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#### Abstract

We study the boundedness of bilinear Fourier integral operators on products of Lebesgue spaces. These operators are obtained from the class of bilinear pseudodifferential operators of Coifman and Meyer via the introduction of an oscillatory factor containing a real-valued phase of five variables $\Phi\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ which is jointly homogeneous in the phase variables $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$. For symbols of order zero supported away from the axes and the antidiagonal, we show that boundedness holds in the local- $L^{2}$ case. Stronger conclusions are obtained for more restricted classes of symbols and phases.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

We initiate the study of a class of operators that extend the classical Fourier integral operators to the bilinear setting. The results in this work are of introductory nature but they indicate that there is probably a rich and extensive underlying theory that awaits to be developed. The present work only touches on certain aspects of the theory.

The results of this article extend known results concerning bilinear pseudodifferential operators; these operators have been introduced and extensively studied by Coifman and Meyer [CM1], [CM2], [CM3]. They have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\sigma}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2 n}} \sigma\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \widehat{f}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{f}_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are smooth functions with compact support on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\sigma$ is symbol of $3 n$ real variables, usually taken to be in some Hörmander class. Here $\widehat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of the function $f$ defined by $\widehat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i x \cdot \xi} d x$. A classical theorem of Coifman and Meyer [CM3] states that if $\sigma$ is a symbol in the Hörmander class $S^{0}$ uniformly in $x$, then the operator $P_{\sigma}$ admits a bounded extension on products of Lebesgue spaces whose indices are related as in Hölder's inequality. An extension of this theorem to Lebesgue spaces with indices $p<1$ including some endpoint cases was obtained by Grafakos and Torres [GT1] and in some special cases by Kenig and Stein [KS].

A bilinear pseudodifferential operator can also be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\sigma}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} e^{2 \pi i\left(\left(x-y_{1}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}+\left(x-y_{2}\right) \cdot \xi_{2}\right)} \sigma\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are smooth functions with compact support. Written in this form, we may allow the symbol $\sigma$ to also depend (smoothly) on the variables $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$. This extra dependence does not present any difficulties in the theory; in fact the aforementioned CoifmanMeyer bilinear multiplier theorem is also valid for symbols of the form $\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ that depend smoothly and have compact support in the variables $y_{1}, y_{2}$. The results in this article are of local nature and for this reason the symbols we consider indeed have compact support in the variables $x, y_{1}, y_{2}$.

Looking at the bilinear pseudodifferential operator written in the form (2), it is only a matter of introducing an appropriate oscillatory factor to create a bilinear Fourier integral operator. To set the framework for this theory, we first recall some definitions.

We assume that we are given a smooth function $b\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$, a real number $m$, and a compact subset $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $b$ is supported in $Q \times Q \times Q$ in the first three variables and all multiindices $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ in $\left(\mathbf{Z}^{+}\right)^{n}$, there exists a constant $C=C_{|\gamma|,\left|\gamma_{1}\right|,\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\left|\alpha_{1}\right|,\left|\alpha_{2}\right|}$ such that

$$
\left.\left|\partial_{x}^{\gamma} \partial_{y_{1}}^{\gamma_{1}} \partial_{y_{2}}^{\gamma_{2}} \partial_{\xi_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} b\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right| \leq C \xi_{2} \mid\right)^{m-\left|\alpha_{1}\right|-\left|\alpha_{2}\right|}
$$

for all $\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in Q \times Q \times Q$ and $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Such functions are called Hörmander symbols of order $m$. In this article, we often use the notation $\vec{\xi}$ for the pair $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

We are concerned with bilinear Fourier integral operators (FIO) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} e^{i \Phi(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})} b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi}) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d \vec{y} d \vec{\xi}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is a symbol of Hörmander type and $\Phi$ is a real-valued phase that satisfies some nondegeneracy conditions. In this work, we focus attention to phases in reduced form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})=\left(x-y_{1}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}+\left(x-y_{2}\right) \cdot \xi_{2}+\psi\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and is homogeneous of degree 1 jointly in the variables $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$.

Setting $\varphi(x, \vec{\xi})=x \cdot\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)+\psi(x, \vec{\xi})$, the nondegeneracy conditions required in this article can be formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{x, \xi_{1}}\right) \neq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{x, \xi_{2}}\right) \neq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the support of the symbol.
We end this section by providing a motivation for the study of the topic of bilinear FIOs. Inspired by certain restriction problems, we consider the issue of restricting solutions of certain hyperbolic PDEs along subspaces of half the spacial dimension. We present a typical problem that may arise in the case of the wave equation on $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}$.

Consider the wave equation on $\mathbf{R}^{2 n} \times \mathbf{R}$ with coordinates $(x, t)$, where $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}, \quad u(x, 0)=f_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right) g_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0)=f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) g_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

For each fixed $t$, the solution $u(x, t)$ can be written as a sum of Fourier integral operators with phases $\Phi_{ \pm}=\left(x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}+\left(x^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime \prime} \pm t \sqrt{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}$, where $\xi=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is the dual variable of $\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$. When one considers the restriction of the solution $u\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ along the diagonal $x^{\prime}=x^{\prime \prime}$, one obtains two bilinear FIOs with phases $\Phi_{+}$and $\Phi_{-}$acting on the pairs of functions $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right)$. To determine if this restriction lies in $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, it is natural to investigate the boundedness of these FIOs when the initial data $f_{0}, g_{0}, f_{1}, g_{1}$ lie $L^{p_{j}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$.

## 2. The main results

For bilinear operators $T$ that map $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$ with $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$, the local- $L^{2}$ case is the situation where $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime} \leq \infty$. In this case, the trilinear form

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right) \mapsto\left\langle T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), f_{3}\right\rangle
$$

is bounded by $\|T\|\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}$ and the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ are locally in $L^{2}$. The Banach case is the situation where the indices satisfy $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p \leq \infty$, while the quasiBanach case is the most general situation where the index $p$ is allowed to be less than 1 (but greater than or equal to $1 / 2$ ). We now state our main results that concern the local- $L^{2}$ case, the Banach, and quasi-Banach space cases under different assumptions on the associated symbols. In the rest of the paper $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{2}\right|$ means $c<\left|\xi_{1}\right| /\left|\xi_{2}\right|<c^{-1}$ for some $c>0$.

Theorem 2.1. (Local-L2 case) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bilinear FIO with phase satisfying (5) and (6) and symbol of order zero which is compactly supported in the first three variables and whose last two variables are supported in a conical set $U$ of the form $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{2}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right|$ such that for $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in U$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}^{-1}|\vec{\xi}| \leq\left|\nabla_{x} \Phi(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})\right| \leq c_{0}|\vec{\xi}| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then the bilinear FIO $\mathcal{F}$ maps $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ whenever $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime} \leq \infty$.

Corollary 2.2. Suppose that the function $\psi$ in (4) is is independent of $x$ (such as in the case of the wave equation phases $\left.\left(x-y_{1}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}+\left(x-y_{2}\right) \cdot \xi_{2} \pm \sqrt{\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the associated bilinear FIO having a symbol of order zero which is compactly supported in the first three variables and whose last two variables $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ are supported away from the antidiagonal, i.e., in a conical set $U$ of the form $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{2}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right|$. Then the bilinear FIO $\mathcal{F}$ maps $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ whenever $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime} \leq \infty$.

Proposition 2.3. (Banach case) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bilinear FIO with phase satisfying (5) and (6) and symbol of order $m$ that is compactly supported in the first three variables. Then $\mathcal{F}$ maps $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ whenever

$$
m<-\frac{2 n-1}{2}
$$

Proposition 2.4. (Quasi-Banach case) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a BFIO with phase satisfying (5) and (6) and symbol that is compactly supported in the first three variables. Assume that the phase $\Phi$ of $\mathcal{F}$ can be written as sum $\Phi=\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}$ of two non-degenerate linear phases, see (19). If the order of the symbol is $-(n-1)(1 / p-1)$ and $1<p_{1}, p_{2}<2$, then $\mathcal{F}$ maps $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, where $1 / p=1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}$.

## 3. Preliminary lemmas

The following lemmas contain straighforward extensions of the standard $T T^{*}$ lemma and of Schur's lemma in the context of bilinear operators.

Given a bilinear operator $T$, the adjoints $T^{* 1}$ and $T^{* 2}$ are defined by the relations

$$
\left\langle T^{* 1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), g\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{1}, T\left(g, f_{2}\right)\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle T^{* 2}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), g\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{2}, T\left(f_{1}, g\right)\right\rangle
$$

for all functions $f, g, h$ in a dense subclass of the domains of the operators.
Lemma 3.1. Let $T$ be a bilinear operator and let $1 \leq p, p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty$.
(a) We have that $T: L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{2}$ with norm at most $A$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{* 1}\left(T\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right), h_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}} \leq A^{2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this happens if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{* 2}\left(h_{1}, T\left(h_{2}, h_{3}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{2}^{\prime}}} \leq A^{2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ in the appropriate domains.
(b) We have that $T: L^{p_{1}} \times L^{2} \rightarrow L^{p}$ with norm at most $A$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T\left(h_{1}, T^{* 2}\left(h_{2}, h_{3}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq A^{2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ in the appropriate domains.
(c) We have that $T: L^{2} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$ with norm at most $A$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\| T\left(T^{* 1}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right), h_{3}\right)\right)\left\|_{L^{p}} \leq A^{2}\right\| h_{1}\left\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}\right\| h_{2}\left\|_{L^{p_{2}}}\right\| h_{3} \|_{L^{p_{2}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ in the appropriate domains.
Proof. In all of these assertions, the boundedness of the operator easily implies statements (8)-(11). Conversely, we focus on case (c), since the other cases are similar.

As a consequence of (11) we have that

$$
\left|\left\langle T\left(T^{* 1}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right), h_{3}\right)\right),\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{-1} h_{1}\right\rangle \mid \leq A^{2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}
$$

and taking $h_{2}=h_{3}$ we have

$$
\left|\left\langle T\left(T^{* 1}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right), h_{2}\right)\right), h_{1}\right\rangle \mid \leq A^{2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{2}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{2}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\left|\left\langle T^{* 1}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right), T^{* 1}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right\rangle \mid \leq A^{2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{p}}}^{2}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{2}
$$

and thus $T^{* 1}$ maps $L^{p^{\prime}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{2}$. Hence $T$ maps $L^{2} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$.
The preceding result can also be formulated in a straightforward way for $m$-linear operators. The following extension of Schur's lemma to the $m$-linear setting appeared in [BBPR] and [GT2]. It will be useful to us when $m=2,3$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $K\left(y_{0}, y_{1} \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ be a function on $\mathbf{R}^{(m+1) n}$ such that for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ we have

$$
\sup _{y_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m n}}\left|K\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right| d y_{0} \ldots \widehat{d y_{i}} \ldots d y_{m}=A_{i}<\infty
$$

where $\widehat{d y}_{i}$ is indicating that the integration variable $d y_{i}$ is missing. Then the m-linear operator

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m n}} K\left(x, y_{1} \ldots, y_{m}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \ldots f_{m}\left(y_{m}\right) d y_{1} \ldots d y_{m}
$$

maps $L^{p_{1}} \times \cdots \times L^{p_{m}} \rightarrow L^{p}$ with bound

$$
A_{0}^{1 / p^{\prime}} A_{1}^{1 / p_{1}} \ldots A_{m}^{1 / p_{m}}
$$

whenever $1 / p_{1}+\cdots+1 / p_{m}=1 / p$ where $1 \leq p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}, p \leq \infty$.
Proof. We provide the easy proof when $m=3$. Taking a function $f_{0}$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}$, we calculate $\left\|\mathcal{T}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}$ via duality as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \mathcal{T}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)\left(y_{0}\right) f_{0}\left(y_{0}\right) d y_{0}\right|= & \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}}\left|K\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)\right|\left|f_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d y_{0} d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}}\left|K\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)\right|\left|f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|^{p_{1}} d y_{0} d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}}\left|K\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)\right|\left|f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{2}} d y_{0} d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}\right)^{1 / p_{2}} \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}}\left|K\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)\right|\left|f_{3}\left(y_{3}\right)\right|^{p_{3}} d y_{0} d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}\right)^{1 / p_{3}} \\
\leq & A_{0}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} A_{1}^{1 / p_{1}}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}} A_{2}^{1 / p_{2}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}} A_{3}^{1 / p_{3}}\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{L^{p_{3}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of Hölder's inequality with respect to the measure $\left|K\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)\right| d y_{0} d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}$ and of the hypotheses on $\mathcal{T}$.

## 4. The local- $L^{2}$ CASE

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1. Set

$$
\varphi(x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi_{1}+x \cdot \xi_{2}+\psi(x, \vec{\xi})
$$

We consider a bilinear FIO $\mathcal{F}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\eta})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\eta})} b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta}) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d \vec{y} d \vec{\eta}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta})$ is a Hörmander symbol of order 0 which has compact support in the variables $x, y_{1}, y_{2}$. We study mapping properties of the operator (12) originally defined for smooth functions with compact support $f_{1}, f_{2}$.

We point out that, as it is standard in this theory of Fourier integral operators, the above definition must be interpreted in a weak sense in general. For, we can write

$$
e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\eta})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\eta})}=\frac{1}{\left(1+|\vec{\eta}|^{2}\right)^{N}}\left(I-\Delta_{\vec{y}}\right)^{N} e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\eta})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\eta})},
$$

and then integrate by parts in $\vec{y}$ the integral in (12) to obtain an equivalent form that converges absolutely.

We pick a nonnegative smooth function $\beta$ on the real line supported in the interval $[7 / 8,2]$ equal to one on $[1,7 / 4]$ and a function $\beta_{0}$ supported in $[0,2]$ such that

$$
\beta_{0}(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta\left(2^{-k} t\right)=1
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. For notational convenience we set $\beta_{k}(t)=\beta\left(2^{-k} t\right)$.
We decompose the bilinear FIO accordingly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x) & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\eta})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\eta})} b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta}) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d \vec{y} d \vec{\eta} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\eta})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\eta})} b_{k, k^{\prime}}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta}) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d \vec{y} d \vec{\eta} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{k, k^{\prime}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{k, k^{\prime}}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta})=\beta_{k}\left(\left|\eta_{1}\right|\right) \beta_{k^{\prime}}\left(\left|\eta_{2}\right|\right) b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta})$. The case $k=k^{\prime}=0$ is trivial as the symbol of the corresponding operator is a smooth function with compact support in all variables. The same comment applies to all terms of the form $|k|,\left|k^{\prime}\right| \leq c_{0}$ for some $c_{0}>0$.

Recall that the symbol $b$ is supported in the conical region $\left|\eta_{1}\right| \approx\left|\eta_{2}\right| \approx\left|\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right|$. This assumption translates to a condition relating $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ as follows: $\left|k-k^{\prime}\right|<c$ for some constant $c>0$. This reduces the double sum in $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ above to essentially one sum where $k$ is arbitrary and $k^{\prime}$ is within a fixed distance from $k$. Matters therefore reduce to
the study of the bilinear FIO

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\eta}) \gamma_{1}\left(2^{-k}\left|\eta_{1}\right|\right) \gamma_{2}\left(2^{-k}\left|\eta_{2}\right|\right) e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\eta})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\eta})} d \vec{y} d \vec{\eta} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are smooth functions with compact support that do not contain the origin. (These functions are the same as the previously defined $\beta$.)

We first obtain an orthogonality lemma saying that the uniform boundedness of the $F_{k}$ 's implies the boundedness of their sum.

Lemma 4.1. Let $F_{k}$ be as in (13) and let $p_{1}, p_{2}, p$ be indices that satisfy $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime} \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Suppose there exists a constant $A<\infty$ such that for all $f_{1}, f_{2}$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}$ (i.e., smooth functions with compact support) we have

$$
\sup _{k \geq 1}\left\|F_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \leq A\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

is also bounded from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$.
Proof. We define Littlewood-Paley operators $\Delta_{m}$ by setting $\Delta_{m}(f)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\widehat{f}(\xi) \psi\left(2^{-m} \xi\right)$, for $m \geq 1$ and $\Delta_{0}(f) \wedge(\xi)=\widehat{f}(\xi) \psi_{0}(\xi)$, where $\psi$ is a smooth function that is supported in an annulus that does not contain the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and is equal to one on a smaller such annulus, while $\psi_{0}$ is smooth and equal to one on ball containing the origin and supported in a bigger ball. We pick $\psi$ such that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(\xi)=1$, where $\psi_{m}(\xi)=\psi\left(2^{-m} \xi\right)$.

Inspired by the work of [Se], we introduce the decomposition

$$
F_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{m} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{j_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{j_{2}} f_{2}\right)
$$

The key observation is that when the indices $m, j_{1}, j_{2}$ are near the index $k$, then we may exploit orthogonality, while when they are away from $k$ there is decay in all variables involved. We precisely quantify this statement. We note that

$$
\Delta_{m} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{j_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{j_{2}} f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{8 n}} & \int_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{8}} e^{i(\theta \cdot(x-u)+\vec{\eta} \cdot(\vec{z}-\vec{y})+\varphi(u, \vec{\xi})-\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\xi})} \beta\left(2^{-k} \xi_{1}\right) \beta\left(2^{-k} \xi_{2}\right) \\
& \times \psi\left(2^{-m}|\theta|\right) \psi\left(2^{-j_{1}}\left|\eta_{1}\right|\right) \psi\left(2^{-j_{2}}\left|\eta_{2}\right|\right) b(u, \vec{z}, \vec{\xi}) d u d \vec{z} d \theta d \vec{\eta} d \vec{\xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider first the case near the diagonal, i.e., the case where $m=k+c, j_{1}=k+c_{1}$, $j_{2}=k+c_{2}$, where $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$ are integer constants that satisfy $\max \left(|c|,\left|c_{1}\right|,\left|c_{2}\right|\right) \leq C_{0}$ for some $C_{0}>0$. There are finitely many such terms and we fix one such choice of $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$.

Suppose first that $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime}<\infty$. Let us set $L_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\Delta_{k+c} T_{2^{k}}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)$ and start with $f_{1} \in L^{p_{1}}, f_{2} \in L^{p_{2}}$ and $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}$. Then, inspired by [GL], we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\sum_{k} L_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), h\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\sum_{k}\left\langle F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right), \Delta_{k+c} h\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{k}\left|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c} h\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d x \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{k}\left|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}}\left\|\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k} h\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C_{p}\left\|\left(\sum_{k}\left|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}}\|h\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the Littlewood-Paley theorem. It will suffice to estimate the $L^{p}$ norm of the previous square function above. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\sum_{k}\left|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} & \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{k}\left|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& =\sum_{k}\left\|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \\
& \leq A^{p} \sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact $p \leq 2$ and the uniform boundedness of the operators $F_{k}$. Now applying Hölder's inequality for sequences and using the embeddings $\ell^{2} \subset \ell^{p_{1}} \bigcap \ell^{p_{2}}$ (since $p_{1}, p_{2} \geq 2$ ) we obtain the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p_{1}}\right)^{p / p_{1}}\left(\sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p_{2}}\right)^{p / p_{2}} \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right|^{p_{1}} d x\right)^{p / p_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right|^{p_{2}} d x\right)^{p / p_{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{p_{1} / 2} d x\right)^{p / p_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{p_{2} / 2} d x\right)^{p / p_{2}} \\
& =\left\|\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\left\|\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{p}^{2}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Littlewood-Paley theorem.

We are still considering the case near the diagonal but we now suppose that the point $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p\right)$ in $[2, \infty]^{2} \times[1,2]$ is one of the "vertices" $(2,2,1),(2, \infty, 2)$, or $(\infty, 2,2)$ of the local- $L^{2}$ triangle. When $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p\right)=(2,2,1)$ we argue as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{k} \Delta_{k+c} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} & \leq \sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k}\left\|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq C A \sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C A\left(\sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime} A\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p\right)=(2, \infty, 2)$ there is a similar argument. Using the orthogonality of the $\Delta_{k+c}$ 's on the Fourier transform side, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{k} \Delta_{k+c} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \leq C \sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C^{\prime} \sum_{k}\left\|F_{k}\left(\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C^{\prime} A^{2} \sum_{k}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{1}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\left\|\Delta_{k+c_{2}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \\
& \leq C^{\prime \prime} A^{2}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The situation $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p\right)=(\infty, 2,2)$ is symmetric with $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p\right)=(2, \infty, 2)$.
We now consider the case where $\max \left(|k-m|,\left|k-j_{1}\right|,\left|k-j_{2}\right|\right) \geq C_{0}$. We look at the expression defining $K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ and we consider the phase of the exponential in it which is

$$
\tilde{\Phi}\left(u, z_{1}, z_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\varphi(u, \vec{\xi})+\theta \cdot(x-u)+\vec{\eta} \cdot(\vec{z}-\vec{y})-\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\xi}
$$

Note that $\nabla_{u, z} \tilde{\Phi}(u, \vec{\xi})$ is equal to the following vector in $\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{3}$

$$
V=\left(\nabla_{u} \varphi(u, \vec{\xi})-\theta, \vec{\eta}-\vec{\xi}\right)
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|V| \geq c^{\prime} \max \left(2^{k}, 2^{m}, 2^{j_{1}}, 2^{j_{2}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, recall that $\left|\eta_{1}\right| \approx 2^{j_{1}},\left|\eta_{2}\right| \approx 2^{j_{2}},\left|\xi_{1}\right| \approx 2^{k},\left|\xi_{2}\right| \approx 2^{k}$, and $|\theta| \approx 2^{m}$. Moreover, by in view of (7) we have $\left|\nabla_{u} \varphi(u, \vec{\xi})\right| \approx|\vec{\xi}| \approx 2^{k}$. Thus

$$
|V| \gtrsim\left|\nabla_{u} \varphi(u, \vec{\xi})-\theta\right|+\left|\xi_{1}-\eta_{1}\right|+\left|\xi_{2}-\eta_{2}\right| \gtrsim \max \left(2^{k}, 2^{m}, 2^{j_{1}}, 2^{j_{2}}\right)
$$

whenever $\max \left(|k-m|,\left|k-j_{1}\right|,\left|k-j_{2}\right|\right) \geq C_{0}$.

Using this estimate for $V$ and integrating by parts in $K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ with respect to the variables $u, \vec{z}$ we obtain the pointwise bound

$$
\left|K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{M} \max \left(2^{k}, 2^{m}, 2^{j_{1}}, 2^{j_{2}}\right)^{-M}
$$

for any integer $M$, whenever $\max \left(|k-m|,\left|k-j_{1}\right|,\left|k-j_{2}\right|\right) \geq C_{0}$.
Let $Q$ be a cube centered at the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which contains the support of $b$ in each of the first three variables. When one of $x, y_{1}, y_{2}$ is not in $Q$, then we can also integrate by parts with respect to the corresponding variables $\theta, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ in the integral defining $K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ to obtain the extra decay $(1+|x|)^{-M^{\prime}},\left(1+\left|y_{1}\right|\right)^{-M^{\prime}}$, or $\left(1+\left|y_{2}\right|\right)^{-M^{\prime}}$, respectively for $\left|K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right|$. These extra factors can also be inserted when some of $x, y_{1}, y_{2}$ are in $Q$ since in this case they are comparable to constants.

Combining these observations, we conclude the following estimates for all $x, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$

$$
\left|K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{M, M^{\prime}} \frac{\max \left(2^{k}, 2^{m}, 2^{j_{1}}, 2^{j_{2}}\right)^{-M}}{(1+|x|)^{M^{\prime}}\left(1+\left|y_{1}\right|\right)^{M^{\prime}}\left(1+\left|y_{2}\right|\right)^{M^{\prime}}}
$$

It follows from these estimates via the bilinear Schur lemma (Lemma 3.2) that a bilinear operator with kernel $K_{m, k, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ is bounded from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$ for all $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p \leq \infty$ with norm at most $\max \left(2^{k}, 2^{m}, 2^{j_{1}}, 2^{j_{2}}\right)^{-M}$.

These estimates show that

$$
\sum_{k, m, j_{1}, j_{2}}\left\|\Delta_{m} F_{k}\left(\Delta_{j_{1}} f_{1}, \Delta_{j_{2}} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}
$$

where the sum is over the indices that satisfy $\max \left(|k-m|,\left|k-j_{1}\right|,\left|k-j_{2}\right|\right) \geq C_{0}$.
This concludes the proof of the lemma.
It remains to show that the $F_{k}$ 's are bounded in the local- $L^{2}$ case uniformly in $k$. We introduce a slightly different notation by setting $\lambda=2^{k}$ in (13) and also we define an operator $T_{\lambda}$ by setting

$$
T_{\lambda}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\lambda^{2 n} F_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

Obviously, the uniform boundedness of the $F_{k}$ 's from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$ is equivalent to boundedness of $T_{\lambda}$ from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$ with norm that decays like $\lambda^{-2 n}$ for $\lambda$ large. This is the assertion of the next lemma which is proved via a bilinear adaptation of the classical $T^{*} T$ argument.

Lemma 4.2. Let $a=a\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ be a smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^{5 n}$ whose $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ support is contained in the set $\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}:\left|\xi_{1}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{2}\right| \approx c\right\}^{1}$ for some $c>0$. Let $\Phi$ be a non-degenerate phase function and consider the bilinear operator $T_{\lambda}$ as

$$
T_{\lambda}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} a(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi}) e^{i \lambda \Phi(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})} f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d \vec{y} d \vec{\xi}
$$

Then, if $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime} \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$ there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq C \lambda^{-2 n}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p_{1}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p_{2}}
$$

[^0]for $\lambda$ sufficiently large.
Proof. Recall the assumption that the phase function
$$
\Phi\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-x \cdot y_{1}-x \cdot y_{2}
$$
is non-degenerate, that is $\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{x, \xi_{1}}\right) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{x, \xi_{2}}\right) \neq 0$ on $\operatorname{supp} a$, and $\varphi$ is homogenous of degree 1 in $\vec{\xi}$. We consider the trilinear operator
$$
T_{\lambda}\left(T_{\lambda}^{* 1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), f_{3}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}} f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) f_{3}\left(z_{3}\right) K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) d z_{1} d z_{2} d z_{3}
$$
where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{5 n}} e^{i \lambda\left[\Phi\left(x, y, z_{3}, \vec{\xi}\right)-\Phi\left(z_{1}, y, z_{2}, \vec{\zeta}\right)\right]} a\left(x, y, z_{3}, \vec{\xi}\right) \bar{a}\left(z_{1}, y, z_{2}, \vec{\zeta}\right) d \vec{\xi} d \vec{\zeta} d y \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\left(y, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)}\left[\Phi\left(x, y, z_{3}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(z_{1}, y, z_{2}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\nabla_{\left(y, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)}\left[\varphi\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-y \cdot \xi_{1}-z_{3} \cdot \xi_{2}-\left(\varphi\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-y \cdot \zeta_{1}-z_{2} \cdot \zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\left(\zeta_{1}-\xi_{1}, \varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-y, \varphi_{\xi_{2}}\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-z_{3}, y-\varphi_{\zeta_{1}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right), z_{2}-\varphi_{\zeta_{2}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla_{\left(y, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)}\left[\Phi\left(x, y, z_{3}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(z_{1}, y, z_{2}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \approx\left|\xi_{1}-\zeta_{1}\right|+\left|\varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-y\right|+\left|\varphi_{\xi_{2}}\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-z_{3}\right|+\left|\varphi_{\zeta_{1}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-y\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\varphi_{\zeta_{2}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-z_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts in (15) in all variables we obtain that for all $N>0$ there exists a constant $C_{N}$ such that $\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right|$ is less than or equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{N} \int_{E} \frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda\left|\xi_{1}-\zeta_{1}\right|\right)^{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda\left|\varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-y\right|\right)^{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda\left|\varphi_{\xi_{2}}\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)-z_{3}\right|\right)^{N}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda\left|\varphi_{\zeta_{1}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-y\right|\right)^{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1+\lambda\left|\varphi_{\zeta_{2}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-z_{2}\right|\right)^{N}} d y d \vec{\xi} d \vec{\zeta} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E=\left\{\left(y, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{5 n}:|y| \leq C_{1},|\vec{\xi}|,|\vec{\zeta}| \approx C_{2}\right\}$.
We need to show that the kernel $K$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2. We first consider the integral $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}}\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right| d x d z_{1} d z_{2}$. We integrate first in the variable $z_{2}$ in (16) and we obtain a factor of $\lambda^{-n}$. Then we integrate in $z_{1}$ by making the change of variables $z_{1}^{\prime}=\lambda \varphi_{\zeta_{1}}\left(z_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-\lambda y$ and using the fact that $\operatorname{det} \varphi_{z_{1}, \zeta_{1}} \neq 0$ on the support of $a$. This provides another factor of $\lambda^{-n}$. Then we integrate in $y$ which provides another factor of $\lambda^{-n}$ and finally the integral in $\xi_{1}$ will also yield a factor of $\lambda^{-n}$. The remaining integrals are over compact regions and the final result is that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}}\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right| d x d z_{1} d z_{2} \leq C \lambda^{-4 n}
$$

with $C$ independent of $\lambda$. A similar calculation yields that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}}\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right| d x d z_{1} d z_{3} \leq C \lambda^{-4 n} .
$$

We now consider the integral $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}}\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right| d x d z_{2} d z_{3}$. We integrate (16) with respect to the variables $z_{2}, z_{3}, y, \xi_{1}$ in this order to obtain a factor of $\lambda^{-4 n}$ and the remaining integrals are over compact regions. We deduce the estimate

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}}\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right| d x d z_{2} d z_{3} \leq C \lambda^{-4 n}
$$

with $C$ independent of $\lambda$. Analogously, we obtain the estimate

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3 n}}\left|K\left(x, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)\right| d z_{1} d z_{2} d z_{3} \leq C \lambda^{-4 n}
$$

Thus, the kernel $K$ of the trilinear operator $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)=T_{\lambda}\left(T_{\lambda}^{* 1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), f_{3}\right)$ satisfies the hypotheses Lemma 3.2 with constants $A_{0}=A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}=C \lambda^{-4 n}$; thus the conclusion of Lemma 3.2 holds for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ and in particular we obtain that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{r_{1} \times L^{r_{2}} \times L^{r_{3}} \rightarrow L^{r}}} \leq C \lambda^{-4 n}
$$

whenever $1 / r_{1}+1 / r_{2}+1 / r_{3}=1 / r$ and $1 \leq r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \leq \infty$. Taking $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r\right)$ to be either $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, or $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime}, p\right)$ or $\left(p^{\prime}, p_{2}, p_{2}, p\right)$ we deduce that $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}$ satisfies conditions (8), (9), and (10) of Lemma 3.1. We conclude that $T_{\lambda}$ maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$ with norm at most a constant multiple of $\lambda^{-2 n}$ when $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p, 2 \leq p^{\prime}, p_{2} \leq \infty$, and one of the indices $p_{1}, p_{2}, p$ is equal to 2 . This region consists of the three sides of the local- $L^{2}$ triangle $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p, 2 \leq p^{\prime}, p_{2} \leq \infty$. Boundedness for the points in the interior of the triangle follows by interpolation (that also yields the required bound on the norm).

## 5. Proof of Proposition 2.3

Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$, with $\operatorname{supp} \Psi \subseteq\left\{\vec{\xi}: 2^{-1} \leq|\vec{\xi}| \leq 4\right\}$ and such that $\Psi_{0}(\vec{\xi})+$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Psi\left(2^{-j} \vec{\xi}\right)=1$, where $\Psi_{0}$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$ with support near the origin.

Next, for each $j$ select a set of unit vectors $\left\{\vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right\}$ of cardinality $c 2^{j(2 n-1) / 2}$ such that $\left|\overrightarrow{\xi_{j}^{\nu}}-\vec{\xi}_{j}^{\prime}\right| \approx 2^{-j / 2}$ and such that the union of the balls of radii $2^{-j / 2}$ centered at the $\overrightarrow{\xi_{j}^{\nu}}$ covers the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$. Let $\left\{\chi_{j}^{\nu}\right\}$ be a partition of unity on the unit sphere subordinate to this covering. Extend these functions to all of $\mathbf{R}^{2 n} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ as functions homogenous of degree 0 .

We now write

$$
b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})=b_{0}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{c 2^{j(2 n-1) / 2}} b_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})
$$

where $b_{0}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})=b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi}) \Psi_{0}(\vec{\xi})$ and $b_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})=b(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi}) \chi_{j}^{\nu}(\vec{\xi}) \Psi\left(2^{-j} \vec{\xi}\right)$. Moreover, we define

$$
K_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y})=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2 n}} e^{i(\varphi(x, \vec{\xi})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\xi})} b_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi}) d \vec{y}
$$

Via this decomposition we express $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{0}+\sum_{j} \sum_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{j}^{\nu}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{j}^{\nu}$ is the bilinear integral operator with kernel $K_{j}^{\nu}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}_{j}^{\nu}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2 n}} K_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d \vec{y}
$$

Write

$$
\varphi(x, \vec{\xi})-\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\xi}=\varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}-y_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}+\varphi_{\xi_{2}}(x, \vec{\xi}) \cdot \xi_{2}-y_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}+H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})
$$

where

$$
H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})=\varphi(x, \vec{\xi})-\varphi_{\vec{\xi}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right) \cdot \vec{\xi}
$$

We introduce the differential operator

$$
L=I+2^{2 j} \partial_{\vec{\xi}_{j}^{j_{j}}}^{2}+2^{j} \Delta_{\left(\vec{\xi}_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

where $\left(\overrightarrow{\xi_{j}}\right)^{\prime}$ denotes a $(2 n-1)$-dimensional set of coordinates orthogonal to $\overrightarrow{\xi_{j}^{\nu}}$. We have Lemma 5.1. If $b \in S^{m}$, then for all $N>0$ there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{N}\left(e^{i H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})} b_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})\right)\right| \leq C 2^{j m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In order to prove the estimate, notice that, since $b_{j}^{\nu}$ lies in $S^{m}$ and is localized in the set $|\vec{\xi}| \approx 2^{j}$, the worst case is when all the derivatives fall on the exponential factor. Notice however that

$$
\left|b_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})\right| \leq C 2^{j m}
$$

The lemma will follow if we prove that $\left|L^{N} e^{i H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})}\right| \leq C_{N}$ for all $N>0$, which is a consequence of the estimates below:
(i) $\left|\partial_{\vec{\xi}_{j}^{\prime}}^{k} H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})\right| \leq C 2^{-j k}$;
(ii) $\left|\nabla_{\left(\vec{\xi}_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}} H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})\right| \leq C 2^{-j k^{\prime} / 2}$;
for $\vec{\xi}$ in the support of $b_{j}^{\nu}$, for all $0 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq N$. Here, and in what follows, we denote by $\overrightarrow{\theta^{\prime}}$ the projection of the vector $\vec{\theta}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ onto the subspace orthogonal to $\overrightarrow{\xi^{\nu}}$.

The estimates (i) and (ii) follow from the fact that $H$ is homogeneous of degree 1 in $\vec{\xi}$ and that $|\vec{\xi}| \approx 2^{j}$, as in [St] p. 407.

Next we estimate the kernel $K_{j}^{\nu}$ integrating by parts. Set

$$
A(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})=\varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}-y_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}+\varphi_{\xi_{2}}(x, \vec{\xi}) \cdot \xi_{2}-y_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}
$$

then

$$
\left|K_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y})\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left(1+2^{2 j}\left|\overrightarrow{\xi_{j}^{\nu}} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{\xi}} A\right|^{2}+2^{j}\left|\left(\nabla_{\vec{\xi}} A\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2 n}}\left|L^{N}\left(e^{i H_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{\xi})} b_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})\right)\right| d \vec{\xi}
$$

From this it follows that $\left|K_{j}^{\nu}(x, \vec{y})\right|$ is controlled by
$\frac{C 2^{j m} 2^{j+j(2 n-1) / 2}}{\left(1+2^{2 j}\left|\vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right)-y_{1}, \varphi_{\xi_{2}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right)-y_{2}\right)\right|^{2}+2^{j}\left|\left(\varphi_{\xi_{1}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right)-y_{1}, \varphi_{\xi_{2}}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right)-y_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}}$.
In order to prove that $T=\sum_{j} \sum_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{j}^{\nu}$ is bounded $T: L^{1} \times L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{1}$ it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j} \sum_{\nu}\left[\sup _{y_{1}} \iint\left|K_{j}^{\nu}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| d x d y_{2}\right]<\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perfoming the changes of variables $u=\varphi_{1}\left(x, \overrightarrow{\xi_{j}^{\nu}}\right), v=\varphi_{2}\left(x, \vec{\xi}_{j}^{\nu}\right)-y_{2}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|K_{j}^{\nu}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| d x d y_{2} \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{2^{j m} 2^{j\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}}{\left(1+2^{2 j}\left|\overrightarrow{\xi_{j}^{\nu}} \cdot\left(u-y_{1}, v\right)\right|^{2}+2^{j}\left|\left(u-y_{1}, v\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}} d u d v \\
& =C 2^{j m} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|(u, v)|^{2}\right)^{N}} d u d v \\
& =C 2^{j m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the norm of $\mathcal{F}: L^{1} \times L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{1}$ is bounded by a constant times

$$
\sum_{j} \sum_{\nu}\left[\sup _{y_{1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|K_{j}^{\nu}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| d x d y_{2}\right] \leq C \sum_{j} \sum_{\nu} 2^{j m} \leq C \sum_{j} 2^{j(m+n-1 / 2)} \leq C
$$

as long as $m+n-1 / 2<0$, that is $m<-(2 n-1) / 2$.

## 6. Proof of Proposition 2.4

We consider a bilinear FIO with a Hörmander symbol $\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ whose phase has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, \vec{y}, \vec{\xi})=\left[\phi_{1}\left(x, \xi_{1}\right)-y_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}\right]+\left[\phi_{2}\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)-y_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}\right], \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each each expression inside the square brackets is a non-degenerate linear phase; that is, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions real on $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, homogeneous of degree 1 in $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, resp., and they satisfy the non-degeneracy conditions

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\phi_{j}\right)_{x \xi_{j}} \neq 0, \quad j=1,2
$$

on the support of the symbol, which are equivalent to (5) and (6) for $\phi=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}$.
In this case the associated bilinear FIO has the form

$$
T_{\sigma}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{4 n}} \sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) e^{i\left(x-y_{1}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}} e^{i\left(x-y_{2}\right) \cdot \xi_{2}} e^{i \phi_{1}\left(x, \xi_{1}\right)} e^{i \phi\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)} d \vec{y} d \vec{\xi}
$$

We assume that the symbol $\sigma$ has compact support in the variables $x, y_{1}, y_{2}$. Denote the support by $Q$, that is, the function $\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mapsto \sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is supported in the set
$Q \times Q \times Q$. We cover the set $Q$ by a finite collection of balls of radius 2 and we introduce a smooth partition of unity subordinate to this collection of balls. We may therefore write the symbol $\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ as a finite sum of symbols $\sigma_{\rho}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$, where each $\sigma_{\rho}$ is supported in a ball of radius 2 in the variables $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$. We fix such a $\rho$ and by a translation we may assume that $\sigma_{\rho}$ is supported in the ball of radius 2 centered at the origin in the variables $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$. For notational convenience we set $\sigma_{\rho}=\sigma$ in the argument below.

We introduce a smooth function $\zeta$ on $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ whose support in contained in the annulus $1 / 2<|\vec{\xi}|<2$ such that

$$
\zeta_{0}(\vec{\xi})+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta\left(2^{-j} \vec{\xi}\right)=1
$$

for some smooth function $\zeta_{0}$ supported in a ball centered at the origin.
We set $\sigma_{0}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \zeta_{0}(\vec{\xi})$, and for $j \geq 1$ set $\sigma_{j}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=$ $\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \zeta\left(2^{-j} \vec{\xi}\right)$. We split the symbol $\sigma$ as

$$
\sigma=\sigma_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j}
$$

and this introduces a decomposition of the bilinear FIO

$$
T_{\sigma}=T_{\sigma_{0}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{\sigma_{j}}
$$

As $T_{\sigma_{0}}$ has a symbol that is compactly supported in all variables, one trivially obtains

$$
\left|T_{\sigma_{0}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)\right| \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \chi_{Q}(x)
$$

Consequently, $T_{\sigma_{0}}$ maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$ for any $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty$ with $1 / p=1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}$.
We focus therefore our attention to the sum of the operators $T_{\sigma_{j}}$. Fix a $j \geq 1$ for the moment. For every $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \mapsto \sigma_{j}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, 2^{j} \xi_{1}, 2^{j} \xi_{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is supported in $B(0,2)^{4}$, where $B(0,2)$ is the ball of radius 2 centered at the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Since $B(0,2)$ is contained in $[-\pi, \pi]^{n}$, by expanding the function in (20) in Fourier series over $[-\pi, \pi]^{4 n}$ (as in the work of Coifman and Meyer [CM1], [CM2]) we obtain that for all $y_{1}, y_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ the function $\sigma_{j}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, 2^{j} \xi_{1}, 2^{j} \xi_{2}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{\ell_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \sum_{\ell_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \sum_{k_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \sum_{k_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j}(x) e^{i\left(\ell_{1} \cdot y_{1}+\ell_{2} \cdot y_{2}+k_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}+k_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}\right)} \eta\left(y_{1}\right) \eta\left(y_{2}\right) \eta\left(\xi_{1}\right) \eta\left(\xi_{2}\right),
$$

where $\eta$ is a smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ equal to 1 on the square $[-5 / 2,5 / 2]^{n}$ (and thus on the ball $B(0,2))$ and vanishing outside the square $[-\pi, \pi]^{n}$. The coefficient $c_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j}(x)$ of the Fourier series expansion is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4 n}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{4 n}} \sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, 2^{j} \xi_{1}, 2^{j} \xi_{2}\right) \zeta\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) e^{-i\left(\ell_{1} \cdot y_{1}+\ell_{2} \cdot y_{2}+k_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}+k_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}\right)} d \vec{y} d \vec{\xi}
$$

To estimate $c_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j}(x)$ we integrate by parts and we use the fact that $\sigma$ is a Hörmander symbol of order $m$ to obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j}(x)\right| & \leq \sum_{r=0}^{4 N} \frac{C_{N, r} 2^{j r}\left(1+2^{j}\left|\xi_{1}\right|+2^{j}\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)^{m-r} \chi_{1 / 4<\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}<4}}{\left(1+\left|\ell_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|\ell_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{N} 2^{j m}}{\left(1+\left|\ell_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|\ell_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
\tilde{c}_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j, N}(x)=2^{-j m} c_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j}(x)\left(1+\left|\ell_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|\ell_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{N}
$$

and we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{c}_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j, N}(x)\right| \leq C_{N} \chi_{Q}(x) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce modulation operators $M_{\ell}(g)(x)=g(x) e^{i \ell \cdot x}$ and a smooth function with compact support $a(x)$ which is bounded by 1 in absolute value and is equal to 1 on the set $Q$. Using the above decomposition, we express

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{\sigma_{j}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\sum_{1,2,3,4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j m}{\tilde{\ell_{\ell}}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}_{j, N}(x) F_{j}^{1}\left(M_{\ell_{1}}\left(f_{1} \eta\right)\right)(x) F_{j}^{2}\left(M_{\ell_{2}}\left(f_{2} \eta\right)\right)(x)
$$

where

$$
\sum_{1,2,3,4}=\sum_{k_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{-N} \sum_{k_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(1+\left|k_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{-N} \sum_{l_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(1+\left|l_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{-N} \sum_{l_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(1+\left|l_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{-N},
$$

$F_{j}^{1}$ and $F_{j}^{2}$ are FIOs with non-degenerate phases $-y_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}+\phi_{1}\left(x, \xi_{1}\right),-y_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}+\phi_{2}\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)$ and symbols $a(x) e^{i 2^{-j} k_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}} \eta\left(2^{-j} \xi_{1}\right), a(x) e^{i 2^{-j} k_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}} \eta\left(2^{-j} \xi_{2}\right)$, respectively.

We now fix indices $1<p_{1}, p_{2}<2$ and $1 / 2<p<1$ where $1 / p=1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}$. To obtain the required estimate for the $L^{p}$ quasi-norm of the operator $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{\sigma_{j}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$, due to the rapid convergence of the sums in $\sum_{1,2,3,4}$, it suffices to obtain the same estimate the $L^{p}$ quasi-norm of the expression

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j m} \tilde{c}_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}}^{j, N} F_{j}^{1}\left(M_{\ell_{1}}\left(f_{1} \eta\right)\right) F_{j}^{2}\left(M_{\ell_{2}}\left(f_{2} \eta\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} .
$$

Setting $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$, for some $m_{1}, m_{2}<0$ and using (21), we control the preceding expression by

$$
C_{N}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|2^{j m_{1}} F_{j}^{1}\left(M_{\ell_{1}}\left(f_{1} \eta\right)\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|2^{j m_{2}} F_{j}^{2}\left(M_{\ell_{2}}\left(f_{2} \eta\right)\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

and this is at the most

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{N}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|2^{j m_{1}} F_{j}^{1}\left(M_{\ell_{1}}\left(f_{1} \eta\right)\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|2^{j m_{2}} F_{j}^{2}\left(M_{\ell_{2}}\left(f_{2} \eta\right)\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

via Hölder's inequality $\left(1 / p=1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}\right)$.

To control each of these terms, we make use of the following lemma:
Lemma 6.1. Let $m_{1}<0$. Then we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|2^{j m_{1}} F_{j}^{1}(g)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}} \leq C_{r}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|\right)^{b}\|g\|_{L^{p_{1}}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{2}=-\frac{m_{1}}{n-1}$ and $1<p_{1}<2$. Here $b$ is a positive constant that depends only on $p_{1}$ and $n$.
Proof. By Khinchine's inequality matters reduce to estimating

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{j} 2^{j m_{1}} F_{j}^{1}(g)\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1$. This is a FIO with the following symbol of order $m_{1}<0$

$$
\left(x, \xi_{1}\right) \mapsto a(x) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{j} 2^{j m_{1}} e^{i 2^{-j} k_{1} \cdot \xi_{1}} \eta\left(2^{-j} \xi_{1}\right)
$$

A careful examination of the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [SSS] shows that the constant depends only on finitely many derivatives of the symbol and thus it grows at most polynomially in $\left|k_{1}\right|$. By interpolation the same assertion is valid on $L^{p_{1}}$ for $p_{1} \in(1,2)$ and thus the claimed estimate (23) folllows.

Using this lemma for $F_{j}^{1}$ and $F_{j}^{2}$ and choosing $N$ large enough (say bigger than $(b+n) / p$ ) we obtain that expression (22) is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$
\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|\right)^{b}\left(1+\left|k_{2}\right|\right)^{b}\left\|M_{\ell_{1}}\left(f_{1} \eta\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|M_{\ell_{2}}\left(f_{2} \eta\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}} .
$$

Consequently, we deduce the estimate

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{\sigma_{j}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}},
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{p}-1=\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\frac{m_{1}}{n-1}-\frac{m_{2}}{n-1}=-\frac{m}{n-1}
$$

when $1<p_{1}, p_{2}<2$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.4
Remark 6.2. Proposition 2.4 can be extended to the range $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<2$, when $L^{p_{i}}$ is replaced by the local Hardy space $h^{1}$ whenever $p_{i}=1$.

Indeed, Corollary 2.3 in [SSS] says that FIOs with symbols of order $-(n-1) / 2$ map $h^{1}$ to $h^{1}$, in particular they map $h^{1}$ to $L^{1}$ (and an examination of the proof there indicates that the constant depends on finitely many derivatives of the symbol). Consequently, one has the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|2^{j m_{1}} F_{j}^{1}(g)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C_{r}\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|\right)^{b}\|g\|_{h^{1}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $m_{1}=-(n-1) / 2$. Taking $g=M_{\ell_{1}}\left(f_{1} \eta\right)$ and noting that $h^{1}$ preserves multiplications by smooth bumps and modulations, we obtain the required conclusion.

The authors would like to acknowledge the recent work of Bernicot and Germain [BG] on bilinear oscillatory integrals which seems to mildly overlap with our present work.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the published version of this paper the condition $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \approx\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right|$ was mistakenly omitted

