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#### Abstract

In $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we consider an analytic family of fractional integrals, whose convolution kernel is obtained by taking some transverse derivatives of arclength measure on the parabola $\left(t, t^{2}\right)$ multiplied by $|t|^{\gamma}$, and doing so in a homogeneous way. We determine the exact range of $p, q$ for which the analytic family maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. We also resolve a similar issue on the Heisenberg group.


1. Introduction. In $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, consider the following family of operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\gamma}(f)(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-t^{2}\right)|t|^{\gamma} \frac{d t}{t} \quad \text { where } \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral in (1.1) is interpreted in the principal value sense when $\gamma=0$. For $\gamma>0$, the operators $S^{\gamma}$ are called fractional integrals along the parabola $\left(t, t^{2}\right)$ and have been studied by Ricci and Stein [RS] and Christ [C2], who determined the range of ( $1 / p, 1 / q, \gamma$ ) for which $S^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. By homogeneity such a boundedness result can happen only when $1 / p-1 / q=\operatorname{Re} \gamma / 3$. In $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $\Delta$ be the closed triangle with vertices $(0,0),(1,1)$ and $(2 / 3,1 / 3)$ and let $\Gamma$ be the part of $\Delta$ that does not contain the diagonal. [RS] proved $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ boundedness for $S^{\gamma}$ when $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ lie in $\Gamma$ minus the piece of the boundary $\{(1 / p, 1 / q): q=2 p$ and $2<p<\infty\}$ union its reflection across the line $1 / q=1-1 / p$. [C2] proved $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ boundedness for the remaining boundary points of $\Gamma$ that do not lie on the diagonal $p=q$. (When $\gamma=0, S^{0}$ is the Hilbert transform along the parabola and it is bounded on the diagonal for $1<p<\infty$. See [SWA] for details.) Furthermore it is known from [RS], that no positive result for $S^{\gamma}$ holds outside $\Gamma$ when $\gamma>0$.

[^0]We prove a similar result as in [C2] and [RS], for an analytic family of fractional integrals along the parabola $S_{z}^{\gamma}$, in which the operators $S^{\gamma}$ can be embedded. The convolution kernel of $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ is obtained by taking $-z-1$ transverse derivatives of arclength measure on the parabola, multiplied by $|t|^{\gamma}$, and doing so in a homogeneous way. The analytic family $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ is defined in such a way as to satisfy $S_{-1}^{\gamma}=S^{\gamma}$.

We now give a precise definition of $S_{z}^{\gamma}$. Fix an even nonnegative function $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[-1,1]$ and equal to 1 on $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. Also fix $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. For $f$ smooth with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we define

$$
\left(S_{z}^{\gamma} f\right)(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int 2 \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|u-1|^{z} \psi(u-1) f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-u t^{2}\right) d u|t|^{\gamma} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

where the outer integral is to be interpreted in the principal value sense, when $\operatorname{Re} \gamma=0$. $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ is initially defined for $\operatorname{Re} z>-1$. By analytic continuation, see [GS], the definition of $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ can be extended for all $z$ complex. Because of the $\Gamma$ function normalization we get that $S_{-1}^{\gamma}=S^{\gamma}$, for all $\gamma$ with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0 . S_{z}^{\gamma}$ depends analytically on both $\gamma$ and $z$ and therefore is a double analytic family of operators with parameters $(z, \gamma) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}_{+}$, where by $\mathbb{C}_{+}$we denote the set of all complex numbers with nonnegative real part.

Our first result describes the exact range of $(1 / p, 1 / q, z, \gamma)$ for which $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ when $\gamma>0$. Since such a boundedness result can only hold when $\operatorname{Re} \gamma / 3=$ $1 / p-1 / q$, it is enough to describe the possible range of $p, q$ and $z$. Our first theorem is the following:

Theorem 1. For Re $>0$, the analytic family of fractional integrals $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$ if and only if $(1 / p, 1 / q$, Rez $)$ lies on or vertically above the interiors of the faces $\mathbf{B C D}$ and $\mathbf{A B D}$ union the edge $\mathbf{B D}-\{\mathbf{B}\}$ of the tetrahedron $\mathbf{A B C D}$ with vertices $\mathbf{A}=(0,0,-1)$, $\mathbf{B}=(1 / 2,1 / 2,-3 / 2), \mathbf{C}=(1,1,-1)$ and $\mathbf{D}=(1,0,0)$. (See figure 1$)$

We use Theorem 1 in [C2] to treat the main part of the kernel of $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ for a certain range of $z$ 's but we don't follow the method of Christ's proof since the positivity of the kernel of $S^{\gamma}$ was essential in the treatment this operator in his work. Throughout this paper $C_{z, \gamma}, c_{z, \gamma}$ will denote constants that grow at most exponentially as $|\operatorname{Im} \gamma|,|\operatorname{Im} z| \rightarrow+\infty$. These constants will be called of admissible growth.
2. The easy estimates. In this section we prove the endpoint estimates corresponding to the vertices $(1 / 2,1 / 2,-3 / 2)$ and $(1,0,0)$ of the tetrahedron. More precisely we have the following proposition.

## Proposition.

1. $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}$, when Rez $=-3 / 2$ and Re $\gamma=0$.
2. $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ when Rez $\geq 0$ and Re $\gamma=3$.

In both cases the bounds are of admissible growth in $|\operatorname{Im} \gamma|,|\operatorname{Imz}| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. We start by proving 2 , the easier of the two estimates. Fix $\gamma$ with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma=3$ and $z$ with $\operatorname{Re} z=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(S_{z}^{\gamma} f\right)(x)\right| & \leq 2\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}\right| \iint|u-1|^{\operatorname{Re} z} \psi(u-1)\left|f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-u t^{2}\right)\right| d u|t|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma} \frac{d t}{|t|} \\
& \leq C_{z} \iint\left|\frac{w}{t^{2}}-1\right|^{\operatorname{Re} z} \psi\left(\frac{w}{t^{2}}-1\right)\left|f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-w\right)\right| d w d t \leq C_{z}\|f\|_{L^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this proves 2 .
We continue with proof of 1. Fix $z=-3 / 2+i \theta$ and $\gamma=i \rho$ until the end of this section. Denote by $D_{z}$ the distribution:

$$
\left\langle D_{z}, f\right\rangle=\int f(u) 2 \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|u-1|^{z} \psi(u-1) d u
$$

(Again $D_{z}$ is originally defined for $\operatorname{Re} z>-1$ and analytically continued for all $z$ complex.) Let's call $K_{z}^{\gamma}$ the convolution kernel of $S_{z}^{\gamma}$. Direct calculation shows that

$$
\widehat{K_{z}^{\gamma}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\lim _{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow+\infty}} \int_{|t|=\epsilon}^{N} \hat{D}_{z}\left(t^{2} \xi_{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi i t \xi_{1}}|t|^{i \rho} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

(The limits are easily shown to exist). We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D_{z}}(v) & =2\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|u|^{z} \psi(u)\right)^{\wedge}(v) e^{-2 \pi i v} \\
& =c 2^{z} \Gamma\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-1}\left(|\cdot|^{-z-1} * \hat{\psi}\right)(v) e^{-2 \pi i v}, \quad c \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality we used a formula on page 359 in [GS]. The behavior of $L_{z}(v)=$ $\left(|\cdot|^{-z-1} * \hat{\psi}\right)(v)$ at $\infty$ will be of importance in the study of the Fourier transform of $K_{z}^{\gamma}$. It is easy to see that $L_{z}$ is an even $C^{\infty}$ function on the real line and by Lemma 3.2 in [G1] we have that

$$
L_{z}(v)=c_{z}|v|^{-z-1}+O\left(|v|^{-M}\right) \quad \forall \quad M>0 \quad \text { as }|v| \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where all the constants above are of admissible growth and $c_{z}$ is nonzero. We will prove that $\widehat{K_{z}^{\gamma}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is bounded. Fix $\xi_{2} \neq 0$, and let $\epsilon^{\prime}=\epsilon\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}, N^{\prime}=N\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}, \lambda=\xi_{1}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=\operatorname{sgn} \xi_{2}$. Also let $a=c_{z, \gamma}$ be a positive large constant to be chosen later. By the evenness of $L_{z}$ we get:

$$
\widehat{K_{z}^{\gamma}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\lim _{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{|t|=\epsilon}^{N} C_{z} L_{z}\left(t^{2} \xi_{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi i\left(t \xi_{1}+\xi_{2} t^{2}\right)}|t|^{i \rho} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

$$
=\lim _{\substack{\epsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \\ N^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{|t|=\epsilon^{\prime}}^{N^{\prime}} C_{z}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-i \rho / 2} L_{z}\left(t^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi i\left(t \lambda+\varepsilon_{2} t^{2}\right)}|t|^{i \rho} \frac{d t}{t} .
$$

We now write $\widehat{K_{z}^{\gamma}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ as the sum of the following two expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\epsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow 0} \int_{|t|=\epsilon^{\prime}}^{a} C_{z}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-i \rho / 2} L_{z}\left(t^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi i\left(t \lambda+\varepsilon_{2} t^{2}\right)}|t|^{i \rho} \frac{d t}{t}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \lim _{N^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|t|=a}^{N^{\prime}} C_{z}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-i \rho / 2} L_{z}\left(t^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi i\left(t \lambda+\varepsilon_{2} t^{2}\right)}|t|^{i \rho} \frac{d t}{t} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the smoothness of $L_{z}$ at 0 , (2.1) remains always bounded by a constant of admissible growth for all $\lambda$ real. By the asymptotic expansion of $L_{z}$ at $\infty$ We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.2) & =\lim _{N^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|t|=a}^{N^{\prime}} C_{z}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-i \rho / 2}\left|t^{2}\right|^{-z-1} e^{-2 \pi i\left(t \lambda+\varepsilon_{2} t^{2}\right)}|t|^{i \rho} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& + \text { a remainder term which is bounded uniformly in } \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main term above is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{z}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-i \rho / 2} \lim _{N^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t=a}^{N^{\prime}} t^{i(\rho-2 \theta)} e^{-2 \pi i \varepsilon_{2} t^{2}}\left(e^{-2 \pi i t \lambda}-e^{2 \pi i t \lambda}\right) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase function $\phi(t)=-2 \pi i \varepsilon_{2}\left(t^{2} \pm t \lambda\right)+i(\rho-2 \theta) \ln t$, has second derivative $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ which satisfies $\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \geq c_{z, \gamma}$ if $t \geq a$ and $a$ is large enough. Van der Corput's Lemma, ([Z] page 197), now gives that the integral in (2.3) is bounded by a constant uniformly in $N^{\prime}$ and $\lambda$. Therefore $\widehat{K_{z}^{\gamma}}$ is bounded and our proposition is now proved.
3. The main estimates. So far, we have proved the estimates corresponding to the vertices $(1 / 2,1 / 2,-3 / 2)$ and $(1,0,0)$ of the the tetrahedron. By interpolation, we get estimates for the edge in between. No strong type estimates are true for the remaining vertices, for it is known that $S_{-1}^{0}=S^{0}$ doesn't map $L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ nor $L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$. Our next goal is to fill in the sides. The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition. For Rez $=-1$ and Re $=\frac{3}{2 p}$, $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{2 p}$ with bounds of admissible growth, whenever $3 / 2 \leq p<\infty$.

PROOF. On the real line call $h_{z}$ the distribution $h_{z}(u)=2 \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|u|^{z} \psi(u)$, originally defined for $\operatorname{Re} z>-1$ and extended for all $z$ by analytic continuation. Let $H_{z}$ be the
distribution on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined by $\delta_{x_{1}=0} h_{z}\left(x_{2}\right)$. By $\mu_{z, \gamma}$ we will denote the measure acting on functions $f$ as follows:

$$
\left\langle\mu_{z, \gamma}, f\right\rangle=\int_{|t| \leq 1} f\left(t, t^{2}\right)|t|^{\gamma-2 z-2} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

Fix $p, z$ and $\gamma$ as in the statement of the theorem. Let $q=2 p$. The basic property of $\mu_{z, \gamma}$, is that it convolves $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. This is because of theorem 1 in [C2] that justifes the third inequality below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mu_{z, \gamma} * f\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq\left\|\int_{|t| \leq 1}\left|f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-t^{2}\right)\right||t|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-1} d t\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq \\
& \left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-t^{2}\right)\right||t|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-1} d t\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{p, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now continue the proof of our theorem. We need to prove that:
$\left\|\int\left\langle D_{z}(u), f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-u t^{2}\right)\right\rangle|t|^{\gamma} \frac{d t}{t}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{p, z, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}$. It suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{|t| \leq M}\left\langle D_{z}(u), f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-u t^{2}\right)\right\rangle|t|^{\gamma} \frac{d t}{t}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{p, z, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for all $M>0$ with a bound $C_{p, z, \gamma}$ independent of $M>0$. To prove (3.1), by homogeneity we may assume that $M=1$. Let $K_{z, 1}^{\gamma}$ be the convolution kernel of the operator in (3.1) when $M=1$.

By $\chi_{A}$ we denote the characteristic function of the set $A$. We have the following Lemma:
Lemma. $K_{z, 1}^{\gamma}=H_{z} * \mu_{z, \gamma}+\zeta(x)$ where $\zeta(x)$ satisfies
$|\zeta(x)| \leq C_{z, \gamma}\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right|^{-1} \chi_{\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-3}$.
PROOF. Let $\tilde{\mu}_{z, \gamma}$ denote the reflection of the measure $\mu_{z, \gamma}$ about the origin. For all Schwartz functions $g$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle H_{z} * \mu_{z, \gamma}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle H_{z}, \tilde{\mu}_{z, \gamma} * g\right\rangle= \\
& \left.\left.\left\langle H_{z}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \int_{|t| \leq 1} g\left(x_{1}+t, x_{2}+t^{2}\right)\right| t\right|^{\gamma-2 z-2} \frac{d t}{t}\right\rangle= \\
& \int_{|t| \leq 1} 2 \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}\left|x_{2}\right|^{z} \psi\left(x_{2}\right) \int g\left(t, x_{2}+t^{2}\right)|t|^{\gamma-2 z-2} \frac{d t}{t} d x_{2}= \\
& \int_{\left|x_{1}\right| \leq 1} \int 2 \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}\left|x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right|^{z} \psi\left(x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right) g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left|x_{1}\right|^{\gamma-2 z-2} x_{1}^{-1} d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left\langle K_{z}^{\gamma}-H_{z} * \mu_{z, \gamma}, g\right\rangle=\iint \zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}$, where

$$
\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{-1}\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right|^{z}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\gamma-2} x_{1}^{-1}\left[\psi\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right)-\psi\left(x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \chi_{\left|x_{1}\right| \leq 1} .
$$

Clearly $\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ satisfies the asserted estimate and this concludes the proof of the Lemma.
Note that $\hat{H}_{z}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\hat{h}_{z}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=c_{z}\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-z-1} * \hat{\psi}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right)$. Since Rez $=-1$, the Hőrmander multiplier theorem, ([S2] pages 51-52), gives that convolution with $h_{z}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $1<p<\infty$ and therefore convolution with $H_{z}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for the same range of $p$ 's. Thus

$$
\left\|f * H_{z} * \mu_{z, \gamma}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{p, z, \gamma}\left\|f * H_{z}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C_{p, z, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

It remains to control $\|f * \zeta\|_{L^{q}}$ by $C_{p, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}$. We prove that $\zeta \in L^{r, \infty}$ where $r=\frac{3}{3-\operatorname{Re} \gamma}$. We denote by $|A|$ the Lebesgue measure of the set $A$. Let $\alpha$ be a positive number and set $\beta=\alpha^{-1 /(\operatorname{Re} \gamma-3)}$. Computation gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\{x:|\zeta(x)|>\alpha\}| \leq \\
& \left|\left\{x:\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right|^{-1} \chi_{\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-3}>\alpha\right\}\right|= \\
& \left|\left\{x:\left|\frac{\beta^{2} x_{2}}{\left(\beta x_{1}\right)^{2}}-1\right|^{-1} \chi_{\left|\frac{\beta^{2} x_{2}}{\left(\beta x_{1}\right)^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}}\left|\beta x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-3}>1\right\}\right|= \\
& \beta^{-3}\left|\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right|^{-1} \chi_{\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-3}>1\right\}\right|=\alpha^{-r} m
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=\left|\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right|^{-1} \chi_{\left|\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-3}>1\right\}\right|$. We next show that $m<\infty$. This amounts to showing that the total area bounded by the following equations in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, is finite :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{3}{2} x_{1}^{2} \leq x_{2} \leq x_{1}^{2}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-1} \\
x_{1}^{2}-\left|x_{1}\right|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-1} \leq x_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This last assertion is obvious and is due to the fact that $0<\operatorname{Re} \gamma \leq 1$. We have now proved that $\zeta \in L^{r, \infty}$ where $r=\frac{3}{3-\operatorname{Re} \gamma}$. It follows from Young's inequality, that convolution with $\zeta$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$, where $p, q$ and $r$ are related as in

$$
\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p}=1+\frac{1}{q} \quad \text { which is equivalent to } \quad \frac{3-\operatorname{Re} \gamma}{3}+\frac{1}{p}=1+\frac{1}{q} \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{Re} \gamma=\frac{3}{2 p}
$$

This concludes the proof of the main result of this section.
4. Conclusion of the proof of theorem 1. We use the estimates of the previous sections and analytic interpolation to prove theorem 1 . We also show that this theorem describes the exact range of $p, q, z$ and $\gamma$ such that $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$, when $\gamma>0$. Recall that $\mathbf{A}=(0,0,-1), \mathbf{B}=(1 / 2,1 / 2,-3 / 2), \mathbf{C}=(1,1,-1)$ and $\mathbf{D}=(1,0,0)$ are the vertices of the tetrahedron and let $\mathbf{E}$ be the point $(2 / 3,1 / 3,-1)$. (See figure 1 at the end.) As we mentioned before, interpolation between the points $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ gives that on the edge $\mathbf{B D}$ our analytic family maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$. (See proposition in section 2.) By the proposition in section 3, we have strong type bounds on the closed segment EC minus the point C. We now interpolate between the edges $\mathbf{B D}$ and $\mathbf{B E}-\{\mathbf{C}\}$ to get strong type bounds on the interior of the face $\mathbf{B C D}$. By duality we also fill in the interior of the face $\mathbf{A B D}$. When $\gamma>0$, we have now proved strong type bounds on the interior of the bottom faces of the critical tetrahedron $\mathbf{A B C D}$ union the point $\mathbf{D}$. Finally by interpolation we get strong type bounds for every point that lies vertically above.

The best result known on the line segment $\mathbf{B C}$ is that $S_{z}^{0}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{p, p^{\prime}}$, see [G1]. By duality we get that on the line segment $\mathbf{A C}, S_{z}^{0}$ maps $L^{p^{\prime}, p}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$. It is easy to check that no strong type bounds hold on the open segments CD and AD. However, using the fact that the analytic family $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps the space parabolic $H^{1}$ to weak $L^{1}$ when $\operatorname{Re} \gamma=0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z=-1$ (theorem 2 in [G1]), interpolation gives that on the open line segment $\mathbf{C D}$, $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $H^{1}$ to weak $L^{p}$. Finally by duality we get that on the open line segment AD $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p^{\prime}, 1}$ to parabolic $B M O$.

We now indicate why no boundedness results hold below the faces BCD and ABD of our tetrahedron. Let $\delta>0$ be small and let $f_{\delta}$ be the characteristic function of the square of sidelength $\delta$ centered at the origin. Since away from the parabola the kernel $K_{z}^{\gamma}$ looks like,

$$
K_{z}^{\gamma}(x)=c_{z}\left|x_{1}\right|^{-2-2 z+\gamma} x_{1}^{-1}\left|x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right|^{z} \psi\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}-1\right)
$$

it follows that on the set $A_{\delta}=\left\{x: x_{1} \sim 1 \quad\right.$ and $\left.\quad\left|x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right| \geq 10 \delta\right\},\left|\left(S_{z}^{\gamma} f_{\delta}\right)\right|$ looks like

$$
\left|\left(S_{z}^{\gamma} f_{\delta}\right)(x)\right| \sim\left|x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right|^{z} \delta^{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(\int_{A_{\delta}}\left|\left(S_{z}^{\gamma} f_{\delta}\right)(x)\right|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \sim \delta^{2} \delta^{\operatorname{Re} z+1 / q}
$$

and since $\left\|f_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{p}}=\delta^{2 / p}$, letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and comparing exponenents, we see that no inequality of the form $\left\|S_{z}^{\gamma} f\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}}$ is possible when $1 / q<2 / p-2-\operatorname{Re} z$. Note that for a fixed $z, 1 / q=2 / p-2-\operatorname{Re} z$ is the equation of the line that intersects the segments $\mathbf{B D}$ and $\mathbf{C D}$ and is parallel to the line $\mathbf{C E}$ at height ( $0,0, \operatorname{Re} z$ ). By duality
we get that boundedness cannot hold when $2 / q<1 / p-1-\operatorname{Re} z$. Again for a fixed $z$, $2 / q=1 / p-1-\operatorname{Re} z$ is the equation of the line that intersects the segments $\mathbf{B D}$ and $\mathbf{A D}$ and is parallel to the line $\mathbf{A E}$ at height $(0,0, \operatorname{Re} z)$. We have now proved that for a fixed $z$, $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ boundedness cannot hold when the point $(1 / p, 1 / q, \operatorname{Re} z)$ lies outside the triangle with vertices $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{E}^{\prime} \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ where $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}, \mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ are the intersections of the lines $\mathbf{B A}, \mathbf{B E}$ and $\mathbf{B C}$ with the horizontal plane through $(0,0, \operatorname{Re} z)$. This intersection is interesting to us only when $-3 / 2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq-1$. The same argument applies to the degenerate case when the triangle $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{E}^{\prime} \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ becomes the point $\mathbf{B}$.
5. The Heisenberg group problem. In this section we discuss a similar issue on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{n} . \mathbb{H}^{n}$ is the Lie group with underlying manifold $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ and with multiplication law $(z, t)\left(z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\left(z+z^{\prime}, t+t^{\prime}+2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)$ where $z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j}^{\prime}$. The norm of an element $u=(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$ is defined by $|u|=\left(|z|^{4}+|t|^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}$ and is homogeneous of degree 1 under the one-parameter group of dilations $r(z, t) \rightarrow\left(r z, r^{2} t\right)$. Let $\delta$ be the Dirac distribution in the $t$ variable. Ricci and Stein, [RS], considered the family of operators

$$
S^{\gamma} f=f *\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|z|^{\gamma-2 n} \delta_{t=0}\right]
$$

for $0<\gamma \leq 2 n$, where $*$ is the Heisenberg group convolution. Define $\Gamma$ to be the closed triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with vertices $(0,0),(1,1)$ and $\left(1 / p_{0}, 1 / q_{0}\right)$ minus the diagonal $\{(p, q): 1 / p=$ $1 / q\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{0} & =1+(2 n+1)^{-1} \\
q_{0} & =2 n+2
\end{aligned}
$$

When $n=1$, Ricci and Stein obtained $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ boundedness of $S^{\gamma}$ for $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ in the interior of $\Gamma$ and on a portion of its boundary, namely when $6 / 5 \leq p \leq 2$. Christ, [C2], proved $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ boundedness for all boundary points of $\Gamma$ that do not lie on the diagonal for all $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, an example is given in [C2] shows that no boundedness result can hold outside the closure of $\Gamma$. (The singular integral case $\gamma=0$ has been treated by Geller and Stein, [GSt].)

In this section we prove a similar result as in [C2], for an analytic family of fractional integrals $S_{w}^{\gamma}$, in which the operators $S^{\gamma}$ can be embedded. The kernels of $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ are obtained by taking $-w-1$ derivatives transverse to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and doing so in a dilation invariant way. Again the analytic family is defined in such a way as to satisfy $S_{-1}^{\gamma}=S^{\gamma}$. Fix a real smooth even nonnegative compactly supported bump function $\psi$ equal to 1 in a neighborhood of 0 . Our analytic family $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ is given by convolution with the distribution

$$
K_{w}^{\gamma}(z, t)=|z|^{\gamma-2 n-2 w-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{w+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|t|^{w} \psi\left(t /|z|^{2}\right)
$$

For Rew $>-1$, one can define $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ as follows:

$$
\iint f\left(z-z^{\prime}, t-u\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot z^{\prime}\right)|u|^{w} \psi(u) d u\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma-2 n} d z^{\prime}
$$

By analytic continuation, $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ can be defined to be a distribution-valued entire function of $w$ with the property $S_{-1}^{\gamma}=S^{\gamma}$. Our second result describes the exact range of $(1 / p, 1 / q, z, \gamma)$ for which $S_{z}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ when $\operatorname{Re} \gamma>0$. Since by homogeneity considerations, such a boundedness result can only hold when $1 / p-1 / q=\operatorname{Re} \gamma / 2(n+1)$, it is enough to describe the possible range of $p, q$ and $w$ for which $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. The precise statement of the theorem is the following:

Theorem 2. For Re $>0$, the analytic family of fractional integrals $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$ if and only if $(1 / p, 1 / q$, Rew) lies on or vertically above the interiors of the faces $\mathbf{B C D}$ and $\mathbf{A B D}$ union the segment $\mathbf{B D}-\{\mathbf{B}\}$ of the tetrahedron $\mathbf{A B C D}$ with vertices $\mathbf{A}$ $=(0,0,-1), \mathbf{B}=(1 / 2,1 / 2,-n-1), \mathbf{C}=(1,1,-1)$ and $\mathbf{D}=(1,0,0)$. (See figure 2)

PROOF. Again, we will use theorem 2 in [C2] to treat part of the kernel of $S_{w}^{\gamma}$. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of theorem 1. The $L^{2}$ boundedness follows from the work of Geller and Stein [GSt]. They prove that if $\Phi(z, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}-\{0\}\right)$, homogeneous of degree $0,0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$ and such that for some $C_{0}>0 \Phi(z, t)=1$ if $|t| \leq C_{0}|z|^{2}, \Phi(z, t)=0$ if $|t| \geq C_{0}|z|^{2}$, then $\mathbb{H}^{n}$-convolution with the distribution $\Gamma\left(\gamma^{\prime} / 2\right)^{-1} \Phi(z, t)|z|^{-2\left(n+\gamma^{\prime}\right)}|t|^{-1+\gamma^{\prime}}$ maps $L^{2}$ to $L^{2}$ with bounds of admissible growth if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \gamma^{\prime} \geq-n$. (In their paper $\gamma^{\prime}$ is denoted by $\gamma$.) Seting $\gamma^{\prime}=w+1$ and $\Phi(z, t)=\psi\left(t /|z|^{2}\right)$, we get that when $\operatorname{Re} \gamma=0$, $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{2}$ to $L^{2}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Rew} \geq-(n+1)$. Also, one can easily see that when $\operatorname{Re} \gamma=2(n+1)$, $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{1}$ to $L^{\infty}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} w \geq 0$. Analytic interpolation gives that for $(1 / p, 1 / q, \operatorname{Re} w) \in \mathbf{B D}, S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. (Here $q=p^{\prime}$.)

Let $\mathbf{E}=\left(1 / p_{0}, 1 / q_{0},-1\right)$. Our proof will be complete by interpolation, if we can show that for $(1 / p, 1 / q,-1)$ in the segment $\mathbf{A E}-\{\mathbf{A}\}$ and $\operatorname{Re} w=-1, S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$ with bounds of admissible growth. To prove this, let's fix $p, q, \gamma$ and $w$ with $\operatorname{Re} w=-1$, set $K_{w, 1}^{\gamma}=K_{w}^{\gamma} \chi_{|z| \leq 1}$ and define a distribution $H_{w}=\Gamma\left(\frac{w+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|t|^{w} \psi(t) \delta_{z=0}$. By $k_{w, \gamma}$ we will denote the kernel $\delta_{t=0}|z|^{\gamma-2 n-2 w-2} \chi_{|z| \leq 1}$. The basic property of $k_{w, \gamma}$ is that it convolves $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. This because of the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f * k_{w, \gamma}\right\|_{L^{q}}=\left\|\int _ { | z ^ { \prime } | \leq 1 } \left|f\left(z-z^{\prime}, t-2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)\left\|\left.z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma-2 n-2 w-2} d z^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{q}}\right.\right. \\
& \left\|\int _ { \mathbb { C } ^ { n } } \left|f\left(z-z^{\prime}, t-2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)\left\|\left.z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma-2 n} d z^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{p, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from theorem 2 in [C2]. We need the following lemma:
Lemma. $K_{w, 1}^{\gamma}=k_{w, \gamma} * H_{w}+\zeta(z, t)$ where $\zeta(z, t)$ satisfies
$|\zeta(z, t)| \leq C_{w} \chi_{|t| \geq|z|^{2}}|z|^{\operatorname{Re} \gamma-2 n-2}\left(\frac{|t|}{|z|^{2}}\right)^{-1}$.
Proof. The proof of the lemma follows from an easy calculation. We have that

$$
\left(f * k_{w, \gamma} * H_{w}\right)(z, t)=\int_{\left|z^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} \int f\left(z-z^{\prime}, t-t^{\prime}-2 \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma-2 n-2 w-2} \frac{\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{w}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{w+1}{2}\right)} \psi\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} d z^{\prime}
$$

It follows that the difference $f * K_{w, 1}^{\gamma}-f * k_{w, \gamma} * H_{w}$ is equal to $f * \zeta$, where

$$
\zeta(z, t)=\Gamma\left(\frac{w+1}{2}\right)^{-1}|z|^{\gamma-2 n-2 w-2}|t|^{w}\left[\psi\left(t /|z|^{2}\right)-\psi(t)\right] \chi_{|z| \leq 1} .
$$

Since $\psi$ vanishes near 1 , the function $\zeta$ above is supported in $|t| \geq|z|^{2}$ and the required estimate for $\zeta$ follows.

To prove the theorem, it is enough to consider $K_{w, M}^{\gamma}=K_{w}^{\gamma} \chi_{|z| \leq M}$ for all $M>0$ and prove that they convolve $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$ with bounds uniform in $M$. By homogeneity, it suffices to prove that $K_{w, 1}^{\gamma}$ convolves $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$. This will be a consequence of the lemma. First note that for all $1<q<\infty,\left\|f * H_{w}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{w, q}\|f\|_{L^{q}}$ is a consequence of the Hőrmander multiplier theorem. It then follows that

$$
\left\|\left(f * k_{w, \gamma}\right) * H_{w}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{q, w}\left\|f * k_{w, \gamma}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{p, w, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

It remains to control $\|f * \zeta\|$ by $C_{p, w, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}}$. Similar argument as in section 3 , gives that $\zeta \in L^{r, \infty}$ where $r=(2 n+2) /(2 n+2-\operatorname{Re} \gamma)$. Young's inequality gives that convolution with $\zeta$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$, where $p, q$ and $r$ are related as in

$$
\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p}=1+\frac{1}{q} \quad \text { which is equivalent to } \quad \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}=\frac{\operatorname{Re} \gamma}{2(n+1)}
$$

This concludes the proof of our claim. The proof of theorem 2 follows from interpolation. The fact that theorem 2 describes the exact range of $p, q, w$ and $\gamma$ for which $S_{w}^{\gamma}$ maps $L^{p}$ to $L^{q}$ when $\gamma>0$, follows from an argument similar to the one given in section 4 . We omit the details.

This paper is a natural continuation of the work I did in my thesis and I would like to thank my advisor, Mike Christ, once again.
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