s}{1-\theta}) + 1/(\frac{q}{\theta})$  will give  $\|I_{\alpha\theta}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^r} \leq C\|I_\alpha^\theta(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^{q/\theta}} \|M(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^{s/(1-\theta)}} = C\|I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^q}^\theta \|M(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^s}^{1-\theta} \leq C\|I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^q}^\theta$  by the boundedness of the maximal function  $M$  on  $L^q$ . This concludes the proof of theorem 2.

**4. Proof of theorem 3.** A simple dilation argument shows that if we know theorem 3 for a specific value of  $R = R_0$  with a constant  $C'_0(\gamma)$  on the right hand side of (1.1), then we also know it for all other values of  $R$  with constant  $C'_0(\gamma)(R/R_0)^n$ . We select  $R_0 = 1/P$  where  $P = 2 \min |\theta_k|^{-1}$  and we will assume that the radius of  $B$  is  $R_0$ . Furthermore, we can assume that the  $f_j$ 's satisfy  $f_j \geq 0$  and  $\|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}} = 1$ . Now fix  $x \in B$ . The same argument as in theorem 2 with  $\theta = 1$  gives that

$$(4.1) \quad I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) \leq C\delta^\alpha M(\mathbf{f})(x) + \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy.$$

Since all  $f_k$  are supported in the ball  $B$  and  $x \in B$  the integral in (4.1) is over the set  $\{y : \delta \leq |y| \leq PR_0 = 1\}$ . Hölder's inequality with exponents  $p_1, \dots, p_K$  and  $\frac{n}{n-\alpha}$  gives

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\delta \leq |y| \leq 1} \prod f_k(x - \theta_k y) |y|^{\alpha-n} dy \leq \prod \|f_k(x - \theta_k y)\|_{L^{p_k}(y)} \left( \int_{\delta \leq |y| \leq 1} |y|^{-n} dy \right)^{(n-\alpha)/n} = L^{-1} \left( \omega_{n-1} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{(n-\alpha)/n}.$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2) we get:

$$(4.3) \quad I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) \leq C\delta^\alpha M(\mathbf{f})(x) + L^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^n \right)^{(n-\alpha)/n}.$$

The choice  $\delta = 1$  gives  $I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) \leq CM(\mathbf{f})(x)$  for all  $x \in B$  and therefore the selection  $\delta = \delta(x) = \epsilon(I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x)(CM(\mathbf{f})(x))^{-1})^{1/\alpha}$  will satisfy  $\delta \leq 1$  for all  $\epsilon \leq 1$ . (4.3) now implies

$$I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) \leq \epsilon^\alpha I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) + L^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \ln \left( \frac{(CM(\mathbf{f})(x))^{n/\alpha}}{\epsilon^n I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x)^{n/\alpha}} \right) \right)^{(n-\alpha)/n}.$$

Algebraic manipulation of the above gives:

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} \gamma (LI_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x))^{n/(n-\alpha)} \leq \ln \left( \frac{(CM(\mathbf{f})(x))^{n/\alpha}}{\epsilon^n I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x)^{n/\alpha}} \right)$$

where we set  $\gamma = (1 - \epsilon^\alpha)^{n/(n-\alpha)}$ . We exponentiate (4.4) and we integrate over the set  $B_1 = \{x \in B : I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x) \geq 1\}$  to obtain

$$\int_{B_1} e^{\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} \gamma (LI_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x))^{n/(n-\alpha)}} dx \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{B_1} \frac{(CM(\mathbf{f})(x))^{n/\alpha}}{I_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x)^{n/\alpha}} dx \leq \frac{C_1}{\epsilon^n} \int M(\mathbf{f})(x)^{n/\alpha} dx \leq \frac{C_2}{\epsilon^n}.$$

The last inequality follows from the boundedness of the maximal function of  $\mathbf{f}$  on  $L^{n/\alpha}$ . The integral of the same exponential over the set  $B_2 = B - B_1$  is estimated trivially by

$$\int_{B_2} e^{\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} \gamma (LI_\alpha(\mathbf{f})(x))^{n/(n-\alpha)}} dx \leq e^{\frac{n}{\omega_{n-1}} L^{n/(n-\alpha)}} |B_2| \leq C_3 \Omega_n R_0^n = C_4.$$

Adding the integrals above over  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  we obtain the required inequality with a constant  $C'_0(\gamma) = \max(C_2, C_4)(1 + (1 - \gamma^{(n-\alpha)/n})^{-n/\alpha})$ . The constant  $C_0(\gamma)$  in the statement of theorem 3 is then  $C'_0(\gamma)R_0^{-n} = C'_0(\gamma)P^n$ .

We obtain the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $B$ ,  $f_k$ ,  $p_k$ , and  $s$  as in theorem 3. Then  $I_\alpha(f_1, \dots, f_K)$  is in  $L^q(B)$  for every  $q > 0$ . In fact the following inequality is true:*

$$\|I_\alpha(f_1, \dots, f_K)\|_{L^q(B)} \leq C \prod_k \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}}$$

for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $q$  on  $n$  on  $\alpha$  and on the  $\theta_j$ 's.

The corollary follows since exponential integrability of  $I_\alpha$  implies integrability to any power  $q$ . (Here  $\gamma$  is fixed  $< 1$ .)

### 5. A multilinear differentiation theorem.

We end this note by proving the following multilinear Lebesgue differentiation theorem. Let  $f_j \in L^{p_j}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and suppose that their harmonic mean is  $s \geq 1$ . Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(\mathbf{f})(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \epsilon^n} \int_{|y| \leq \epsilon} f_1(x - \theta_1 y) \dots f_K(x - \theta_K y) dy = f_1(x) \dots f_K(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

The case  $s = 1$  is a consequence of the weak type inequality  $|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M(\mathbf{f})(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \dots \|f_1\|_{L^{p_K}}$  which is easily obtained from  $|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : M(\mathbf{f})(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^K |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : (f_j)^*(x) > (\epsilon_{j-1}/\epsilon_j)^{p_j}\}| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^K (\epsilon_{j-1}/\epsilon_j)^{-p_j} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}}$  after minimizing over all  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_K > 0$ . (Take  $\epsilon_0 = \lambda$ .) The standard argument presented in [SWE], page 61, will prove that the sequence  $\{T_\epsilon(\mathbf{f})(x)\}_{\epsilon > 0}$  is Cauchy for almost all  $x$  and therefore it converges. Since for continuous  $f_1, \dots, f_K$  it converges to the value of their product at the

point  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , to deduce the general case it will suffice to show that  $\{T_\epsilon(\mathbf{f})\}_{\epsilon>0}$  converges to the product of the  $f_j$ 's in the  $L^s$  norm as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . (Then some subsequence will converge to the product a.e.) Denoting by  $(\tau_y f)(x) = f(x - y)$  the translation of  $f$  by  $-y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\epsilon(\mathbf{f}) - f_1 \cdots f_K\|_{L^s} &\leq \frac{1}{\Omega_n \epsilon^n} \int_{|y| \leq \epsilon} \left\| \prod_j \tau_{\theta_j y} f_j - \prod_j f_j \right\|_{L^s} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Omega_n \epsilon^n} \int_{|y| \leq \epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^K \|\tau_{\theta_j y} f_j - f_j\|_{L^{p_j}} \prod_{k \neq j} \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}} dy \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $|y| \rightarrow 0$  since the last integrand is a continuous function of  $y$  which vanishes at the origin. The last inequality above follows by adding and subtracting  $2K - 2$  suitable terms and applying Hölder's inequality  $K$  times.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] D. Adams, *A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives*, Annals of Math. **128** (1988), 385-398.
- [CM] S.-Y. A. Chang and D. E. Marshall, *On a sharp inequality concerning the Dirichlet integral*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 1015-1033.
- [CG] R. R. Coifman and L. Grafakos, *Hardy space estimates for multilinear operators I*, to appear in Revista Matematica Iberoamericana.
- [G] L. Grafakos, *Hardy space estimates for multilinear operators II*, to appear in Revista Matematica Iberoamericana.
- [HI] I. I. Hirschman Jr., *A convexity theorem for certain groups of transformations*, J. Analyse Math. **2** (1953), 209-218.
- [HE] L. I. Hedberg, *On certain Convolution Inequalities*, Proceedings of the AMS, **36** (1972), 505-510.
- [HMT] J. A. Hempel, G. R. Morris and N. S. Trudinger, *On the sharpness of a limiting case of the Sobolev embedding theorem*, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. **3** (1970), 369-373.
- [M] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1971), 1077-1092.
- [ST] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 1970.
- [SWE] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *An introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 1971.
- [STR] R. S. Strichartz, *A note on Trudinger's extension of Sobolev's inequalities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** (1972), 841-842.
- [T] N. S. Trudinger, *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473-483.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, BOX 2155 YALE STATION, NEW HAVEN, CT  
06520-2155