

SHARP HARDY SPACE ESTIMATES FOR MULTIPLIERS

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS AND BAE JUN PARK

ABSTRACT. We provide an improvement of Calderón and Torchinsky's version [5] of the Hörmander multiplier theorem on Hardy spaces H^p ($0 < p < \infty$), substituting the Sobolev space $L_s^2(A_0)$ by the Lorentz-Sobolev space $L_s^{\tau^{(s,p)}, \min(1,p)}(A_0)$, where $\tau^{(s,p)} = \frac{n}{s - (n/\min(1,p) - n)}$ and A_0 is the annulus $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1/2 < |\xi| < 2\}$. Our theorem also extends that of Grafakos and Slavíková [10] to the range $0 < p \leq 1$. Our result is sharp in the sense that the preceding Lorentz-Sobolev space cannot be replaced by a larger Lorentz-Sobolev space $L_s^{r,q}(A_0)$ with $r < \tau^{(s,p)}$ or $q > \min(1,p)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Schwartz space and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^n . For the Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we use the definition $\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dx$ and denote by $f^\vee(\xi) := \widehat{f}(-\xi)$ the inverse Fourier transform of f . We also extend these transforms to the space of tempered distributions.

Given a bounded function σ on \mathbb{R}^n , the multiplier operator T_σ is defined as

$$T_\sigma f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\langle x, \xi \rangle$ is the dot product of x and ξ in \mathbb{R}^n . The classical Mikhlin multiplier theorem [15] states that if a function σ , defined on \mathbb{R}^n , satisfies

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(\xi)| \lesssim_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \leq [n/2] + 1,$$

then the operator T_σ admits a bounded extension in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. In [13] Hörmander sharpened Mikhlin's result, using the weaker condition

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^2(A_0)} < \infty$$

for $s > n/2$, where L_s^2 denotes the standard L^2 -based Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^n , Ψ is a Schwartz function on \mathbb{R}^n whose Fourier transform is supported in the annulus $A_0 = \{\xi : 1/2 < |\xi| < 2\}$ and satisfies $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$, $\xi \neq 0$. Calderón and Torchinsky [5] proved that if (1.1) holds for $s > n/p - n/2$, then σ is a Fourier multiplier of Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. A different proof was given by Taibleson and Weiss [22]. It turns out that the condition $s > n/\min(1,p) - n/2$ is optimal for boundedness to hold and it is natural to ask whether condition (1.1) can be

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B15, 42B25, 42B30.

The first author would like to acknowledge the support of the Simons Foundation grant 624733. The second author is supported in part by NRF grant 2019R1F1A1044075 and by a KIAS Individual Grant MG070001 at the Korea Institute for Advanced Study.

weakened. Baernstein and Sawyer [1] obtained endpoint $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ estimates by using Herz space conditions for $(\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})^\vee$. An endpoint $H^1 - L^{1,2}$ estimate involving Besov space was given by Seeger [17, 18] and these estimates were improved and extended to Triebel-Lizorkin spaces by Seeger [19] and Park [16]. Grafakos, He, Honzík, and Nguyen [11] substituted $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > n/2$ in (1.1) by $L_s^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > n/r$, while Grafakos and Slavíková [10] recently improved this, replacing (1.1) by

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{n/s,1}(A_0)} < \infty$$

where $L_s^{n/s,1}$ is a Lorentz-type Sobolev space (defined in (1.2)).

Before stating our results, we recall the definition of Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Lorentz-Sobolev spaces $L_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any measurable function f defined on \mathbb{R}^n , the decreasing rearrangement of f is defined by

$$f^*(t) := \inf \{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0$$

where $d_f(s) := |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > s\}|$. Here we adopt the convention that the infimum of the empty set is ∞ . Then for $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ we define

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, & q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^*(t), & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

The set of all f with $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ is called the Lorentz space and is denoted by $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $s > 0$ let $(I - \Delta)^{s/2}$ be the inhomogeneous fractional Laplacian operator, defined by

$$(I - \Delta)^{s/2} f := ((1 + 4\pi^2 |\cdot|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f})^\vee.$$

Then for $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s > 0$ let

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|_{L_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|(I - \Delta)^{s/2} f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Theorem A. [10] Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < n$ satisfy

$$(1.3) \quad s > |n/p - n/2|.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|T_\sigma f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{n/s,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, a counterexample showing that condition (1.3) is optimal can be found in Slavíková [21]; this means that L^p boundedness could fail on the line $|n/p - n/2| = s$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend Theorem A to Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < p < \infty$. Let Φ be a Schwartz function satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) dx = 1$ and $\text{Supp}(\widehat{\Phi}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2\}$, and $\Phi_k := 2^{kn} \Phi(2^k \cdot)$. We define $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the collection of all tempered distributions f satisfying

$$\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Phi_k * f| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Let

$$\tau^{(s,p)} := \frac{n}{s - (n/\min(1,p) - n)}.$$

The first main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < n/\min(1,p)$ satisfy (1.3). Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \|T_\sigma f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau^{(s,p)}, \min(1,p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The above theorem coincides with Theorem A if $1 < p < \infty$ because $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, and so we mainly deal with the case $0 < p \leq 1$ in the paper. However, a complex interpolation argument between H^1 - and L^2 -boundedness yields the result for $1 < p < 2$; this recovers Theorem A by a duality argument, as our proof for $0 < p \leq 1$ is in fact independent of that in Theorem A. We will give a sketch of this in the appendix. Actually the construction of analytic family of operators and interpolation techniques are very similar to those used in [10].

Remark. As a result of Baernstein and Sawyer [1, Corollary 1 (Chapter 3)], for $0 < p < 1$ and $s \geq n/p - n/2$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad \|T_\sigma f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{B_{\tau^{(s,p)}}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $\Psi_k := 2^{kn} \Psi(2^k \cdot)$ and $B_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Besov space with (quasi-)norms

$$\|g\|_{B_p^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\Phi * g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{skq} \|\Psi_k * g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Then the case $0 < p < 1$ in (1.4) could be also obtained as a consequence of (1.5) and of the embedding

$$(1.6) \quad B_{\tau^{(s_0,p)}}^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{s_1}^{\tau^{s_1,p}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{\tau^{(s_2,p)}}^{s_2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s_2 < s_1 < s_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{(s_1,p)} > 1,$$

which follows from the recent generalization of the Franke-Jawerth embedding theorem for Triebel-Lizorkin-Lorentz spaces of Seeger and Trebels [20]. Conversely, our result also implies (1.5) for $s > n/p - n/2$ via the embedding (1.6) as Theorem 1.1 will be proved in a different way, based on the Littlewood-Paley theory for Hardy spaces and some inequalities in Lorentz spaces. We note that when $s = n/p - n/2$, (1.5) holds while (1.4) fails as mentioned below.

On the other hand, a certain weight condition is required in [1] when we extend (1.5) to H^1 -boundedness. To be specific, we have

$$(1.7) \quad \|T_\sigma f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{B_{n/s}^{s,1}(\omega)} \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad s \geq n/2$$

where $\{\omega(k)^{-1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$ and

$$\|g\|_{B_{n/s}^{s,1}(\omega)} := \|\Phi * g\|_{L^{n/s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(k) 2^{sk} \|\Psi_k * g\|_{L^{n/s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

However, a sharp endpoint H^1 - boundedness holds by using Lorentz-Sobolev conditions without weights in Theorem 1.1. This, combined with the embedding (1.6), improves (1.7) by replacing $B_{n/s}^{s,1}(\omega)$ by $B_{n/s}^{s,1}$ for $s > n/2$. When $s = n/2$, the optimality of $\{\omega(k)^{-1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$ for (1.7) remains open, but it is known in Park [16, Theorem 3.4] that $B_2^{n/2,1}(\omega)$ in (1.7) cannot be substituted by $B_2^{n/2,1}$.

We now turn our attention to the sharpness of Theorem 1.1. We point out that the example of Slavíková [21] is still applicable to the case $0 < p \leq 1$ with the dilation property $\|f(\epsilon \cdot)\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \epsilon^{-n/p} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, and therefore (1.3) is sharp in Theorem 1.1. We now consider the optimality of different parameters. Note that for $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ and $0 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$

$$\|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{uniformly in } j,$$

which follows from the Hölder inequality with even integers s , complex interpolation technique, and a proper embedding theorem. Moreover, if $q_1 \geq q_2$, then the embedding $L_s^{r, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_s^{r, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ yields that

$$\|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{uniformly in } j.$$

Consequently, we may replace $L_s^{\tau^{(s,p)}, \min(1,p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Theorem 1.1 by $L_s^{r, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $r > \tau^{(s,p)}$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, or by $L_s^{\tau^{(s,p)}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < q < \min(1, p)$.

The second main result of this paper is the sharpness of the parameters $\tau^{(s,p)}$ and $\min(1, p)$. That is, Theorem 1.1 is sharp in the sense that $\tau^{(s,p)}$ cannot be replaced by any smaller number r , and if $r = \tau^{(s,p)}$, then $\min(1, p)$ cannot be replaced by any larger number q .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $|n/p - n/2| < s < n/\min(1, p)$.*

(1) *For any $0 < r < \tau^{(s,p)}$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, there exists a function σ that satisfies*

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$$

such that T_σ is unbounded on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(2) *For any $q > \min(1, p)$, there exists a function σ that satisfies*

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau^{(s,p)}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$$

such that T_σ is unbounded on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is dedicated to preliminaries, mostly extensions of inequalities in Lebesgue spaces to Lorentz spaces thanks to a real interpolation technique. We address the case $0 < p \leq 1$ of Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 4. In the appendix, a complex interpolation method is discussed whose purpose is to establish the L^p -boundedness for $1 < p < 2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The Lorentz spaces are generalization of Lebesgue spaces, which occur as intermediate spaces for the real interpolation, so called K -method. For $0 < p, p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $0 < r \leq \infty$, and $0 < \theta < 1$ satisfying $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$,

$$(2.1) \quad (L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta, r} = L^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This remains valid for vector-valued spaces. For $0 < p, p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $0 < q, r \leq \infty$, and $0 < \theta < 1$ satisfying $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$,

$$(2.2) \quad (L^{p_0}(\ell^q), L^{p_1}(\ell^q))_{\theta, r} = L^{p, r}(\ell^q), \quad (\ell^q(L^{p_0}), \ell^q(L^{p_1}))_{\theta, r} = \ell^q(L^{p, r}).$$

We remark that $((L^{p_0}(\ell^{q_0}), L^{p_1}(\ell^{q_1}))_{\theta, r} \neq L^{p, r}(\ell^q)$, $(\ell^{q_0}(L^{p_0}), \ell^{q_1}(L^{p_1}))_{\theta, r} \neq \ell^q(L^{p, r})$ for $q_0 \neq q_1$ with $1/q = (1 - \theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1$. See [2, 3, 6, 7] for more details.

Then many inequalities in Lebesgue spaces can be extended to Lorentz spaces from the following real interpolation method, which appears in [2, 3, 7, 12].

Proposition B. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two topological vector spaces. Suppose (A_0, A_1) and (B_0, B_1) be couples of quasi-normed spaces continuously embedded into \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$. If T is a linear operator such that

$$T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0, \quad T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1,$$

with the quasi-norms M_0 and M_1 , respectively, then

$$T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, r} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, r}$$

is also continuous, and for its quasi-norm we have

$$\|T\|_{(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, r} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, r}} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta.$$

As applications of Proposition B, we shall extend Young inequality, Hausdorff-Young inequality, Minkowski inequality, and Kato-Ponce type inequality into Lorentz spaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < p \leq r < \infty$, $1 \leq q < r$, and $0 < t \leq \infty$ satisfy $1/r + 1 = 1/p + 1/q$. Then*

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{r, t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p, t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For a fixed $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the linear operator T_g by

$$T_g f := f * g.$$

Choose r_1 , θ , and p_1 such that $r < r_1 < \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $p < p_1 < \infty$, $1/r = (1 - \theta)/q + \theta/r_1$, and $1/r_1 + 1 = 1/p_1 + 1/q$. Then note that $1/p = 1 - \theta + \theta/p_1$. By using Young inequality, we obtain that

$$\|T_g f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^q} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$\|T_g f\|_{L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^q} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then Proposition B with (2.1) completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p',r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Hausdorff-Young inequality and Proposition B with (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < r \leq \infty$, and $s > 0$. For any $\vartheta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \|\vartheta \cdot f\|_{L_s^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,s,p,r,\vartheta} \|f\|_{L_s^{p',r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Pick p_0, p_1 satisfying $1 < p_0 < p < p_1 < \infty$ and let T be the linear operator defined by

$$Tf := (I - \Delta)^{s/2}(\vartheta \cdot (I - \Delta)^{-s/2}f).$$

Then we apply the Kato-Ponce inequality [14] to obtain

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p_j}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_j}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1.$$

Then (2.3) follows from Proposition B and (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_k\|_{L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \right)^{1/q}$$

Proof. We select $p_1 > 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$ so that $p < p_1 < \infty$ and $1/p = (1-\theta)/p_1 + \theta/q$. Using Minkowski inequality we write $\|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^{p_1}(\ell^q)} \lesssim \|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q(L^{p_1})}$ and we interpolate this with $\|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^q(\ell^q)} = \|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q(L^q)}$ to obtain

$$\|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{(L^{p_1}(\ell^q), L^q(\ell^q))_{\theta,r}} \lesssim \|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{(\ell^q(L^{p_1}, \ell^q(L^q)))_{\theta,r}}.$$

Then the proof is completed in view of (2.2). \square

The next ingredient we need is Hölder's inequality in Lorentz spaces, which is an immediate consequence of the Hardy-Littlewood inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)|dx \leq \int_0^\infty f^*(t)g^*(t)dt$$

and Hölder's inequality for Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)|dx \leq \|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1/q + 1/q' = 1$.

The following Lorentz space variant of the Sobolev embedding theorem can be easily obtained from the classical Sobolev embedding theorem combined with the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem; the proof is omitted.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$, and $0 < r_0, r_1 \leq \infty$. Then the embedding*

$$L_{s_0}^{p_0, r_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{s_1}^{p_1, r_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

holds if $p_0 = p_1$, $s_0 \geq s_1$, $r_0 \leq r_1$, or if $s_0 - s_1 = n/p_0 - n/p_1 > 0$.

We remark that a generalization of the preceding lemma can be found in the recent work of Seeger and Trebels [20].

Finally, we describe the behavior of decreasing rearrangement of radial functions.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose f is a radial function with $f(x) = g(|x|)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$f^*(t) = g^*((t/\Omega_n)^{1/n})$$

where Ω_n stands for the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(s) &= |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > s\}| = |\{r\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n : |g(r)| > s, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}| \\ &= \Omega_n |\{r > 0 : |g(r)| > s\}|^n \\ &= \Omega_n (d_g(s))^n \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(t) &= \inf \{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\} = \inf \{s > 0 : \Omega_n (d_g(s))^n \leq t\} \\ &= \inf \{s > 0 : d_g(s) \leq (t/\Omega_n)^{1/n}\} \\ &= g^*((t/\Omega_n)^{1/n}). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The set of Schwartz functions whose Fourier transform is compactly supported away from the origin is dense in $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$; this is a consequence of Littlewood-Paley theory for H^p as one can approximate $f \in H^p$ by

$$f^{(N)} := \sum_{k=-N}^N 2^{kn} \Psi(2^k \cdot) * f \rightarrow f \quad \text{in } H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

See [24] for more details. Thus we may work with such Schwartz functions. Let f be a Schwartz function with compact support away from the origin in frequency space and suppose $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p), p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have the properties that $\text{Supp}(\Lambda) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 1\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Lambda(\xi) d\xi = 1$. For $0 < \epsilon < 1/100$, we introduce

$$\sigma^\epsilon(\xi) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j)) * \Lambda^{j, \epsilon}(\xi)$$

where $\Lambda^{j,\epsilon} := (2^j\epsilon)^{-n}\Lambda(\cdot/2^j\epsilon)$. Then since \widehat{f} has compact support away from the origin,

$$T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left([(\sigma\widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j)) * \Lambda^{j,\epsilon}] \widehat{f} \right)^\vee$$

is a finite sum and thus, using the argument of approximation of identity, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Phi_k * (T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f)(x) = \Phi_k * (T_\sigma f)(x).$$

This proves that

$$\|T_\sigma f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Phi_k * (T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f)| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where we applied Fatou's lemma in the last inequality. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \|T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{uniformly in } \epsilon.$$

Now there exist a sequence of L^∞ -atoms $\{a_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and a sequence of scalars $\{\lambda_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ so that

$$f = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \lambda_l a_l \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^\infty |\lambda_l|^p \right)^{1/p} \approx \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where L^∞ -atom a_l for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ means that there exists a cube Q_l such that a_l is supported in Q_l , $|a_l| \leq |Q_l|^{-1/p}$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma a_l(x) dx = 0$ for all multi-indices γ with $|\gamma| \leq [n/p - n]$.

We note that T_{σ^ϵ} maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself, which implies that T_{σ^ϵ} is well-defined on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using duality argument, and actually, $T_{\sigma^\epsilon} : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This yields that

$$T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \lambda_l (T_{\sigma^\epsilon}a_l) \quad \text{in the sense of tempered distribution.}$$

Hence we have

$$\|T_{\sigma^\epsilon}f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left(\sum_{l=1}^\infty |\lambda_l|^p \|T_{\sigma^\epsilon}a_l\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

using subadditive property of $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p$.

Moreover, due to support assumptions and dilations, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\sigma^\epsilon(2^j\xi)\widehat{\Psi}(\xi) = \sum_{l=j-2}^{j+2} (\sigma\widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^l)) * \Lambda^{l,\epsilon}(2^j\xi)\widehat{\Psi}(\xi) = \sum_{l=-2}^2 (\sigma(2^j\cdot)\widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^l)) * \Lambda^{l,\epsilon}(\xi)\widehat{\Psi}(\xi),$$

from which it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| (\sigma^\epsilon(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}) \right\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sum_{l=-2}^2 \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| (I - \Delta)^{s/2} \left((\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^l)) * \Lambda^{l,\epsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l=-2}^2 \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^l) \right\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{l=-2}^2 C_l \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma(2^{j+l} \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in ϵ ; here we applied Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1 combined with the fact that $\|\Lambda^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\Lambda\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Therefore, the proof of (3.1) is reduced to the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and a be a H^p -atom, associated with a cube Q in \mathbb{R}^n . Then we have*

$$\|T_\sigma a\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where the constant in the inequality is independent of σ and a .

Proof. Introducing the function Θ satisfying $\widehat{\Theta}(\xi) := \widehat{\Psi}(\xi/2) + \widehat{\Psi}(\xi) + \widehat{\Psi}(2\xi)$ so that $\widehat{\Theta} = 1$ on the support of $\widehat{\Psi}$, let \mathcal{L}_j and \mathcal{L}_j^Θ be the Littlewood-Paley operators associated with Ψ and Θ , respectively. Let Q^* and Q^{**} denote the concentric dilates of Q with side length $10l(Q)$ and $100l(Q)$, respectively. Then we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\sigma a\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\approx \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim_p \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(Q^{**})} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Hölder's inequality, the first part is controlled by

$$|Q^{**}|^{1/p-1/2} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_n |Q|^{1/p-1/2} \|T_\sigma a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and we see that

$$\|T_\sigma a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |Q|^{-(1/p-1/2)}.$$

Now using Lemma 2.5, 2.2, and 2.6 with $1 < \tau(s,p) < 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \left\| (\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})^\vee \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \left\| (1 + 4\pi^2 |\cdot|^2)^{(s-(n/p-n))/2} (\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})^\vee \right\|_{L^{(\tau(s,p))',1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L_{s-(n/p-n)}^{\tau(s,p),1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(Q^{**})} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To verify

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

we notice that $\mathcal{L}_j T_\sigma a(x)$ can be written as $(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)(x)$. We decompose the left-hand side of (3.2) to

$$\mathcal{I} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j: 2^j l(Q) < 1} |(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j: 2^j l(Q) \geq 1} |(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)}.$$

In view of the embedding $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^2$

$$\mathcal{I} \leq \left(\sum_{j: 2^j l(Q) < 1} \|(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and Bernstein's inequality, we obtain

$$\|(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{jn(1/p-1)} \|(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Using dilation, Lemma 2.5 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{jn(1/p-1)} \|(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})^\vee(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \left\| |1 + 4\pi^2| \cdot |^2 \right|^{s/2} (\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})^\vee \Big\|_{L^{(n/(sp))',1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/p} \\ &= \left\| |1 + 4\pi^2| \cdot |^2 \right|^{s/2} (\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})^\vee \Big\|_{L^{p(n/(sp))',p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ (3.3) \quad &\leq \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

since $2 < p(n/(sp))' < \infty$ and $\tau(s,p) = (p(n/(sp))')'$. Moreover, for any $M > 0$

$$|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a(x)| \lesssim_M |Q|^{1-1/p} (2^j l(Q))^{[n/p-n]+1} \frac{2^{jn}}{(1 + 2^j |x - c_Q|)^M},$$

using standard arguments in [9, Appendix B] with $2^j l(Q) < 1$ and the fact that

$$|a(x)| \lesssim_{n,M} |Q|^{-1/p} \frac{1}{(1 + |x - c_Q|/l(Q))^M}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha a(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq [n/p-n],$$

$$|\partial^\alpha (2^{jn} \Psi(2^j \cdot))(x)| \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|} 2^{jn} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j |x|)^M} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

where c_Q denotes the center of Q . Selecting $M > n/p$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_j a\|_{L^p} \lesssim (2^j l(Q))^{[n/p]+1-n/p}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) < 1} (2^j l(Q))^{p([n/p]+1-n/p)} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $[n/p] + 1 - n/p > 0$.

To estimate \mathcal{J} we further separate into two terms

$$\mathcal{J}_1 := \left\| \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} |(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\chi_{(Q^*)^c} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_2 := \left\| \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} |(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)}.$$

Using the embedding $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^2$, Bernstein inequality with

$$(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\chi_{(Q^*)^c} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)(x) = (\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * [\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus (\chi_{(Q^*)^c} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)](x),$$

and the inequality (3.3), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} \|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus (\chi_{(Q^*)^c} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

We see that for $x \in (Q^*)^c$ and $M > n/p$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a(x)| &\lesssim_M |Q|^{-1/p} \int_{y \in Q} \frac{2^{jn}}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{2M}} dy \lesssim_M |Q|^{-1/p} \frac{1}{(2^j|x-c_Q|)^M} \\ &\lesssim_M |Q|^{-1/p} (2^j l(Q))^{-M} \frac{1}{(1+|x-c_Q|/l(Q))^M} \end{aligned}$$

since $|x-y| \geq \frac{9}{10}|x-c_Q|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus (\chi_{(Q^*)^c} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim |Q|^{-1/p} (2^j l(Q))^{-M} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^{jn} \Theta(2^j(x-y))| \frac{1}{(1+|x-c_Q|/l(Q))^M} dy \right)^p dx \right]^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Standard manipulations with $2^j l(Q) \geq 1$ in [9, Appendix B] yield that the last expression is less than a constant times

$$|Q|^{-1/p} (2^j l(Q))^{-M} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|x-c_Q|/l(Q))^{Mp}} dx \right)^{1/p} \lesssim (2^j l(Q))^{-M}.$$

Accordingly,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\sum_{k:2^k l(Q) \geq 1} (2^k l(Q))^{-Mp} \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We now consider \mathcal{J}_2 . Choose $n/p - n/2 < N < s$ so that $n/2 < Np < sp < n$ and $2 < p(n/(Np))' < \infty$. For notational convenience we write

$$\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma(x) := (1 + 4\pi^2(2^j |x|)^2)^{N/2} (\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee(x).$$

Observe that if $x \in (Q^{**})^c$ and $y \in Q^*$, then $|x - c_Q| \lesssim |x - y|$ and thus

$$|x - c_Q|^N |(\sigma \widehat{\Psi}(\cdot/2^j))^\vee * (\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a)(x)| \lesssim 2^{-jN} |\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma| * |\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a|(x).$$

This proves that \mathcal{J}_2 is less than a constant times

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{|x - c_Q|^N} \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} 2^{-2jN} \left(|\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma| * |\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p((Q^{**})^c)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} 2^{-2jN} \left(|\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma| * |\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a| \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{(n/(Np))',1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & = \left\| \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} 2^{-2jN} \left(|\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma| * |\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p(n/(Np))',p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we made use of Lemma 2.5 with $n/(Np) > 1$. Now using Lemma 2.4 with $p(n/(Np))' > 2$, the preceding expression is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$\left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} 2^{-2jN} \left\| |\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma| * |\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a| \right\|_{L^{p(n/(Np))',p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and Lemma 2.1 yields that

$$\left\| |\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma| * |\chi_{Q^*} \mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a| \right\|_{L^{p(n/(Np))',p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma\|_{L^{p(n/(Np))',p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\|_{L^1(Q^*)}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_j^N \sigma\|_{L^{p(n/(Np))',p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \lesssim 2^{-j(n/p-n)} 2^{jN} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_N^{\tau(N,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-j(n/p-n)} 2^{jN} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

by applying dilation, Lemma 2.2 with $(p(n/(Np))')' = \tau(N,p)$, and Lemma 2.6 with $s > N$. Combining with the estimate $\|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\|_{L^1(Q^*)} \lesssim |Q|^{1/2} \|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 & \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |Q|^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j:2^j l(Q) \geq 1} 2^{-2j(n/p-n)} \|\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |Q|^{1/p-1/2} \|\{\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^2(\ell^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{\tau(s,p),p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

because $\|\{\mathcal{L}_j^\ominus a\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^2(\ell^2)} \approx \|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq |Q|^{-1/p+1/2}$.

This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The construction of our counterexamples is based on the idea in [16] and the following lemma is crucial in the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < s, \gamma < \infty$ and define the function on \mathbb{R}^n*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}(x) := \frac{1}{(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2)^{s/2}} \frac{1}{(1 + \ln(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2))^{\gamma/2}}.$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}$ is a positive radial function and there exist $c_{s,\gamma,n}, d_{s,\gamma,n} > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}(\xi) \leq c_{s,\gamma,n} e^{-|\xi|/2} \quad \text{when } |\xi| \geq 1$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d_{s,\gamma,n}} \leq \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}(\xi)}{\mathfrak{F}^{(s,\gamma)}(\xi)} \leq d_{s,\gamma,n} \quad \text{when } |\xi| \leq 1$$

where

$$\mathfrak{F}^{(s,\gamma)}(\xi) := \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} (1 + 2 \ln |\xi|^{-1})^{-\gamma/2} & \text{for } 0 < s < n \\ 1 & \text{for } s \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is known that the Fourier transform of $(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2)^{-s/2}$ is the Bessel potential $G_s(\xi)$. Recall that G_s is a positive radial function, $\|G_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$, and there exist $C_{s,n}, D_{s,n} > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad G_s(\xi) \leq C_{(s,n)} e^{-|\xi|/2} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq 1,$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{D_{(s,n)}} \leq \frac{G_s(\xi)}{\mathfrak{G}_s(\xi)} \leq D_{(s,n)} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \leq 1$$

where

$$\mathfrak{G}_s(\xi) := \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} & \text{for } 0 < s < n \\ \ln(2|\xi|^{-1}) & \text{for } s = n \\ 1 & \text{for } s > n. \end{cases}$$

Here we note that for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$(4.5) \quad C_{(s,n)}, D_{(s,n)} \lesssim_{\epsilon,n} e^{\epsilon|s-n|}.$$

We refer to [9, Ch. 1.2.2] for more details.

Using the identity

$$A^{-\gamma/2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tA} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t},$$

which is valid for $A > 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \log(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2))^{-\gamma/2} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} e^{-t \log(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2)} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2)^t} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain from this that the Fourier transform of $(1 + \log(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2))^{-\gamma/2}$ is

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} G_{2t}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and consequently,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}(\xi) = G_s * \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} G_{2t}(\cdot) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right) (\xi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} G_{2t+s}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Clearly, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}$ is a positive radial function since so is G_{2t+s} .

Suppose $|\xi| \geq 1$. Then using (4.3) and (4.5) with $0 < \epsilon < 1/100$,

$$|\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}(\xi)| \lesssim_{\epsilon,n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-t} e^{\epsilon|2t+s-n|} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right) e^{-|\xi|/2} \lesssim_{s,n,\gamma} e^{-|\xi|/2},$$

which proves (4.2).

Now we assume that $|\xi| \leq 1$. When $0 < s < n$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(s,\gamma)}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t} G_{2t+s}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_{\frac{n-s}{2}}^\infty e^{-t} G_{2t+s}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Then using (4.4), (4.5), and change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t} G_{2t+s}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\lesssim_{n,\epsilon} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t} |\xi|^{2t} e^{\epsilon(n-2t-s)} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq e^{\epsilon(n-s)} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t(1+2\ln(|\xi|^{-1}))} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq e^{\epsilon(n-s)} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} (1 + 2\ln(|\xi|^{-1}))^{-\gamma/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\lesssim_{s,n,\gamma} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} (1 + 2\ln(|\xi|^{-1}))^{-\gamma/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t} G_{2t+s}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\
& \gtrsim_{n,\epsilon} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t} |\xi|^{2t} e^{-\epsilon(n-2t-s)} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\
& \geq e^{-\epsilon(n-s)} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t(1+2\ln(|\xi|^{-1}))} \frac{dt}{t} \\
& \geq e^{-\epsilon(n-s)} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} (1+2\ln(|\xi|^{-1}))^{-\gamma/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_0^{\frac{n-s}{2}} e^{-t} t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\
& \gtrsim_{s,n,\gamma} |\xi|^{-(n-s)} (1+2\ln(|\xi|^{-1}))^{-\gamma/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can also prove that

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)} \int_{\frac{n-s}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-t} G_{2t+s}(\xi) t^{\gamma/2} \frac{dt}{t} \approx_{s,n,\gamma} 1.$$

A similar computation, together with (4.4) and (4.5), will lead to an estimate for $s \geq n$, in which $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(s,\gamma)} \approx_{s,\gamma,n} 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$. We leave this to the reader to avoid unnecessary repetition. \square

In what follows let $\eta, \tilde{\eta}$ denote Schwartz functions so that $\eta \geq 0$, $\eta(x) \geq c$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1/100\}$ for some $c > 0$, $\text{Supp}(\hat{\eta}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 1/1000\}$, $\hat{\tilde{\eta}}(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1/1000$, and $\text{Supp}(\hat{\tilde{\eta}}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 1/100\}$. Let $e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $0 < t, \gamma < \infty$. Define $\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}$ as in (4.1),

$$K^{(t,\gamma)}(x) := \mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)} * \tilde{\eta}(x) e^{2\pi i \langle x, e_1 \rangle},$$

and

$$\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(\xi) := \widehat{K^{(t,\gamma)}}(\xi).$$

We investigate an upper bound of $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and a lower bound of $\|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ when $t - n < s$.

4.1. Upper bound of $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Note that, due to the supports of $\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}$, we have

$$\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \xi) \widehat{\Psi}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \widehat{K^{(t,\gamma)}}(2^j \xi) \widehat{\Psi}(\xi), & -2 \leq j \leq 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $-2 \leq j \leq 2$ and $t - n < s$,

$$\|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\widehat{\mathcal{H}^{(t-s,\gamma)}}\|_{L^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where Lemma 2.3 is applied.

For $u > 0$ define

$$\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(u) := \begin{cases} u^{-(n-t+s)}(1 + 2 \ln u^{-1})^{-\gamma/2} & \text{for } u \leq 1 \\ e^{-u/2+1/2} & \text{for } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}$ is a positive decreasing function and this implies that

$$(4.6) \quad (\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)})^*(u) = \mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(u).$$

We first assume $0 < q < \infty$. By using Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(\xi) \lesssim_{s,t,\gamma,n} \mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(|\xi|),$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(t-s,\gamma)}\|_{L^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim_{s,t,\gamma,n} \|\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(|\cdot|)\|_{L^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}((u/\Omega_n)^{1/n}) u^{1/r} \right)^q \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \Omega_n^{1/r} n^{1/q} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(u) \right)^q u^{nq/r} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.7 is applied with (4.6). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(u) \right)^q u^{nq/r} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} &= \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{u^{n-t+s-n/r}} \frac{1}{(1 + 2 \ln u^{-1})^{\gamma q/2}} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_1^\infty u^{(n-t+s-n/r)q} \frac{1}{(1 + 2 \ln u)^{\gamma q/2}} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\int_1^\infty \left(\mathcal{T}^{(t-s,\gamma)}(u) \right)^q u^{nq/r} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} = e^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty e^{-uq/2} u^{nq/r} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} \lesssim_{q,r,n} 1$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$(4.7) \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{s,\gamma,n,q,r} 1 + \left(\int_1^\infty u^{(n-t+s-n/r)q} \frac{1}{(1 + 2 \ln u)^{\gamma q/2}} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q}$$

and with the usual modification if $q = \infty$ we may also obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{s,\gamma,n,r} 1 + \sup_{u>1} \frac{u^{n-t+s-n/r}}{(1 + 2 \ln u)^{\gamma/2}}.$$

4.2. Lower bound of $\|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. If $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq |\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(e_1)| \gtrsim \|\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, for $0 < p < 1$, define $f(x) := \eta(x)e^{2\pi i \langle x, e_1 \rangle}$. Observe that $|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}} f(x)| = |\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)} * \eta(x)|$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\gtrsim \|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}} f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq \|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)} * \eta\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \gtrsim \|\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}, \eta \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}(x-y) \geq \mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}(x)\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}(y)$.

Consequently, for any $0 < p < \infty$,

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \gtrsim \|\mathcal{H}^{(t,\gamma)}\|_{L^{\min(1,p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+4\pi^2|x|^2)^{t \min(1,p)/2}} \frac{1}{(1+\ln(1+4\pi^2|x|^2))^{\min(1,p)\gamma/2}} dx \right)^{1/\min(1,p)}. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.2. We are only concerned with the case $0 < p \leq 2$ as the other cases follow by a duality argument. Suppose $n/p - n/2 < s < n/\min(1,p)$.

We first assume $r < \tau^{(s,p)}$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Then we can choose $t < \frac{n}{\min(1,p)}$ so that

$$r < \frac{n}{s - (t - n)} < \frac{n}{s - (n/\min(1,p) - n)} = \tau^{(s,p)}.$$

Note that $t - n < s$ and $n - t + s - n/r < 0$, from which

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{s,\gamma,n,q,r} 1$$

due to (4.7) and (4.8). Moreover, since $t \min(1,p) < n$

$$\|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \infty,$$

using (4.9).

Now suppose $r = \tau^{(s,p)}$ and $\min(1,p) < q$. Choose

$$(4.10) \quad 2/q < \gamma \leq 2/\min(1,p)$$

and let $t = \frac{n}{\min(1,p)}$ such that $n - t + s - n/r = 0$. Then

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{s,\gamma,n,q} 1 + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(1+2 \ln u)^{\gamma q/2}} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q} \lesssim 1$$

because of (4.10) for $0 < q < \infty$, and similarly, $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{s,\gamma,n} 1$ for $q = \infty$. On the other hand, $\|T_{\sigma^{(t,\gamma)}}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded below by

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+4\pi^2|x|^2)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(1+\ln(1+4\pi^2|x|^2))^{\min(1,p)\gamma/2}} dx \right)^{1/\min(1,p)},$$

which diverges for the choice of γ in (4.10).

APPENDIX A. COMPLEX INTERPOLATION OF H^1 - AND L^2 -BOUNDEDNESS

In this section, we review the complex interpolation method of Calderón-Torchinsky [5] and Triebel [23], which is a generalization of the well-known method of Calderón [4] and Fefferman and Stein [8].

Let $A := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$ be a strip in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and \bar{A} denote its closure. We say that the mapping $z \mapsto f_z \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a \mathcal{S}' -analytic function on A if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, $g(x, z) := (\varphi \widehat{f_z})(x)$ is a uniformly continuous and bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \overline{A}$.
- (2) For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h_x := (\varphi \widehat{f_z})^\vee$ is an analytic function on A .

Let $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$. Then we define $F(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to be the collection of all \mathcal{S}' -analytic functions f_z on A such that

$$f_{it} \in H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f_{1+it} \in H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f_{l+it}\|_{H^{p_l}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \quad \text{for each } l = 1, 2.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f_z\|_{F(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} := \max \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f_{it}\|_{H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f_{1+it}\|_{H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right).$$

For $0 < \theta < 1$ the intermediate space $(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta$ is defined by

$$(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta := \{g : \exists f_z \in F(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ so that } g = f_\theta\}$$

and the (quasi-)norm in the space is

$$\|g\|_{(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta} := \inf_{f_z \in F(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)): g=f_\theta} \|f_z\|_{F(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible functions f_z in the sense that $f_z \in F(H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $g = f_\theta$. It is known in [5, 23] that for any $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$

$$(A.1) \quad (H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{when } 1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1.$$

We now use this method to interpolate H^1 - and L^2 -boundedness of the multiplier operator T_σ to obtain L^p estimates for $1 < p < 2$. Note that $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Since most arguments are very similar to that used in the proof of [10, Theorem 3.1], we shall provide only the outline of the proof, omitting the details.

We may consider a Schwartz function f whose Fourier transform is compactly supported via a density argument. Suppose that $1 < p < 2$ and $n/p - n/2 < s < n$. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ satisfy $1/p = (1-\theta)/1 + \theta/2$. Then we have $s > n/p - n/2 = (1-\theta)n/2$. Pick $s_0 > n/2$ so that

$$s > (1-\theta)s_0 > (1-\theta)n/2$$

and let $s_1 := \frac{s-(1-\theta)s_0}{\theta} > 0$ which implies

$$s = (1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1.$$

Since $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = (H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta$, by definition, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $f_z^\epsilon \in F(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $f = f_\theta^\epsilon$ and

$$(A.2) \quad \|f_z^\epsilon\|_{F(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} < \|f\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta} + \epsilon.$$

Now let $\widehat{\Theta}(\xi) := \widehat{\Psi}(\xi/2) + \widehat{\Psi}(\xi) + \widehat{\Psi}(2\xi)$ as before, and $\sigma^{j,s} := (I - \Delta)^{s/2}(\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi})$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define, as in [10, (3.18)],

$$\sigma_z(\xi) := \frac{(1 + \theta)^{n+1}}{(1 + z)^{n+1}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (I - \Delta)^{-\frac{s_0(1-z) + s_1 z}{2}} \left(\sigma^{j,s} h_{j,s}^{\frac{s-(1-z)s_0-zs_1}{n}} \right) (\xi/2^j) \widehat{\Theta}(\xi/2^j)$$

where $h_{j,s} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a measure preserving transformation so that $|\sigma^{j,s}| = (\sigma^{j,s})^* \circ h_{j,s}$. Then we note that $\sigma_\theta = \sigma$ and $F_z := T_{\sigma_z} f_z^\epsilon$ is a \mathcal{S}' -analytic function on A . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\sigma f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\approx \|T_{\sigma_\theta} f_\theta^\epsilon\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta} = \|F_\theta\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta} \\ &\leq \|F_z\|_{F(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \max \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|F_{it}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|F_{1+it}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem 1.1 for $p = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{it}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|T_{\sigma_{it}} f_{it}^\epsilon\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_{it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_{s_0}^{n/s_0, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_{it}^\epsilon\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_{it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_{s_0}^{n/s_0, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\|f\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta} + \epsilon \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (A.2) is applied in the last inequality. Similarly, with L^2 -boundedness,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{1+it}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|T_{\sigma_{1+it}} f_{1+it}^\epsilon\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\sigma_{1+it}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_{1+it}^\epsilon\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_{1+it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\|f\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta} + \epsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, once we prove

$$(A.3) \quad \|\sigma_{it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_{s_0}^{n/s_0, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\sigma_{1+it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{n/s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

uniformly in j , then we are done by using (A.1) and taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let us prove (A.3). We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_z(2^j \xi) \widehat{\Psi}(\xi) \\ &= \frac{(1 + \theta)^{n+1}}{(1 + z)^{n+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (I - \Delta)^{-\frac{s_0(1-z) + s_1 z}{2}} \left(\sigma^{k,s} h_{k,s}^{\frac{s-(1-z)s_0-zs_1}{n}} \right) (\xi/2^{k-j}) \widehat{\Theta}(\xi/2^{k-j}) \widehat{\Psi}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

is actually finite sum over k near j due to the supports of $\widehat{\Theta}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}$, and for simplicity, we may therefore take $k = j$ in the calculation below.

Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|\sigma_{it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_{s_0}^{n/s_0, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 + |t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \left\| (I - \Delta)^{\frac{(s_0 - s_1)it}{2}} \left(\sigma^{j,s} h_{j,s}^{\frac{s-s_0+(s_0-s_1)it}{n}} \right) \right\|_{L^{n/s_0, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then we apply [10, Lemma 3.5, 3.7] to bound this by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{j,s} h_{j,s}^{\frac{s-s_0+(s_0-s_1)it}{n}} \right\|_{L^{n/s_0, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|(\sigma^{j,s})^*(r) r^{(s-s_0)/n}\|_{L^{n/s_0, 1}(0, \infty)} \\ &\lesssim \|(\sigma^{j,s})^*\|_{L^{n/s, 1}(0, \infty)} \lesssim \|\sigma^{j,s}\|_{L^{n/s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^{n/s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using [10, Lemma 3.4, 3.5, 3.7],

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sigma_{1+it}(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \left\| (I-\Delta)^{-s_1/2} (I-\Delta)^{(s_0-s_1)it/2} \left(\sigma^{j,s} h_{j,s}^{\frac{s-s_1+(s_0-s_1)it}{n}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \left\| (I-\Delta)^{(s_0-s_1)it/2} \left(\sigma^{j,s} h_{j,s}^{\frac{s-s_1+(s_0-s_1)it}{n}} \right) \right\|_{L^{n/s_1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{j,s} h_{j,s}^{\frac{s-s_1+(s_0-s_1)it}{n}} \right\|_{L^{n/s_1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| (\sigma^{j,s})^*(r) r^{(s-s_1)/n} \right\|_{L^{n/s_1,1}(0,\infty)} \\
& \lesssim \left\| (\sigma^{j,s})^* \right\|_{L^{n/s_1,1}(0,\infty)} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{j,s} \right\|_{L^{n/s_1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \sigma(2^j \cdot) \widehat{\Psi} \right\|_{L_s^{n/s_1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of (A.3).

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Professors M. Mastlylo and A. Seeger for providing us important references related to real interpolation. We would also like to thank A. Seeger for pointing out to us the content of the remark after Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baernstein II and E. T. Sawyer, *Embedding and multiplier theorems for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **318** (1985).
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [3] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [4] A.P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. **24** (1964) 113-190.
- [5] A.P. Calderón and A. Torchinsky, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, II*, Adv. Math. **24** (1977) 101-171.
- [6] M. Cwikel, *On $(L^{p_0}(A_0), L^{p_1}(A_1))_{\theta,q}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974) 286-292.
- [7] C. Fefferman, N. Riviere, and Y. Sagher, *Interpolation between H^p spaces: The real method*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **191** (1974) 75-81.
- [8] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972) 137-193.
- [9] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, 3rd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 250, Springer, NY 2014.
- [10] L. Grafakos and L. Slavíková, *A sharp version of the Hörmander multiplier theorem*, Int. Math. Research Notices **15** (2019) 4764-4783.
- [11] L. Grafakos, D. He, P. Honzík, and H. V. Nguyen, *The Hörmander multiplier theorem I : The linear case revisited*, Illinois J. Math. **61** (2017) 25-35.
- [12] T. Holmstedt, *Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces*, Math. Scand. **26** (1970) 177-199.
- [13] L. Hörmander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators in L_p spaces*, Acta Math. **104** (1960) 93-140.
- [14] T. Kato and G. Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988) 891-907.
- [15] S. G. Mihlin, *On the multipliers of Fourier integrals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **109** (1956) 701-703 (Russian).
- [16] B. Park, *Fourier multiplier theorems for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Math. Z. **293** (2019) 221-258.
- [17] A. Seeger, *A limit case of the Hörmander multiplier theorem*, Monatsh. Math. **105** (1988) 151-160.
- [18] A. Seeger, *Estimates near L^1 for Fourier multipliers and maximal functions*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **53** (1989) 188-193.

- [19] A. Seeger, *Remarks on singular convolution operators*, *Studia Math.* **97** (1990) 91-114.
- [20] A. Seeger and W. Trebels, *Embeddings for spaces of Lorentz-Sobolev type*, *Math. Ann.* **373** (2019) 1017-1056.
- [21] L. Slavíková, *On the failure of the Hörmander multiplier theorem in a limiting case*, *Rev. Mat. Iber.* **36** (2020) 1013–1020.
- [22] M. Taibleson and G. Weiss *The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces*, *Astérisque* **77** (1980) 67-151.
- [23] H. Triebel, *Complex interpolation and Fourier multipliers for the spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$ of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev type : The case $0 < p \leq \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$* , *Math. Z.* **176** (1981) 495-510.
- [24] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhauser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart (1983).

L. GRAFAKOS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: grafakosl@missouri.edu

B. PARK, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: qkrqowns@kias.re.kr