

OFF-DIAGONAL MULTILINEAR INTERPOLATION BETWEEN ADJOINT OPERATORS

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS¹ AND RICHARD G. LYNCH²

ABSTRACT. We extend a theorem by Grafakos and Tao [5] on multilinear interpolation between adjoint operators to an off-diagonal situation. We provide an application.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

Multilinear interpolation has proved to be a powerful and indispensable tool in analysis. The two main linear interpolation theorems, the Marcinkiewicz and Riesz-Thorin theorems, have well-established multilinear analogs. The works [10], [6], [3], [4] provide multilinear extensions of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. The Riesz-Thorin theorem is easily adapted in the multilinear case in [12, 21, Chapter XII, (3.3)] and [1, Theorem 4.4.2]; related versions of this result have appeared in [11], [8], [9].

A different type of interpolation is that between adjoint operators. In the linear case a typical result would be as follows: if an operator and its adjoint are of weak type $(1, 1)$, then the operator is L^p bounded for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. A multilinear version of this result was obtained in [5]. This theorem says that, under an initial condition similar to (1.1) below, if an m -linear operator and all of its m adjoints are of restricted weak type $(1, 1, \dots, 1, 1/m)$, then the operator is bounded from $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m}$ to L^p for all $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ and $1/m < p < \infty$. In this context, an m -linear operator is called of restricted weak type (p_1, \dots, p_m, p) if it maps $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m}$ to $L^{p, \infty}$ when restricted to characteristic functions of sets of finite measure. The j th adjoint of an m -linear operator T (defined on products of simple functions on measure spaces (X_j, μ_j) , $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and taking values in another measure space (X_0, μ_0)) is another operator T^{*j} such that

$$\int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_{j-1}}, \chi_{A_j}, \chi_{A_{j+1}}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_0 = \int_{A_j} T^{*j}(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_{j-1}}, \chi_{A_0}, \chi_{A_{j+1}}, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_j$$

for all measurable subsets A_i of X_i with nonzero finite measure. When T^{*0} is written, it is understood to be T itself. For $0 < q < \infty$, q' denotes the number $q/(q-1)$ and $1' = \infty$.

In this note we obtain the following off-diagonal version of the main result in [5] in which the diagonal case $t = 1$ and $s = 1/m$ was considered.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 \leq t < \infty$, $0 < s \leq 1$, $1 < p < t'$, and $t < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ be such that $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m - 1/p = m/t - 1/s$. Let $(X_0, \mu_0), (X_1, \mu_1), \dots, (X_m, \mu_m)$ be σ -finite measure spaces. Suppose that an m -linear operator T is defined on the space of simple functions on $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$ and takes values in the space of measurable functions on X_0 . We assume that T satisfies*

$$\sup_{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m} \frac{1}{\mu_0(A_0)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mu_1(A_1)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \dots \mu_m(A_m)^{\frac{1}{p_m}}} \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_0 \right| < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

The authors acknowledge the support of the Simons Foundation¹ and of the NSF (ATD: 1321779)².

where the supremum is taken over all measurable subsets A_i of X_i with nonzero finite measure. Suppose that for each $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, T^{*j} is of restricted weak type (t, t, \dots, t, s) with constant B_j . Then there is a constant $C = C(p_1, \dots, p_m, p, t, s)$ such that T is of restricted weak type (p_1, \dots, p_m, p) with norm at most

$$CB_0^{\theta\left(\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)} B_1^{\theta\left(\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)} \dots B_m^{\theta\left(\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{p_m}\right)}. \quad (1.2)$$

where $\theta = (1/t + 1/s - 1)^{-1}$.

The following well-known characterization of weak L^p will be used in the proof; see for instance Proposition 7.2.12 in [2].

Proposition 1.2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $A, B > 0$, and let f be a measurable function on a σ -finite measure space (X, μ) .*

(i) *Suppose that $\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \leq A$. Then for every measurable set E of finite measure there exists a measurable subset E' of E with $\mu(E') \geq \mu(E)/2$ such that f is bounded on E' and*

$$\left| \int_{E'} f d\mu \right| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} A \mu(E)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

(ii) *Suppose that a measurable function f on X has the property that for any measurable subset E of X with $\mu(E) < \infty$ there is a measurable subset E' of E with $\mu(E') \geq \mu(E)/2$ such that f is integrable on E' and*

$$\left| \int_{E'} f d\mu \right| \leq B \mu(E)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then we have that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \leq B 2^{\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{2}}.$$

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof. First consider the case where

$$\frac{\mu_0(A_0)}{B_0^\theta} \geq \max \left(\frac{\mu_1(A_1)}{B_1^\theta}, \dots, \frac{\mu_m(A_m)}{B_m^\theta} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Let M be the supremum given in (1.1). It will be enough to show that M is bounded above by the constant in (1.2), from which Proposition 1.2(ii) gives the desired boundedness.

Since T is restricted weak type (t, \dots, t, s) , Proposition 1.2(i) gives a subset A'_0 of A_0 with measure $\mu_0(A'_0) \geq \mu_0(A_0)/2$ so that

$$\left| \int_{A'_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_0 \right| \leq KB_0 \mu_1(A_1)^{\frac{1}{t}} \dots \mu_m(A_m)^{\frac{1}{t}} \mu_0(A_0)^{1-\frac{1}{s}}$$

for some constant $K = K(s)$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_0 \right| &\leq \left| \int_{A'_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_0 \right| + \left| \int_{A_0 \setminus A'_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_0 \right| \\ &:= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$I \leq KB_0 \mu_1(A_1)^{\frac{1}{t}} \dots \mu_m(A_m)^{\frac{1}{t}} \mu_0(A_0)^{1-\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\leq \mu_0(A_0)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mu_1(A_1)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \cdots \mu_m(A_m)^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \left(K B_0 \left(\frac{B_1^\theta}{B_0^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p_1}} \cdots \left(\frac{B_m^\theta}{B_0^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p_m}} \right)$$

in view of (2.1) and

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq M \mu_1(A_1)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \cdots \mu_m(A_m)^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu_0(A_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= \mu_0(A_0)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mu_1(A_1)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \cdots \mu_m(A_m)^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \left(M 2^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

in view of (1.1). Consequently,

$$M \leq K B_0 \left(\frac{B_1^\theta}{B_0^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p_1}} \cdots \left(\frac{B_m^\theta}{B_0^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p_m}} + M 2^{-\frac{1}{p'}}$$

and since $M < \infty$ by assumption (1.1), we have

$$M \leq \frac{K}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{p'}}} B_0^{\theta(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p'})} B_1^{\theta(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p_1})} \cdots B_m^{\theta(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p_m})}.$$

The preceding inequality is an implication of the fact that

$$1 - \theta \left(\frac{m}{t} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \cdots - \frac{1}{p_m} \right) = 1 - \theta \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \theta \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{p} \right) = \theta \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p'} \right).$$

There are m more cases left in each of which $\mu_j(A_j)/B_j^\theta$ is interchanged with $\mu_0(A_0)/B_0^\theta$ in (2.1) for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Fixing such a j we recall the assumption that the j th adjoint T^{*j} of T is also of restricted weak type (t, \dots, t, s) . Setting $p_0 = p'$, we notice that (1.1) can be written as

$$\sup_{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m} \frac{1}{\mu_j(A_j)^{\frac{1}{(p_j)'}}, \mu_0(A_0)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \prod_{i \neq j} \mu_i(A_i)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}} \left| \int_{A_j} T^{*j}(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_0}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) d\mu_j \right| < \infty,$$

in which the $(m+1)$ -tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_0, p_{j+1}, p'_j)$ replaces (p_1, \dots, p_m, p) , and the identity $(\frac{1}{p_0} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{p_i}) - \frac{1}{p'_j} = \frac{m}{t} - \frac{1}{s}$ replaces $\frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{m}{t} - \frac{1}{s}$. The argument in this case follows by an identical repetition of the argument in the preceding case, under this change of notation and concludes the proof in all cases. \square

Remark 1. One may wonder whether hypothesis (1.1) weakens the statement of the main theorem. As in [5], it is an essential element of the proof, but in most applications it does not present any significant restriction. In fact, in most cases, one may work with truncated versions of an operator T for which (1.1) holds with constants depending on the truncation. Then boundedness is obtained for truncated operators with bounds independent of the truncation and a limiting argument implies the same conclusion for the original operator T .

Remark 2. It is also worth noting that if (1.1) holds for every point (p_1, \dots, p_m) with $1 < p < t'$, $t < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ and $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m - 1/p = m/t - 1/s$, then one obtains restricted weak type estimates at every point in the open convex hull H of these points combined with the point $(\frac{1}{t}, \dots, \frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{s})$. Then by the multilinear Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (see for instance [4]), it follows that T satisfies strong type bounds in H .

3. AN APPLICATION

Let $0 < \alpha < n$. Consider the bilinear fractional integral

$$I_\alpha(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x+y)g(x-y)|y|^{\alpha-n}dy, \quad (3.1)$$

defined for positive functions f, g on \mathbf{R}^n . It was shown in [3] and [7] that I_α maps the product $L^{p_1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ whenever $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2}, \frac{1}{p})$ lies in the open convex hull of the points $(\frac{n}{\alpha}, \infty, \infty)$, $(\infty, \frac{n}{\alpha}, \infty)$, $(1, \infty, \frac{n}{n-\alpha})$, $(\infty, 1, \frac{n}{n-\alpha})$, and $(1, 1, \frac{n}{2n-\alpha})$. The proof is achieved in two steps: (a) restricted weak type estimates are proven at the aforementioned five points first; (b) then multilinear interpolation is used to obtain boundedness in the open convex hull H of these five points.

In this note we provide a simpler proof of the boundedness of I_α in H by reducing it to a restricted weak type estimate at *only the point* $(1, 1, \frac{n}{2n-\alpha})$ for I_α and its two adjoints. We will use Theorem 1.1 with $t = 1$ and $s = \frac{n}{2n-\alpha}$ for which $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{2}{t} - \frac{1}{s}$. To satisfy condition (1.1) we introduce the following truncated version of I_α :

$$I_\alpha^{\epsilon, N, M}(f, g)(x) = \chi_{|x| \leq M} \int_{\epsilon \leq |y| \leq N} f(x+y)g(x-y)|y|^{\alpha-n}dy.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$ it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha^{\epsilon, N, M}(\chi_{A_1}, \chi_{A_2})\|_{L^p} &\leq C_{\epsilon, N, M} \min(1, |A_1|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \min(1, |A_2|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \min(1, |A_1|, |A_2|)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon, N, M} |A_1|^{\frac{1}{p_1}} |A_2|^{\frac{1}{p_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and from this (1.1) follows for $I_\alpha^{\epsilon, N, M}$ via Hölder's inequality. Here $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and $0 < \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p} < 1$. Analogous estimates hold for the two adjoints of $I_\alpha^{\epsilon, N, M}$. For instance

$$(I_\alpha^{\epsilon, N, M})^{*1}(h, g)(x) = \int_{\epsilon \leq |y| \leq N} h(x-y)g(x-2y)|y|^{\alpha-n}\chi_{|x-y| \leq M}dy,$$

which is bounded by

$$\chi_{|x| \leq M+N} \int_{\epsilon \leq |y| \leq N} h(x-y)g(x-2y)|y|^{\alpha-n}dy,$$

when $g, h \geq 0$, and thus a similar estimate holds for it. Then Theorem 1.1 and Remark 2 yield boundedness for $I_\alpha^{\epsilon, N, M}$ in H with bounds as in (1.2) i.e., independent of ϵ, N, M . Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ and $N, M \uparrow \infty$ we obtain the same conclusion for I_α , via the Lebesgue monotone theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1976.
- [2] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis, Third edition*, Graduate Texts in Math., no 250, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [3] L. Grafakos and N. Kalton, *Some remarks on multilinear maps and interpolation*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 151–180.
- [4] L. Grafakos, L. Liu, S. Lu, and F. Zhao, *The multilinear Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem revisited: The behavior of the constant* J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 2289–2313.
- [5] L. Grafakos and T. Tao, *Multilinear interpolation between adjoint operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), 379–385.

- [6] S. Janson, *On interpolation of multilinear operators*, Function Spaces and Applications (Lund, 1986), pp. 290–302, Lect. Notes in Math. 1302, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1988.
- [7] C. Kenig and E. M. Stein, *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 1–15.
- [8] R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of n pairs and counterexamples employing indices*, J. Approx. Theory **13** (1975), 117–127.
- [9] R. Sharpley, *Multilinear weak type interpolation of mn -tuples with applications*, Studia Math. **60** (1977), 179–194.
- [10] R. Strichartz, *A multilinear version of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 441–444.
- [11] M. Zafran, *A multilinear interpolation theorem*, Studia Math. **62** (1978), 107–124.
- [12] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. II, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: grafakosl@missouri.edu, rglz82@mail.missouri.edu and rilych37@gmail.com