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## 1. Introduction

It is quite common for linear operators to depend on several functions of which only one is thought of as the main variable and the remaining ones are usually treated as parameters. Examples of such operators are ubiquitous in harmonic analysis: multiplier operators, homogeneous singular integrals associated with functions $\Omega$ on the sphere, Littlewood-Paley operators, the Calderón commutators, and the Cauchy integral along Lipschitz curves. Treating the additional functions that arise in these
operators as frozen parameters often provides limited results that could be thought analogous to those that one obtains by studying calculus of functions of several variables by freezing variables. In this article, we advocate a more flexible point of view in the study of linear operators, analogous to that employed in pure multivariable calculus. Unfreezing the additional functions and treating them as input variables provides a more robust approach that often yields sharper results in terms of the regularity of the input functions.

We illustrate the power of this idea with a concrete example concerning the HörmanderMihlin multiplier theorem [23], [34]. This says that for $\gamma>n / 2$ there is a constant $C_{p, n, \gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|(\widehat{f} \sigma)^{\vee}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}  \tag{1}\\
& \quad \leq C_{p, n, \gamma}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}\left[\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}+\sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}}\left\|\varphi(\xi) \sigma\left(2^{k} \xi\right)\right\|_{L_{\gamma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, d \xi\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<p<\infty$, while for $p=1$ the inequality is still valid when the $L^{p}$ norm on the left is replaced by $L^{1, \infty}$. Here $\varphi$ is a smooth function supported in the annulus $1 / 2<|\xi|<2$ which is nonvanishing in the smaller annulus $1 / \sqrt{2}<|\xi|<\sqrt{2}$, and $L_{\gamma}^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the Sobolev space of functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with norm

$$
\|\sigma\|_{L_{\gamma}^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\gamma / 2}\right)^{\vee}(x)\right|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} .
$$

It makes sense to view the multiplier operator $f \mapsto(\widehat{f} \sigma)^{\vee}$ as a bilinear operator acting on $f$ and $\sigma$, that is,

$$
(f, \sigma) \longmapsto B(f, \sigma)=(\widehat{f} \sigma)^{\vee}
$$

Then, when $\beta>n / q$ and for $q$ near infinity, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(f, \sigma)\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}\|f\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{n, q, \beta}\|\sigma\|_{L_{\beta}^{q}}\|f\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last estimate follows by the Sobolev embedding theorem. To make the example more transparent, let us assume that $\sigma$ is supported in a compact set that does not contain the origin. In view of the assumption on the support of $\sigma$ and the Sobolev embedding theorem, the estimate (1) with $p=1$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(f, \sigma)\|_{L^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C_{n, \gamma}\|\sigma\|_{L_{\gamma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\gamma>n / 2$. Interpolating bilinearly between (2) and (3) in the complex way, we deduce for $1 \leq p<2$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(f, \sigma)\|_{L^{p, p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C_{n, p, \delta}\|\sigma\|_{L_{\delta}^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
\delta>\frac{n}{r}
$$

Further use of interpolation provides an improved version of (4) in which $L^{p, p^{\prime}}$ is replaced by the smaller space $L^{p}$ whenever $1<p<2$. The advantage of (4) versus (1) is that, for $p$ near 2 , the number of derivatives required of $\sigma$ in (4) is proportional to the distance of $p$ from 2 , while in (1) this number of derivatives remains constant for all $p$. This improvement is a result of bilinear interpolation and of the approach of treating the linear multiplier operator $f \mapsto(\widehat{f} \sigma)^{\vee}$ as a bilinear operator of both functions $f$ and $\sigma$.

Having made the point that important information can be extracted by unfreezing parameters and treating them as variables, in the sequel we pursue this idea in a more systematic way. The purpose of these lectures is to present certain fundamental results concerning linear operators of several variables, henceforth called multilinear, that indicate some of the unique challenges that appear in their study, albeit the great similarities they share with their linear counterparts. For the purpose of clarity in the presentation, we only discuss these results in the bilinear case. The proofs that we provide may not contain all necessary details, but references are provided.

If a bilinear operator $T$ commutes with translations, in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f, g)(x+t)=T(f(\cdot+t), g(\cdot+t))(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, then it incorporates a certain amount of homogeneity. Indeed, if it maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$, then one must necessarily have $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2} \geq 1 / p$; this was proved in [22] for compactly supported kernels but extended for general kernels in recent work [12]. The situation where $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$ will be referred to as the singular integral case. Bilinear operators that commute with translations as in (5) are exactly the bilinear multiplier operators that have the form

$$
T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \sigma\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \widehat{f}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{f}_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2}
$$

for some bounded function $\sigma$. The situation $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}>1 / p$ may also arise. For instance, the fractional integrals

$$
J_{\alpha}(f, g)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x-t) g(x+t)|t|^{\alpha-n} d t
$$

and

$$
J_{\alpha}^{\prime}(f, g)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x-t) g(x-s)(|t|+|s|)^{\alpha-2 n} d t d s
$$

$\operatorname{map} L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$ whenever $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p+\alpha / n$. The estimate for $J_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is trivial as this operator is pointwise controlled by the product of two linear fractional integrals, but the corresponding estimate for $J_{\alpha}$ requires more work; see [15], [27].

Endpoint estimates for linear singular integrals are usually estimates of the form $L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ or $L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}$. The analogous bilinear estimates are of the form $L^{1} \times L^{1} \rightarrow$ $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$. Although one expects some similarities with the linear case, there exist some differences as well. For example, if a linear translation-invariant operator has a positive kernel and it maps $L^{1}$ to $L^{1, \infty}$, then it must have an integrable kernel and thus it actually maps $L^{1}$ to $L^{1}$. In the bilinear case, it is still true that if a bilinear translation-invariant operator has a positive kernel and maps $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$, then
it must have an integrable kernel, but having an integrable positive kernel does not necessarily imply that the corresponding operator maps $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to $L^{1 / 2}$. Results of this type have been studied in [20].

We end this section by discussing certain examples of bilinear operators.
Example 1. The "identity operator" in the bilinear setting is the product operator

$$
B_{1}(f, g)(x)=f(x) g(x)
$$

In view of Hölder's inequality, $B_{1}$ maps $L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}$ whenever $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$.
Example 2. The action of a linear operator $L$ on the product $f g$ gives rise to a more general "degenerate bilinear operator"

$$
B_{2}(f, g)(x)=L(f g)(x)
$$

that still maps $L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}$ whenever $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$, provided $L$ is a bounded operator on $L^{r}$.
Example 3. This example captures all interesting bilinear operators. Let $\widetilde{L}$ be a linear operator acting on functions defined on $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$. Then, for functions $f, g$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, we consider the tensor function $(f \otimes g)(x, y)=f(x) g(y)$ and define

$$
B_{3}(f, g)(x)=\widetilde{L}(f \otimes g)(x, x)
$$

In particular, $\widetilde{L}$ could be a singular integral acting on functions on $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$. Boundedness of $B_{3}$ from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is a delicate issue and will be investigated in this article for certain classes of linear operators $\widetilde{L}$.

## 2. Bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators

It is appropriate to embark on our study with the class of operators that extend the concept of Calderón-Zygmund operators in the multilinear setting. These operators have kernels that satisfy standard estimates and possess boundedness properties analogous to those of the classical linear ones. This class of operators has been previously studied by Coifman and Meyer [6], [7], [8], [9], [33], assuming sufficient smoothness on their symbols and kernels. This area of research is still quite active. Recent developments include the introduction of a new class of multiple weights appropriate for the boundedness of these operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces, see [31].

We will be working on $n$-dimensional space $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ the space of all Schwartz functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ its dual space, the set of all tempered distributions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We use the following definition for the Fourier transform in $n$-dimensional euclidean space:

$$
\widehat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i x \cdot \xi} d x
$$

while $f^{\vee}(\xi)=\widehat{f}(-\xi)$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform. A bilinear operator $T: \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is linear in every entry and consequently has two formal transposes. The first transpose $T^{* 1}$ of $T$ is defined via

$$
\left\langle T^{* 1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), h\right\rangle=\left\langle T\left(h, f_{2}\right), f_{1}\right\rangle
$$

for all $f_{1}, f_{2}, h$ in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Analogously one defines $T^{* 2}$ and we also set $T^{* 0}=T$.
Let $K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ be a locally integrable function defined away from the diagonal $x=y_{1}=y_{2}$ in $\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{3}$, which satisfies the size estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{A}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $A>0$ and all $\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{3}$ with $x \neq y_{j}$ for some $j$. Furthermore, assume that for some $\varepsilon>0$ we have the smoothness estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-K\left(x^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{A\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{\varepsilon}}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n+\varepsilon}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|,\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)$, and also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-K\left(x, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{A\left|y_{j}-y_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{\varepsilon}}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n+\varepsilon}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|,\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)$, as well as a similar estimate with the roles of $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ reversed. Kernels satisfying these conditions are called bilinear Calderón-Zygmund kernels and are denoted by $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$. A bilinear operator $T$ is said to be associated with $K$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{2}} K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are smooth functions with compact support and $x$ does not lie in the intersection of the supports of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.

Certain homogeneous distributions of order $-2 n$ are examples of kernels in the class $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$. For this reason, boundedness properties of operators $T$ with kernels in $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ from a product $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ into another $L^{p}$ space can only hold when

$$
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p}
$$

as dictated by homogeneity. If such boundedness holds for a certain triple of Lebesgue spaces, then the corresponding operator is called bilinear Calderón-Zygmund.

The first main result concerning these operators is the bilinear extension of the classical Calderón-Zygmund [3]; the linear result states that, if an operator with smooth enough kernel is bounded on a certain $L^{r}$ space, then it is of weak type $(1,1)$ and is also bounded on all $L^{p}$ spaces for $1<p<\infty$. A multilinear version of this theorem has been obtained by Grafakos and Torres [22] for operators with kernels in the class $2-\mathrm{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$. A special case of this result was also obtained by Kenig and Stein [27]; all approaches build on previous work by Coifman and Meyer [6].

Theorem 1. Let $T$ be a bilinear operator with kernel $K$ in $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$. Assume that, for some $1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$ and some $0<q<\infty$ with

$$
\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1}{q}
$$

$T$ maps $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}}$ to $L^{q, \infty}$. Then $T$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ into $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$. Moreover, for some constant $C_{n}$ (that depends only on the parameters indicated) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|_{L^{1} \times L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1 / 2, \infty}} \leq C_{n}\left(A+\|T\|_{L^{q_{1} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $B=\|T\|_{L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}}$. Fix an $\alpha>0$ and consider functions $f_{j} \in L^{1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}=\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}=1$. Setting $E_{\alpha}=\left\{x:\left|T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha\right\}$, we need to show that for some constant $C=C_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{\alpha}\right| \leq C(A+B)^{1 / 2} \alpha^{-1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Once (11) has been established for $f_{j}$ 's with norm one, the general case follows immediately by scaling.) Let $\gamma$ be a positive real number to be determined later. For each $j=1,2$, apply the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to the function $f_{j}$ at height $(\alpha \gamma)^{1 / 2}$ to obtain 'good' and 'bad' functions $g_{j}$ and $b_{j}$, and families of disjoint cubes $\left\{Q_{j, k}\right\}_{k}$, such that $f_{j}=g_{j}+b_{j}$ and $b_{j}=\sum_{k} b_{j, k}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{support}\left(b_{j, k}\right) \subset Q_{j, k} \\
\int_{Q_{j, k}} b_{j, k}(x) d x=0, \quad \int_{Q_{j, k}}\left|b_{j, k}(x)\right| d x \leq C(\alpha \gamma)^{1 / 2}\left|Q_{j, k}\right| \\
\left|\cup_{k} Q_{j, k}\right| \leq C(\alpha \gamma)^{-1 / 2}, \quad\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C, \quad\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{L^{s}} \leq C(\alpha \gamma)^{1 / 2 s^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ (here $s^{\prime}$ is the dual exponent of $s$ ). Now let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left\{x:\left|T\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha / 4\right\}, \\
& E_{2}=\left\{x:\left|T\left(b_{1}, g_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha / 4\right\}, \\
& E_{3}=\left\{x:\left|T\left(g_{1}, b_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha / 4\right\}, \\
& E_{4}=\left\{x:\left|T\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha / 4\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\left\{x:\left|T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha\right\}\right| \leq \sum_{s=1}^{4}\left|E_{s}\right|$, it will suffice to prove estimate (11) for each $E_{s}$. Chebychev's inequality and $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}$ boundedness give

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E_{1}\right| & \leq \frac{(4 B)^{q}}{\alpha^{q}}\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{L^{q_{1}}}^{q}\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{L^{q_{2}}}^{q} \leq \frac{C B^{q}}{\alpha^{q}} \prod_{j=1}^{2}(\alpha \gamma)^{\frac{q}{2 q_{j}^{\prime}}}  \tag{12}\\
& =\frac{C^{\prime} B^{q}}{\alpha^{q}}(\alpha \gamma)^{\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{q}{2}}=C^{\prime} B^{q} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{q-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

We now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{s}\right| \leq C \alpha^{-1 / 2} \gamma^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l(Q)$ denote the side-length of a cube $Q$ and let $Q^{*}$ be a certain expansion of it with the same center. (This expansion only depends on the dimension.) Fix an $x \notin \cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}$. Also fix the cube $Q_{1, k_{1}}$ and let $c_{1, k_{1}}$ be its center. For fixed
$y_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, the mean value property of the function $b_{1, k_{1}}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}} K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1} \mid \\
& =\left|\int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}}\left(K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-K\left(x, c_{1, k_{1}}, y_{2}\right)\right) b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}\right| \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}}\left|b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \frac{A\left|y_{1}-c_{1, k_{1}}\right|^{\varepsilon}}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n+\varepsilon}} d y_{1} \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}}\left|b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \frac{C A l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n+\varepsilon}} d y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the previous to last inequality we used that

$$
\left|y_{1}-c_{1, k_{1}}\right| \leq c_{n} l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|x-y_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|,\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)
$$

Multiplying the derived inequality

$$
\left|\int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}} K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}\right| \leq \int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}} \frac{C A\left|b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n+\varepsilon}} d y_{1}
$$

by $\left|g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right|$ and integrating over $y_{2}$, we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right| & \left|\int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}} K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}\right| d y_{2} \\
& \leq\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{Q_{1, k_{1}}}\left|b_{1, k_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \frac{A C l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right)^{2 n-n+\varepsilon}} d y_{1}  \tag{14}\\
& \leq C A\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|b_{1, k_{1}}\right\|_{L^{1}} \frac{l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\left(l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)+\left|x-c_{1, k_{1}}\right|\right)^{n+\varepsilon}}
\end{align*}
$$

The last inequality is due to the fact that for $x \notin \cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}$ and $y_{j} \in Q_{j, k_{j}}$ we have that $\left|x-y_{j}\right| \approx l\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)+\left|x-c_{j, k_{j}}\right|$. It is now a simple consequence of (14) that for $x \notin \cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T\left(b_{1}, g_{2}\right)(x)\right| \leq C^{\prime} A(\alpha \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{k_{1}} \frac{(\alpha \gamma)^{1 / 2} l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)^{n+\varepsilon}}{\left(l\left(Q_{1, k_{1}}\right)+\left|x-c_{1, k_{1}}\right|\right)^{n+\varepsilon}}\right)=C^{\prime \prime} A \alpha \gamma M_{\varepsilon}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M_{\varepsilon}(x)=\sum_{k} \frac{l\left(Q_{1, k}\right)^{n+\varepsilon}}{\left(l\left(Q_{1, k}\right)+\left|x-c_{1, k}\right|\right)^{n+\varepsilon}}
$$

is the Marcinkiewicz function associated with the family of cubes $\left\{Q_{1, k}\right\}_{k}$. It is a known fact [41] that for some constant $C$ there is an estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} M_{\varepsilon}(x) d x \leq C\left|\cup_{k} Q_{1, k}\right| \leq C^{\prime}(\alpha \gamma)^{-1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15) and (16), an $L^{1}$ estimate outside $\cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \notin \cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}:\left|T\left(b_{1}, g_{2}\right)(x)\right|>\alpha / 4\right\}\right| \leq C \alpha^{-1 / 2} A \gamma^{1 / 2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate, in conjunction with

$$
\left|\cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}\right| \leq C(\alpha \gamma)^{-1 / 2}
$$

yields the required inequality (13).
We have now proved (13) for $\gamma>0$. Plugging in the value of $\gamma=(A+B)^{-1}$ in both (12) and (13) gives the required estimate (11) for $\left|E_{2}\right|$. The estimate for $\left|E_{3}\right|$ is symmetric, while the analogous estimate for $\left|E_{4}\right|$ requires a variation of the argument for $\left|E_{2}\right|$. Since two bad functions show up in this estimate, a double sum over pairs of cubes appears and one has to use cancellation with respect to the smallest cube in the pair. Then the length of the smaller cube in the numerator is controlled by the square root of the length of the smaller cube times the square root of the length of the larger cube. At the end, the term $\left|T\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right|$ is pointwise controlled by a product of Marcinkiewicz functions outside the union $\cup_{j=1}^{2} \cup_{k_{j}}\left(Q_{j, k_{j}}\right)^{*}$ and one uses an $L^{1 / 2}$ estimate over this set (instead of an $L^{1}$ estimate) in conjunction with Hölder's inequality. The previous choice of $\gamma=(A+B)^{-1}$ yields the required estimate for $\left|E_{4}\right|$.

Example 4. Let $R_{1}$ be the bilinear Riesz transform in the first variable

$$
R_{1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{x-y_{1}}{\left|\left(x-y_{1}, x-y_{2}\right)\right|^{3}} f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

We will show later that this operator maps $L^{p_{1}}(\mathbf{R}) \times L^{p_{2}}(\mathbf{R})$ to $L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ for $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=$ $1 / p, 1<p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$, and $1 / 2<p<\infty$. Thus by Theorem 1 it also maps $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$. However, it does not map $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to any Lorentz space $L^{1 / 2, q}$ for $q<\infty$. In fact, letting $f_{1}=f_{2}=\chi_{[0,1]}$, an easy computation shows that $R_{1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)$ behaves at infinity like $|x|^{-2}$. This fact indicates that in Theorem 1 the space $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$ is best possible and cannot be replaced by any smaller space. In particular, it cannot be replaced by $L^{1 / 2}$.

## 3. Endpoint estimates and interpolation for bilinear CALDERÓN-ZyGMUND OPERATORS

The real bilinear interpolation is significantly more complicated than the linear one. Early versions appeared in the work of Janson [25] and Strichartz [43]. In this exposition we will use a version of real bilinear interpolation appearing in [15]. This makes use of the notion of bilinear restricted weak type $(p, q, r)$ estimates. These are estimates of the form

$$
\lambda\left|\left\{x:\left|T\left(\chi_{A}, \chi_{B}\right)(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right|^{1 / r} \leq M|A|^{1 / p}|B|^{1 / q}
$$

and have a wonderful interpolation property: if an operator $T$ satisfies restricted weak type $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ and $\left(p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1}\right)$ estimates with constants $M_{0}$ and $M_{1}$, respectively, then
it also satisfies a restricted weak type $(p, q, r)$ estimate with constant $M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}$, where

$$
\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{r}\right)=(1-\theta)\left(\frac{1}{p_{0}}, \frac{1}{q_{0}}, \frac{1}{r_{0}}\right)+\theta\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}, \frac{1}{q_{1}}, \frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) .
$$

We will make use of the following bilinear interpolation result; for a proof see [15].
Theorem 2. Let $0<p_{i j}, p_{i} \leq \infty, i=1,2,3, j=1,2$, and suppose that the points $\left(1 / p_{11}, 1 / p_{12}\right),\left(1 / p_{21}, 1 / p_{22}\right),\left(1 / p_{31}, 1 / p_{32}\right)$ are the vertices of a nontrivial triangle in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Let $\left(1 / q_{1}, 1 / q_{2}\right)$ be in the interior of this triangle (i.e., a linear convex combination of the three vertices of the triangle) and suppose that $\left(1 / q_{1}, 1 / q_{2}, 1 / q\right)$ is the same linear combination of the points $\left(1 / p_{11}, 1 / p_{12}, 1 / p_{1}\right),\left(1 / p_{21}, 1 / p_{22}, 1 / p_{2}\right)$, and $\left(1 / p_{31}, 1 / p_{32}, 1 / p_{3}\right)$. Suppose that a bilinear operator $T$ satisfies restricted weak type $\left(p_{i 1}, p_{i 2}, p_{i}\right)$ estimates for $i=1,2,3$. Then $T$ has a bounded extension from $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}}$ to $L^{q}$ whenever $1 / q \leq 1 / q_{1}+1 / q_{2}$.

There is an interpolation theorem saying that if a linear operator (that satisfies a mild assumption) and its transpose are of restricted weak type ( 1,1 ), then the operator is $L^{2}$ bounded. We begin this section by proving a bilinear analogue of this result. For a more detailed version of the result below, see [21].

Theorem 3. Let $1<p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$ be such that $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p<1$. Suppose that a bilinear operator has the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}}\left|A_{0}\right|^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left|A_{1}\right|^{-1 / p_{1}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{-1 / p_{2}}\left|\int_{A_{0}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right|<\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all subsets $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}$ of finite measure. Also suppose that $T, T^{* 1}$, and $T^{* 2}$ are of restricted weak type $(1,1,1 / 2)$; this means that these operators map $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$ when restricted to characteristic functions with constants $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}$, respectively. Then there is a constant $C_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$ such that $T$ maps $L^{p_{1}, 1} \times L^{p_{2}, 1}$ to $L^{p, \infty}$ when restricted to characteristic functions with norm at most

$$
C_{p_{1}, p_{2}} B_{0}^{1 /(2 p)} B_{1}^{1 /\left(2 p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} B_{2}^{1 /\left(2 p_{2}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $M$ be the supremum in (18). We consider the following cases:
Case 1: Suppose that

$$
\frac{\left|A_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{0}}} \geq \max \left(\frac{\left|A_{1}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{1}}}, \frac{\left|A_{2}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{2}}}\right) .
$$

Since $T$ maps $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to weak $L^{1 / 2}$ when restricted to characteristic functions, there exists a subset $A_{0}^{\prime}$ of $A_{0}$ of measure $\left|A_{0}^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|A_{0}\right|$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{A_{0}^{\prime}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \leq C B_{0}\left|A_{1}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{0}\right|^{1-\frac{1}{1 / 2}}
$$

for some constant $C$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{A_{0}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \leq\left|\int_{A_{0}^{\prime}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right|+\left|\int_{A_{0} \backslash A_{0}^{\prime}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \\
& \leq C B_{0}\left|A_{1}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{0}\right|^{-1}+M\left|A_{1}\right|^{1 / p_{1}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|A_{0}\right|\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leq C B_{0}\left|A_{1}\right|^{1 / p_{1}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{B_{0}}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{B_{2}}}{\sqrt{B_{0}}}\right)^{1 / p_{2}^{\prime}}\left|A_{0}\right|^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}+1 / p_{2}^{\prime}-1} \\
& +M 2^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left|A_{1}\right|^{1 / p_{1}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{1 / p_{2}}\left|A_{0}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $M$ has to be less than or equal to

$$
C B_{0}\left(\frac{\sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{B_{0}}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{B_{2}}}{\sqrt{B_{0}}}\right)^{1 / p_{2}^{\prime}}+M 2^{-1 / p^{\prime}}
$$

and consequently

$$
M \leq \frac{C}{1-2^{-1 / p^{\prime}}} B_{0}^{1 /(2 p)} B_{1}^{1 /\left(2 p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} B_{2}^{1 /\left(2 p_{2}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Case 2: Suppose that

$$
\frac{\left|A_{1}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{1}}} \geq \max \left(\frac{\left|A_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{0}}}, \frac{\left|A_{2}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{2}}}\right) .
$$

Here we use that $T^{* 1}$ maps $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to weak $L^{1 / 2}$ when restricted to characteristic functions. Then there exists a subset $A_{1}^{\prime}$ of $A_{1}$ of measure $\left|A_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|A_{1}\right|$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{A_{1}^{\prime}} T^{* 1}\left(\chi_{A_{0}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \leq C B_{1}\left|A_{0}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{1}\right|^{-1}
$$

for some constant $C$. Equivalently, we write this statement as

$$
\left|\int_{A_{0}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}^{\prime}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \leq C B_{1}\left|A_{0}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{1}\right|^{-1}
$$

by the definition of the first dual operator $T^{* 1}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{A_{0}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \leq\left|\int_{A_{0}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1}^{\prime}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right|+\left|\int_{A_{0}} T\left(\chi_{A_{1} \backslash A_{1}^{\prime}}, \chi_{A_{2}}\right) d x\right| \\
& \leq C B_{1}\left|A_{0}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{1}\right|^{-1}+M\left|A_{0}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|A_{1}\right|\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C B_{1}\left|A_{1}\right|^{-1+1 / p+1 / p_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{B_{0}}}{\sqrt{B_{1}}}\right)^{1 / p}\left|A_{2}\right|^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{B_{2}}}{\sqrt{B_{1}}}\right)^{1 / p_{2}^{\prime}}\left|A_{0}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& +M 2^{-1 / p_{1}}\left|A_{1}\right|^{1 / p_{1}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{1 / p_{2}}\left|A_{0}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $M$, it follows that

$$
M \leq \frac{C}{1-2^{-1 / p_{1}}} B_{0}^{1 /(2 p)} B_{1}^{1 /\left(2 p_{1}^{\prime}\right)} B_{2}^{1 /\left(2 p_{2}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Case 3: Suppose that

$$
\frac{\left|A_{2}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{2}}} \geq \max \left(\frac{\left|A_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{0}}}, \frac{\left|A_{1}\right|}{\sqrt{B_{1}}}\right)
$$

here we proceed as in Case 2 with the roles of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ interchanged.
Then the statement of the theorem follows with

$$
C_{p_{1}, p_{2}}=C \max \left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-1 / p_{1}}}, \frac{1}{1-2^{-1 / p_{2}}}, \frac{1}{1-2^{-1 / p^{\prime}}}\right) .
$$

Assumption (18) is not as restrictive as it looks. To apply this theorem for bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, one needs to consider the family of operators whose kernels are truncated near the origin, i.e.,

$$
K_{\delta}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \widetilde{\chi}\left(\left(\left|x-y_{1}\right|+\left|x-y_{2}\right|\right) / \delta\right)
$$

where $\widetilde{\chi}$ is a smooth function that is equal to 1 on $[2, \infty)$ and vanishes on $[0,1]$. The kernels $K_{\delta}$ are essentially in the same Calderón-Zygmund kernel class as $K$, that is, if $K$ lies in $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$, then $K_{\varepsilon}$ lie in $2-\operatorname{CZK}\left(A^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right)$, where $A^{\prime}$ is a multiple of $A$. Using Hölder's inequality with exponents $p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime}$, it is easy to see that for the operators $T_{\delta}$ with kernels $K_{\delta}$, the assumption (18) holds with constants depending on $\delta$.

Theorem 3 provides an interpolation machinery needed to pass from bounds at one point to bounds at every point for bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators. (An alternative interpolation technique was described in [22].) We have:

Theorem 4. Suppose that a bilinear operator $T$ with kernel in $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \delta)$ and all of its truncations $T_{\delta}$ map $L^{r_{1}} \times L^{r_{2}}$ to $L^{r}$ for a single triple of indices $r_{1}, r_{2}$, $r$ satisfying $1 / r_{1}+1 / r_{2}=1 / r$ and $1<r_{1}, r_{2}, r<\infty$ uniformly in $\delta$. Then $T$ is bounded from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$ for all indices $p_{1}, p_{2}$, $p$ satisfying $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$ and $1<p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty, 1 / 2<p<\infty$.
Proof. Since $T_{\delta}$ maps $L^{r_{1}} \times L^{r_{2}} \rightarrow L^{r}$ and $r>1$, duality gives that $T_{\delta}^{* 1}$ maps $L^{r^{\prime}} \times$ $L^{r_{2}} \rightarrow L^{r_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $T_{\delta}^{* 2}$ maps $L^{r_{1}} \times L^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow L^{r_{2}^{\prime}}$ (uniformly in $\delta$ ). It follows from Theorems 2 and 3 that $T_{\delta}$ are bounded from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$ for all indices $p_{1}, p_{2}, p$ satisfying $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$ and $1<p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty, 1 / 2<p<\infty$. Passing to the limit, using Fatou's lemma, the same conclusion may be obtained for the nontruncated operator $T$. The case $p_{1}=\infty$ or $p_{2}=\infty$ follows by duality from the case $p=1$.

The only drawback of this approach is that it is based on the assumption that if $T$ is bounded from $L^{r_{1}} \times L^{r_{2}} \rightarrow L^{r}$, then so are all its truncations $T_{\delta}$ (uniformly in $\delta>0$ ). This is hardly a problem in concrete applications since the kernels of $T$ and $T_{\delta}$ satisfy equivalent estimates (uniformly in $\delta>0$ ) and the method used in the proof of boundedness of the former almost always applies for the latter.

Proposition 1. Let T be a bilinear operator associated with a kernel of class $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ that maps $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}}$ to $L^{q}$ for some choice of indices $q_{1}, q_{2}, q$ that satisfy $1 / q_{1}+1 / q_{2}=$ $1 / q$. Then $T$ has an extension that maps

$$
L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty} \longrightarrow B M O
$$

with bound a constant multiple of $A+B$. By duality, $T$ also maps

$$
L^{\infty} \times H^{1} \longrightarrow L^{1},
$$

and also

$$
H^{1} \times L^{\infty} \longrightarrow L^{1}
$$

where $H^{1}$ is the Hardy 1-space.
The proof is based on a straightforward adaptation of the Peetre, Spanne, and Stein result [35], [39], [40] on boundedness of a linear Calderón-Zygmund operator from $L^{\infty}$ to $B M O$, and is omitted.

## 4. The bilinear $\boldsymbol{T} \mathbf{1}$ theorem

In this section we quickly discuss the bilinear version of the $T 1$ theorem. The scope of this theorem is to provide a sufficient condition for boundedness of bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators at some point, i.e., $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}$ for some choice of points $q_{1}, q_{2}, q$. Once this is known, then the bilinear version of the CalderónZygmund theorem (Theorem 1) combined with interpolation yields boundedness of these operators in the entire range of indices where boundedness is possible.

The linear $T 1$ theorem was obtained by David and Journé [10]. Its original formulation involves three conditions equivalent to $L^{2}$ boundedness. These conditions are that $T 1 \in B M O, T^{*} 1 \in B M O$, and that a certain weak boundedness property holds. This version of the David-Journé $T 1$ theorem was extended to the multilinear setting by Christ and Journé [5]. Another version of the $T 1$ theorem using exponentials appears in [10] and is better suited for our purposes. This version is as follows: A linear operator $T$ with kernel in the Calderón-Zygmund class $\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ maps $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\left\|T\left(e^{2 \pi i \xi \cdot(\cdot)}\right)\right\|_{B M O}+\left\|T^{*}\left(e^{2 \pi i \xi \cdot(\cdot)}\right)\right\|_{B M O}\right)<\infty
$$

In this section we will state and prove a multilinear version of the $T 1$ theorem using the characterization stated above.

Theorem 5. Fix $1<q_{1}, q_{2}, q<\infty$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1}{q} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T$ be a continuous bilinear operator from $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with kernel $K$ in $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$. Then $T$ has a bounded extension from $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}}$ to $L^{q}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \sup _{\xi_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|T\left(e^{2 \pi i \xi_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \xi_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)\right\|_{B M O} \leq B \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \sup _{\xi_{2} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|T^{* j}\left(e^{2 \pi i \xi_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \xi_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)\right\|_{B M O} \leq B \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j=1,2$. Moreover, if (20) and (21) hold then we have that

$$
\|T\|_{L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q}} \leq c_{n, q_{1}, q_{2}}(A+B)
$$

for some constant $c_{n, m, q_{j}}$ depending only on the parameters indicated.
Proof. Obviously the necessity of conditions (20) and (21) follows from Proposition 1. The thrust of this theorem is provided by the sufficiency of these conditions, i.e., the fact that, if (20) and (21) hold, then $T$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}}$ to $L^{q}$. Although we are not going to be precise in the proof of this result, we make some comments. The outline of the proof is based on another formulation of the T1 theorem given by Stein [42]. Let us consider the set of all $C^{\infty}$ functions supported in the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\partial^{\alpha} \phi\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1
$$

for all multiindices $|\alpha| \leq[n / 2]+1$. Such functions are called normalized bumps. For a normalized bump $\phi, x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, and $R>0$, define the function

$$
\phi^{R, x_{0}}(x)=\phi\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{R}\right) .
$$

The formulation in [42, Theorem 3, page 294] says that a necessary and sufficient condition for an operator $T$ with kernel of class $\operatorname{CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ to be $L^{2}$-bounded is that for some constant $B>0$ we have

$$
\left\|T\left(\phi^{R, x_{0}}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|T^{*}\left(\phi^{R, x_{0}}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq B R^{n / 2}
$$

for all normalized bumps $\phi$, all $R>0$ and all $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Moreover, the norm of the operator $T$ on $L^{2}$ (and therefore on $L^{p}$ ) is bounded by a constant multiple of $A+B$. Adopting this terminology in the bilinear setting, we say that a bilinear operator $T$ is BMO-restrictedly bounded with bound $C$ if

$$
\left\|T\left(\phi_{1}^{R_{1}, x_{1}}, \phi_{2}^{R_{2}, x_{2}}\right)\right\|_{B M O} \leq C<\infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|T^{* j}\left(\phi_{1}^{R_{1}, x_{1}}, \phi_{2}^{R_{2}, x_{2}}\right)\right\|_{B M O} \leq C<\infty
$$

for all $j=1,2$, all normalized bumps $\phi_{j}$, all $R_{j}>0$, and all $x_{j} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
The main observation is that if (20) and (21) are satisfied, then $T$ is $B M O$ restrictedly bounded with bound a multiple of $B>0$. This observation can be obtained from the corresponding result for linear operators. Consider the linear operator

$$
T_{\phi_{2}^{R_{2}, x_{2}}}\left(f_{1}\right)=T\left(f_{1}, \phi_{2}^{R_{2}, x_{2}}\right)
$$

obtained from $T$ by freezing an arbitrary normalized bump in the second entry. It is easy to see that $T_{\phi_{2}^{R_{2}, x_{2}}}$ satisfies the linear $B M O$-restrictedly boundedness condition with bound $B$. It follows from this that $T_{\phi_{m}^{R_{m}, x_{m}}}$ maps the space of bounded functions with compact support to $B M O$ with norm at most a multiple of $A+B$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T\left(g, \phi_{2}^{R_{2}, x_{2}}\right)\right\|_{B M O} \leq c(A+B)\|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for bounded functions $g$ with compact support.
Now consider the operators $T_{g_{1}}$ defined by

$$
T_{g_{1}}\left(f_{2}\right)=T\left(g_{1}, f_{2}\right),
$$

for a compactly supported and bounded function $g_{1}$. The estimate (22) is saying that $T_{g_{1}}$ satisfies the linear $B M O$-restrictedly boundedness condition with constant a multiple of $(A+B)\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$. The corresponding linear result implies that

$$
T: L^{q} \times L_{c}^{\infty} \longrightarrow L^{q}
$$

with norm controlled by a multiple of $A+B$. (Here $L_{c}^{\infty}$ is the space of bounded functions with compact support.) Furthermore, this result can be used as a starting point for the boundedness of $T$ from $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$, which in turn implies boundedness of $T$ in the range $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q}$ for all $1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, 1 / 2<q<\infty$, in view of Theorem 4.

As an application, we obtain a bilinear extension of a result of Bourdaud [2].
Example 5. Consider the class of bilinear pseudodifferential operators

$$
T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \sigma\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \widehat{f}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{f}_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2}
$$

with symbols $\sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} \sigma\left(x, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|\xi_{1}\right|+\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)^{|\alpha|-\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|+\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$-tuples $\alpha, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ of nonnegative integers. It is easy to see that such operators have kernels in $2-\mathrm{CZK}$. For these operators we have that

$$
T\left(e^{2 \pi i \eta_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \eta_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)=\sigma\left(x, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)}
$$

which is uniformly bounded in $\eta_{j} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Theorem 5 implies that a necessary and sufficient condition for $T$ to map a product of $L^{p}$ spaces into another Lebesgue space with the usual relation on the indices is that $T^{* j}\left(e^{2 \pi i \eta_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \eta_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)$ are in $B M O$ uniformly in $\eta_{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. In particular, this is the case if all the transposes of $T$ have symbols that also satisfy (23).

We now look for sufficient conditions on a singular kernel $K_{0}$ so that the corresponding translation invariant operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} K_{0}\left(x-y_{1}, x-y_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$ when the indices satisfy $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$. We have the following:
Theorem 6. Let $K_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be a locally integrable function on $\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ which satisfies the size estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq A\left|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|^{-2 n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the cancellation condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\iint_{R_{1}<\left|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|<R_{2}} K_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) d u_{1} d u_{2}\right| \leq A<\infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<R_{1}<R_{2}<\infty$, and the smoothness condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)-K_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq A \frac{\left|u_{2}-u_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\varepsilon}}{\left|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|^{2 n+\varepsilon}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\left|u_{2}-u_{2}^{\prime}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{2}\right|$. Then the multilinear operator $T$ given by (24) maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ into $L^{p}$ when $1<p_{j}<\infty$ and (29) is satisfied. In particular, this is the case if $K_{0}$ has the form

$$
K_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\frac{\Omega\left(\frac{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}{\left|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|}\right)}{\left|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|^{2 n}}
$$

and $\Omega$ is an integrable function with mean value zero on the sphere $\mathbf{S}^{2 n-1}$ which is Lipschitz of order $\varepsilon>0$.

Proof. This theorem is a consequence of Theorem 5. As in the previous application of this theorem (with some formal computations that are easily justified), we have

$$
T\left(e^{2 \pi i \eta_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \eta_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)(x)=e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)} \widehat{K_{0}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right),
$$

which is a bounded function, hence in $B M O$. The fact that $\widehat{K_{0}}$ is bounded is a standard fact; see for instance [1]. And certainly the same result is valid for all smooth truncations of $K_{0}$, a condition needed in the application of Theorem 4. The calculations with the transposes are similar; for example,

$$
T^{* 1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \eta_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \eta_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)(x)=e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)} \widehat{K_{0}}\left(-\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}, \eta_{2}\right),
$$

which is also in $B M O$.
Another application of this result concerns the bilinear extension of the classical Hörmander-Mihlin multiplier theorem discussed in the Introduction. The multilinear analogue of the Hörmander-Mihlin multiplier theorem was obtained by Coifman and Meyer [6], [7] on $L^{p}$ for $p \geq 1$ and extended to indices $p<1$ in [22] and [27].

Theorem 7. Suppose that $a\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} a\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|+\left|\xi_{2}\right|\right)^{-\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|+\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all multiindices $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$. Then the corresponding bilinear operator $T$ with symbol a is a bounded operator from $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ into $L^{p}$ when $1<p_{j}<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it also maps $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ to $L^{1 / 2, \infty}$.
Proof. Indeed, conditions (28) easily imply that the inverse Fourier transform of $a$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} a^{\vee}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{-\left(2 n+\left|\beta_{1}\right|+\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all multiindices $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$. It follows that the kernel

$$
K\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=a^{\vee}\left(x-y_{1}, x-y_{2}\right)
$$

of the operator $T$ satisfies the required size and smoothness conditions (6), (7), and (8). The $L^{q_{1}} \times L^{q_{2}} \rightarrow L^{q}$ boundedness of $T$ for a fixed point $\left(1 / q_{1}, 1 / q_{2}, 1 / q\right)$ follows
from the bilinear $T 1$ theorem (Theorem 5) once we have verified the required $B M O$ conditions. As in previous examples, we have that

$$
T\left(e^{2 \pi i \eta_{1} \cdot(\cdot)}, e^{2 \pi i \eta_{2} \cdot(\cdot)}\right)(x)=a\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)}
$$

which is in $L^{\infty}$ and thus in $B M O$ uniformly in $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$. The same calculation is valid for the two transposes of $T$, since their corresponding multipliers also satisfy (28). The weak type results follow from Theorem 1.

## 5. Orthogonality properties for bilinear multiplier OPERATORS

In view of Plancherel's theorem, the space $L^{2}$ turns into a heaven of orthogonality since Fourier multiplier operators turn into multiplication operators. There is no analogous phenomenon in the bilinear setting, but there is a range of indices for which bilinear multiplier operators exhibit properties analogous to those of linear multiplier operators on $L^{2}$. The situation where $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime}<\infty, 1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$ is called the local $L^{2}$ case. There is a noteworthy orthogonality lemma for bilinear operators in the local $L^{2}$ case. The one-dimensional version of the result below appeared in [18].

Theorem 8. Suppose that $T_{j}, j \in \mathbf{Z}$, are bilinear operators whose symbols $m_{j}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ are supported in sets $A_{j} \times B_{j}$, where $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint rectangles on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\left\{B_{j}\right\}_{j}$ is also a family of pairwise disjoint rectangles on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Assume, furthermore, that $\left\{A_{j}+B_{j}\right\}_{j}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint rectangles on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and that for some indices $p_{1}, p_{2}, p$ in the local $L^{2}$ case one has that the bilinear operators

$$
T_{m_{j}}(f, g)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} m_{j}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot(\xi+\eta)} d \xi d \eta
$$

with symbols $m_{j}$ are uniformly bounded, that is,

$$
\sup _{j \in \mathbf{Z}}\left\|T_{m_{j}}\right\|_{L^{p_{1} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}}} \leq C<\infty
$$

whenever $p_{1}, p_{2}, p$ are indices in the local $L^{2}$ case, i.e., $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime}<\infty$. Then there is a finite constant $C_{p_{1}, p_{2}, n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} T_{m_{j}}\right\|_{L^{p_{1} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}}} \leq C_{p_{1}, p_{2}, n} C, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the sum of the $T_{m_{j}}$ is also a bounded operator in the local $L^{2}$ case.
Proof. The key element of the proof of this result is the $n$ th-dimensional version of Rubio de Francia's [36] Littlewood-Paley inequality for arbitrary disjoint intervals. This says that, if $R_{j}$ is a family of disjoint rectangles in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and if $\Delta_{j} f=\left(\widehat{f} \chi_{R_{j}}\right)^{\vee}$, then there is a constant $c_{p, n}$ such that for $2 \leq p<\infty$ and all functions $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|\Delta_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c_{p, n}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result was proved by Journé [26], but easier proofs of it were later provided by Soria [38] in dimension 2 and Sato [37] in higher dimensions.

We will prove (31) by duality. We denote by $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$ the dual index of $p$. We introduce Littlewood-Paley operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{j}^{1} f & =\left(\widehat{f} \chi_{A_{j}}\right)^{\vee} \\
\Delta_{j}^{2} g & =\left(\widehat{g} \chi_{A_{j}}\right)^{\vee} \\
\Delta_{j}^{3} h & =\left(\widehat{h} \chi_{A_{j}+B_{j}}\right)^{\vee} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T_{m_{j}}(f, g)}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} m_{j}(\eta, \xi-\eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) \widehat{g}(\xi-\eta) d \eta \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus the Fourier transform of $T_{m_{j}}(f, g)$ is supported in the set $A_{j}+B_{j}$. Then we have

$$
\left\langle T_{m_{j}}(f, g), h\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right), \Delta_{j}^{3} h\right\rangle
$$

in view of the hypotheses on the $m_{j}$ 's. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\sum_{j} T_{m_{j}}(f, g), h\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\sum_{j}\left\langle T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right), \Delta_{j}^{3} h\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j}\left|\Delta_{j}^{3}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d x \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\Delta_{j}^{3} h\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|\left\|_{L^{p}} c_{p^{\prime}, n}\right\| h \|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (32) since $p^{\prime} \geq 2$. It suffices to estimate the square function above. Since $p / 2 \leq 1$, we have the first inequality below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \sum_{j}\left|T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
&=\sum_{j}\left\|T_{m_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j}^{1} f, \Delta_{j}^{2} g\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \\
& \leq C^{p} \sum_{j}\left\|\Delta_{j}^{1} f\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\left\|\Delta_{j}^{2} g\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p} \\
& \leq C^{p}\left(\sum_{j}\left\|\Delta_{j}^{1} f\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p_{1}}\right)^{p / p_{1}}\left(\sum_{j}\left\|\Delta_{j}^{2} g\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p_{2}}\right)^{p / p_{2}} \\
& \leq C^{p}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\Delta_{j}^{1} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\Delta_{j}^{2} g\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p} \\
& \leq\left(C c_{p_{1}, n} c_{p_{2}, n}\right)^{p}\|f\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{p}\|g\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used successively the uniform boundedness of the $T_{m_{j}}$ 's, Hölder's inequality, and (32). The required conclusion follows with $C_{p_{1}, p_{2}, n}=c_{p_{1}, n} c_{p_{2}, n} c_{p^{\prime}, n}$. (Recall that $1 / p=1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}$; thus the dependence of the constant on $p$ is expressed via that of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.)

This result was used in [18] in proving that the characteristic function of the unit disc is a bounded bilinear multiplier in the local $L^{2}$ case. It also has other applications; below we use this result to provide another proof of the CoifmanMeyer multiplier theorem (Theorem 7) for bilinear operators. In view of the bilinear Calderón-Zygmund theorem, it suffices to prove boundedness at a single point; we pick this point to be $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{2}$, where $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / 2$ and we only prove it for a piece of the operator, as the remaining pieces are handled by duality.

We have the following:
Theorem 9. Suppose that $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a function on $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} m(\xi, \eta)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}(|\xi|+|\eta|)^{-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for multiindices $|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq 2 n+1$. Then the multiplier operator

$$
T_{m}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \widehat{f}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{f}_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) m\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2}
$$

maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{p}$, where $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$ and $1<p_{1}, p_{2}, p<\infty$.
Proof. We begin by making the observation that $T_{m}^{* 1}$ is a bilinear multiplier operator with symbol

$$
m^{* 1}(\xi, \eta)=m(-\xi-\eta, \eta)
$$

and $T_{m}^{* 2}$ is a bilinear multiplier operator with symbol

$$
m^{* 2}(\xi, \eta)=m(\xi,-\xi-\eta)
$$

Both of these symbols satisfy condition (34) for all multiindices $|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq n+2$ (for some other constants). Moreover, if $m(\xi, \eta)$ is supported near the diagonal $|\xi| \approx|\eta|$, then $m^{* 1}(\xi, \eta)$ is supported near the axis $|\xi| \lesssim|\eta|$ and $m^{* 2}(\xi, \eta)$ is supported near the axis $|\eta| \lesssim|\xi|$. We introduce a smooth partition of unity on the sphere $\mathbf{S}^{2 n-1}$ such that $1=\phi_{0}+\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}+\phi_{3}$, where $\phi_{0}(\xi, \eta)$ is supported in a neighborhood of the diagonal $|\xi| \approx|\eta| \approx|\xi+\eta|, \phi_{1}$ is supported in a set of the form $|\xi| \lesssim|\eta|, \phi_{2}$ is supported in a set of the form $|\eta| \lesssim|\xi|$, and $\phi_{3}$ is supported in a set of the form $|\xi| \approx|\eta| \approx|\xi-\eta|$. We extend the functions $\phi_{j}$ so that they be homogeneous on $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$. We split the multiplier $m$ as

$$
m=m \phi_{0}+m \phi_{1}+m \phi_{2}+m \phi_{3}=: m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}
$$

and we say that $m_{0}$ is supported in the "good" direction, while $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ are supported in the remaining three "bad" directions. We observe that the three bad directions are "preserved" by duality. By this we mean that $T_{m_{3}}^{* 1}$ is an operator with symbol with the same properties as $m_{1}$ and $T_{m_{3}}^{* 2}$ is an operator with symbol with the same properties as $m_{2}$.

We introduce a function $\psi$ supported in the annulus $1 / 4<|(\xi, \eta)|<1-10^{-1}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \psi\left(2^{-j}(\xi, \eta)\right)=1
$$

for all $(\xi, \eta) \neq 0$. Then we write $m_{r}^{j}(\xi, \eta)=m_{r}(\xi, \eta) \psi\left(2^{-j}(\xi, \eta)\right)$ and consider the operators $T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ with symbols $m_{r}^{j}$. A simple observation is that, for all $r \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ and all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, the symbols $m_{r}, m_{r}^{j}$, and $m_{r}^{0}$ satisfy (34) with constants $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ independent of $j$ and explicitly related to the constants $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ appearing in (34).

We first show that for all $r \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ the operator $T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}}$ to $L^{2}$ when $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / 2$ uniformly in $j$. In fact, it suffices to take $j=0$, noting that a dilation argument reduces the general case to that of $j=0$, since $m_{r}^{j}$ satisfy (34) uniformly in $j$. Let us fix two Schwartz functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{m_{r}^{0}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \widehat{f}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{f}_{2}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) m_{r}^{0}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \xi_{1}\right|^{2} d \xi \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of (33). Since $m_{r}^{0}$ is supported in $[-1,1]^{2 n}$, we expand it in Fourier series as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{r}^{0}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\sum_{k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{k, l} e^{2 \pi i k \cdot \xi_{1}} e^{2 \pi i l \cdot \xi_{2}} \zeta\left(\xi_{1}\right) \zeta\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is a smooth function supported in the annulus $1 / 8<|\xi|<1$ and is equal to 1 on the support of $\psi$. Here $c_{k, l}$ are the Fourier coefficients of the expansion given by

$$
c_{k, l}=\int_{A} \int_{A} m_{0}^{0}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi i k \cdot t_{1}} e^{-2 \pi i l \cdot t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2}
$$

where $A$ is the $n$-dimensional annulus $1 / 4<|\xi|<1$. To obtain estimates for $c_{k, l}$, we integrate by parts with respect to the differential operators $\left(I-\Delta_{t_{1}}\right)^{L}\left(I-\Delta_{t_{2}}\right)^{L}$, where $\Delta_{t_{1}}$ is the Laplacian with respect to the variable $t_{1}$ and $L=[n / 2]+1$. One obtains

$$
c_{k, l}=\frac{\int_{A} \int_{A}\left\{\left(I-\Delta_{t_{1}}\right)^{L}\left(I-\Delta_{t_{2}}\right)^{L} m_{0}^{0}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right\} e^{-2 \pi i k \cdot t_{1}} e^{-2 \pi i l \cdot t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2}}{\left(1+4 \pi^{2}|k|^{2}\right)^{L}\left(1+4 \pi^{2}|k|^{2}\right)^{L}}
$$

Condition (34) implies that the integrand above is uniformly bounded in $k, l$. Consequently we have the estimate

$$
\left|c_{k, l}\right| \leq \frac{C_{n} \sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq L} C_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left(1+4 \pi^{2}|k|^{2}\right)^{L}\left(1+4 \pi^{2}|k|^{2}\right)^{L}}
$$

and, since $2 L>n$, we deduce that

$$
\sum_{k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{k, l}<C_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq L} C_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty
$$

Using this fact and (35) and (36), we obtain

$$
\left\|T_{m_{r}^{0}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{k, l}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \widehat{f}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{f}_{2}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) \zeta_{k}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \zeta_{l}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \xi_{1}\right|^{2} d \xi
$$

where $\zeta_{k}(t)=\zeta(t) e^{2 \pi i k \cdot t}$ and $\zeta_{l}(t)=\zeta(t) e^{2 \pi i l \cdot t}$. Let $S_{\zeta_{k}}$ be the linear multiplier operator $S_{\zeta_{k}} f=\left(\widehat{f} \zeta_{k}\right)^{\vee}$. Clearly the last expression above is equal to

$$
\sum_{k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{k, l} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\widehat{S_{\zeta_{k}} f_{1}} * \widehat{S_{\zeta_{l}} f_{2}}\right|^{2} d \xi=\sum_{k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{k, l} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|S_{\zeta_{k}} f_{1}(x) S_{\zeta_{l}} f_{2}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

By Hölder's inequality, this expression is certainly bounded by

$$
\sum_{k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} c_{k, l}\left\|S_{\zeta_{k}} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{2}\left\|S_{\zeta_{l}} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{2}
$$

and the latter is clearly at most a constant multiple of $\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{2}$.
Now we turn to the sum over $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each family $\left\{m_{r}^{j}\right\}_{j}$. As we pointed out earlier, we have that, for each $r, T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{2}$, where $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / 2$ uniformly in $j$. As a consequence of (34), it follows that the kernel $K(x)$ of each $T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ and each $T_{m_{r}}$ satisfies

$$
\left|K\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|+\left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|\left|\nabla K\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq C\left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{-2 n}
$$

uniformly in $j$, thus it is of class $2-\operatorname{CZK}(A, 1)$. By Theorem 1, it follows that $T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ maps $L^{1} \times L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1 / 2, \infty}$. It follows from Theorem 2 that $T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ maps $L^{p_{1}} \times L^{p_{2}} \rightarrow L^{p}$, where $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p$ and $2 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p^{\prime} \leq \infty$. Thus each $T_{m_{r}^{j}}$ is bounded on the closure of the local $L^{2}$ triangle. We may apply Theorem 8 to obtain boundedness of the part of the operator corresponding to the good part of the symbol $m_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
m_{0}(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} m_{0}^{j}(\xi, \eta)
$$

since the supports of the projections of the $m_{0}^{j}$ 's are pairwise disjoint (if they are split up in families of 10 elements indexed by numbers mod 10).

Unfortunately, we may not use orthogonality in the entire local $L^{2}$ triangle to obtain the same conclusion for the bad directions corresponding to the symbols $m_{1}^{j}, m_{2}^{j}$, and $m_{3}^{j}$. We note, however, that orthogonality can be used to obtain boundedness of $T_{m_{3}}$ from that of each $T_{m_{3}^{j}}$ at the point $L^{2} \times L^{2} \rightarrow L^{1}$. This is in view of the observation that $T_{m_{3}^{j}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=T_{m_{3}^{j}}^{3}\left(\Delta_{j} f_{1}, \Delta_{j} f_{2}\right)$ and of the next simple argument:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{m_{3}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} & \leq \sum_{j}\left\|T_{m_{3}^{j}}\left(\Delta_{j} f_{1}, \Delta_{j} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{j}\left\|T_{m_{3}^{j}}\left(\Delta_{j} f_{1}, \Delta_{j} f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime} \sum_{j}\left\|\Delta_{j} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\Delta_{j} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j}\left\|\Delta_{j} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{j}\left\|\Delta_{k j} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime \prime}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Delta_{j}$ is a Littlewood-Paley operator with Fourier transform localization near $2^{j}$. Duality (or a similar orthogonality argument) implies boundedness of $T_{m_{1}}$ from $L^{\infty} \times L^{2}$ to $L^{2}$ and analogously boundedness of $T_{m_{2}}$ from $L^{2} \times L^{\infty}$ to $L^{2}$. Bilinear complex interpolation between this estimate and the estimate $L^{1} \times L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1 / 2, \infty}$ gives that $T_{m_{2}}$ maps $L^{3 / 2} \times L^{3}$ to the Lorentz space $L^{1,4 / 3}$ (thus to weak $L^{1, \infty}$ ). But we will need to work with a point near $(2 / 3,1 / 3,1)$ that still lies on the line that joins $(1 / 2,0,1 / 2)$ to $(1,1,2)$ and has third coordinate strictly smaller than 1 , i.e., the target space is $L^{s, \infty}$ for some $s>1$, which is a dual space. Since $T_{m_{2}}$ is bounded at this point, it follows by duality that $T_{m_{3}}$ is bounded from $L^{p, 1} \times L^{q, 1}$ to $L^{r, \infty}$ for some point $(1 / p, 1 / q, 1 / r)$ near the point $(2 / 3,0,2 / 3)$ with $q<\infty$. Using Theorem 2 we obtain strong boundedness of $T_{m_{3}}$ in the open triangle with vertices $(1,1,2),(1 / 2,1 / 2,1)$, and $(2 / 3,0,2 / 3)$. In particular, it follows that $T_{m_{3}}$ is bounded from $L^{p} \times L^{q}$ to $L^{r}$ for some point $(1 / p, 1 / q, 1 / r)$ near the point $(3 / 4,1 / 4,1)$. The preceding argument with $(3 / 4,4,1)$ in place of $(1 / 2,1 / 2,1)$ yields boundedness of $T_{m_{3}}$ in yet a bigger region closer to the point $(1,0,1)$. Continuing this process indefinitely yields boundedness for $T_{m_{3}}$ in the open quadrangle with corners $(1,1,2),(1,0,1)$, $(2 / 3,0,2 / 3)$, and ( $1 / 2,1 / 2,1$ ).

A similar argument (involving the other adjoint) yields boundedness of $T_{m_{3}}$ in the open quadrangle with corners $(1,1,2),(0,1,1),(0,2 / 3,2 / 3)$, and $(1 / 2,1 / 2,1)$. Further interpolation provides boundedness of $T_{m_{3}}$ in the pentagon with vertices $(1,1,2),(0,2 / 3,2 / 3),(2 / 3,0,2 / 3),(1,1,0)$, and $(0,1,1)$, that is, $T_{m_{3}}$ is bounded from $L^{p} \times L^{q}$ to $L^{r}$ whenever $r<3 / 2$. (Recall that we always have $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$.)

Duality implies boundedness of $T_{m_{2}}$ from $L^{p} \times L^{q}$ to $L^{r}$ whenever $q>3$ and that of $T_{m_{1}}$ from $L^{p} \times L^{q}$ to $L^{r}$ whenever $p>3$. Further interpolation with the point $(1,1,2)$ yields boundedness of $T_{m_{1}}$ and $T_{m_{2}}$ in two open (convex) quadrilaterals $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ such that $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$ has a nontrivial intersection with the region $Q_{3}$ described by the condition $r<3 / 2$. The intersection $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \cap Q_{3}$ contains the point (2/5, 2/5, 4/5) and thus $T_{m_{1}}, T_{m_{2}}$, and $T_{m_{3}}$ are all bounded from $L^{5 / 2} \times L^{5 / 2} \rightarrow L^{5 / 4}$. We previously showed that $T_{m_{0}}$ is bounded in the entire local $L^{2}$ triangle, thus $T_{m}$ is also bounded from $L^{5 / 2} \times L^{5 / 2} \rightarrow L^{5 / 4}$. Theorem 4 applies and yields boundedness of $T_{m}$ in the entire region $L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}$ with $1<p, q<\infty$ and $1 / 2<r<\infty$.

We end this section by noting that the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem does not hold for bilinear operators; see [16].

## 6. The bilinear Hilbert transform and the method of ROTATIONS

It is also natural to ask whether Theorem 6 is true under less stringent conditions on the function $\Omega$; for instance, instead of assuming that $\Omega$ is a Lipschitz function on the sphere, can one assume that it is in some $L^{q}$ for $q>1$ ? It is a classical result obtained by Calderón and Zygmund [4] using the method of rotations that homogeneous linear singular integrals with odd kernels are always $L^{p}$ bounded for $1<p<\infty$. We indicate what happens if the method of rotations is used in the
multilinear setting. Let $\Omega$ be an odd integrable function on $\mathbf{S}^{2 n-1}$. Let

$$
T_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2 n}} \frac{\Omega\left(\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) /\left|\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right|\right)}{\left|\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right|^{2 n}} f_{1}\left(x-y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x-y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

Using polar coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$, we express

$$
T_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{S}^{2 n-1}} \Omega\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{1}\left(x-t \theta_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x-t \theta_{2}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right\} d\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)
$$

Replacing $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ by $-\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$, changing variables, and using that $\Omega$ is odd, we obtain

$$
T_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{S}^{2 n-1}} \Omega\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{1}\left(x+t \theta_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x+t \theta_{2}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right\} d\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)
$$

and averaging these identities we deduce that

$$
T_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{2 n-1}} \Omega\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{1}\left(x-t \theta_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x-t \theta_{2}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right\} d\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)
$$

The method of rotations gives rise to the operator inside the curly brackets above and one would like to know that this operator is bounded from a product of two Lebesgue spaces into another Lebesgue space (and preferably) uniformly bounded in $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$. Motivated by this calculation, for vectors $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ we introduce the family of operators

$$
\mathcal{H}_{u, v}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{1}(x-t u) f_{2}(x-t v) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

We call this operator the directional bilinear Hilbert transform (in the direction indicated by the vector $(u, v)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ ). In the special case $n=1$, we use the notation

$$
H_{\alpha, \beta}(f, g)(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x-\alpha t) g(x-\beta t) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

for the bilinear Hilbert transform defined for functions $f, g$ on the line and for $x, \alpha, \beta \in$ R.

We mention results concerning boundedness of these operators. The operator $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ was first shown to be bounded by Lacey and Thiele [28], [29] in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p, q \leq \infty, \quad 2 / 3<r<\infty, \quad 1 / p+1 / q=1 / r \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Uniform $L^{r}$ bounds (in $\alpha, \beta$ ) for $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ were obtained by Grafakos and Li [17] in the local $L^{2}$ case (i.e., the case when $2<p, q, r^{\prime}<\infty$ ) and extended by Li [32] in the hexagonal region

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p, q, r<\infty, \quad\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right|<\frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bilinear Hilbert transforms first appeared in an attempt of Calderón to show that the first commutator

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}(f ; A)(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{A(x)-A(y)}{x-y}\right) \frac{f(y)}{x-y} d y
$$

is $L^{2}$ bounded. In fact, in the mid 1960's Calderón observed that the commutator $f \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{1}(f ; A)$ can be written as the average

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}(f ; A)(x)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1, \alpha}\left(f, A^{\prime}\right)(x) d \alpha
$$

and the boundedness of $C_{1}(f ; A)$ can therefore be reduced to the uniform (in $\alpha$ ) boundedness of $H_{1, \alpha}$. Likewise, the uniform boundedness of the $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ can be used to show that $T_{\Omega}$ is bounded from $L^{p_{1}}(\mathbf{R}) \times L^{p_{2}}(\mathbf{R})$ to $L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ when $\Omega$ is an odd function on the sphere $\mathbf{S}^{1}$.

We use a similar idea to obtain new bounds for a higher dimensional commutator introduced by Christ and Journé [5]. The $n$-dimensional commutator is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}(f, a)(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} K(x-y) \int_{0}^{1} f(y) a((1-t) x+t y) d t d y \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(x)$ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel in dimension $n$, and $f, a$ are functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Christ and Journé [5] proved that $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$. Here we discuss some off-diagonal bounds $L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}$ whenever $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$ and $1<p, q, r<\infty$.

As the operator $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}(f, a)$ is $n$-dimensional, we will need to "transfer" $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ in higher dimensions. To achieve this we use rotations. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Suppose that $K$ is a kernel in $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ (which may be a distribution) and let $T_{K}$ be the bilinear singular integral operator associated with $K$,

$$
T_{K}(f, g)(x)=\iint K(x-y, x-z) f(y) g(z) d y d z
$$

Assume that $T_{K}$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm $\|T\|$ when $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$. Let $M$ be a $n \times n$ invertible matrix. Define a $2 n \times 2 n$ invertible matrix

$$
\widetilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M & O \\
O & M
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $O$ is the zero $n \times n$ matrix. Then the operator $T_{K \circ \widetilde{M}}$ is also bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm at most $\|T\|$.

Proof. To prove the lemma we note that

$$
T_{K \circ \widetilde{M}}(f, g)(x)=T_{K}\left(f \circ M^{-1}, g \circ M^{-1}\right)(M x),
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{K \circ \widetilde{M}}(f, g)\right\|_{L^{r}} & =(\operatorname{det} M)^{-1 / r}\left\|T_{K}\left(f \circ M^{-1}, g \circ M^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{r}} \\
& \leq(\operatorname{det} M)^{-1 / r}\|T\|\left\|f \circ M^{-1}\right\|_{L^{p}}\left\|g \circ M^{-1}\right\|_{L^{q}} \\
& =\|T\|(\operatorname{det} M)^{-1 / r}\|T\|\|f\|_{L^{p}}(\operatorname{det} M)^{1 / p}\|g\|_{L^{q}}(\operatorname{det} M)^{1 / p} \\
& =\|T\|\|f\|_{L^{p}}\|g\|_{L^{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma 1 to the bilinear Hilbert transform. Let $e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ be the standard coordinate vector on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We begin with the observation that the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha e_{1}, \beta e_{1}}(f, g)$ defined for functions $f, g$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for the same range of indices as the bilinear Hilbert transform. Indeed, the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha e_{1}, \beta e_{1}}$ can be viewed as the classical one-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform in the coordinate $x_{1}$ followed by the identity operator in the remaining coordinates $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 1, for an invertible $n \times n$ matrix $M$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ we have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha e_{1}, \beta e_{1}}\left(f \circ M^{-1}, g \circ M^{-1}\right)(M x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f\left(x-\alpha t M^{-1} e_{1}\right) g\left(x-\beta t M^{-1} e_{1}\right) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

maps $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm the same as the one-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ whenever the indices $p, q, r$ satisfy (37). If $M$ is a rotation (i.e., an orthogonal matrix), then $M^{-1} e_{1}$ can be any unit vector in $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$. We conclude that the family of operators

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha \theta, \beta \theta}(f, g)(x)=\text { p.v. } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x-\alpha t \theta) g(x-\beta t \theta) \frac{d t}{t}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}
$$

is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with a bound independent of $\theta \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ whenever the indices $p, q, r$ satisfy (37). This bound is also independent of $\alpha, \beta$ whenever the indices $p, q, r$ satisfy (38).

It remains to express the higher dimensional commutator $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}$ in terms of the operators $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha \theta, \beta \theta}$. Here we make the assumption that $K$ is an odd homogeneous singular integral operator on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, such as a Riesz transform. For a fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ we apply polar coordinates centered at $x$ by writing $y=x-r \theta$. Then we can express the higher dimensional commutator in (39) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{K(\theta)}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{1} f(x-r \theta) a(x-\operatorname{tr} \theta) d t r^{n-1} d r d \theta \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing variables from $\theta \rightarrow-\theta, r \rightarrow-r$, and using that $K(\theta)$ is odd, we write this expression as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} K(\theta) \int_{0}^{1} f(x-r \theta) a(x-\operatorname{tr} \theta) d t \frac{d r}{r} d \theta \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Averaging the (40) and (40) we arrive at the identity

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}(f, a)(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} K(\theta) \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{\theta, t \theta}(f, a)(x) d t d \theta
$$

This identity implies boundedness of $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}$ from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ whenever the indices $p, q, r$ satisfy (38). Interpolation with the known $L^{p} \times L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{p}$ bounds yield the following:
Theorem 10. Let $K$ be an odd homogeneous singular integral on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then the $n$-dimensional commutator $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(n)}$ associated with $K$ maps $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ whenever $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$ and $(1 / p, 1 / q, 1 / r)$ lies in the open convex hull of the pentagon with vertices $(0,1 / 2,1 / 2),(0,0,0),(1,0,1),(1 / 2,1 / 2,1)$, and $(1 / 6,4 / 6,5 / 6)$.

## 7. Counterexample for the higher dimensional bilinear ball MULTIPLIER

In this section we address the question whether the bilinear multiplier operator with symbol the characteristic function of the unit ball $B$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\chi_{B}}(f, g)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) \chi_{|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}<1} e^{2 \pi i x \cdot(\xi+\eta)} d \xi d \eta \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bounded bilinear operator from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for some indices $p$, $q, r$ related as in Hölder's inequality. We adapt Fefferman's counterexample [13] for the ball multiplier on $L^{p}, p \neq 2$ to the bilinear setting for indices outside the local $L^{2}$ case. We consider this problem only in dimension 2 , since it can be extended to higher dimensions via a bilinear adaptation of de Leeuw's theorem [30], proved in [11]. The results in this section can be found in [11].

For a rectangle $R$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, let $R^{\prime}$ be the union of the two copies of $R$ adjacent to $R$ in the direction of its longest side. Hence, $R \cup R^{\prime}$ is a rectangle three times as long as $R$ with the same center. Key to this argument is the following geometric lemma whose proof can be found in [14] or [42].
Lemma 2. Let $\delta>0$ be given. Then there exists a measurable subset $E$ of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ and a finite collection of rectangles $R_{j}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ such that:
(1) The $R_{j}$ are pairwise disjoint.
(2) We have $1 / 2 \leq|E| \leq 3 / 2$.
(3) We have $|E| \leq \delta \sum_{j}\left|R_{j}\right|$.
(4) For all $j$ we have $\left|R_{j}^{\prime} \cap E\right| \geq \frac{1}{12}\left|R_{j}\right|$.

Let $\delta>0$ and let $E$ and $R_{j}$ be as in Lemma 2. The proof of Lemma 2 implies that there are $2^{k}$ rectangles $R_{j}$ of dimension $2^{-k} \times 3 \log (k+2)$. Here, $k$ is chosen so that $k+2 \geq e^{1 / \delta}$. Let $v_{j}$ be the unit vector in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ parallel to the longest side of $R_{j}$ and in the direction of the set $E$ indicated by the longest side of $R_{j}$.
Lemma 3. Let $R$ be a rectangle in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ and let $v$ be a unit vector in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ parallel to the longest side of $R$. Let $R^{\prime}$ be as above. Consider the half space $\mathcal{H}_{v}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{v}=\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:(\xi+\eta) \cdot v \geq 0\right\}
$$

Then the following estimate is valid for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \chi_{\mathcal{H}_{v}}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{\chi_{R}}(\xi) \widehat{\chi_{R}}(\eta) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot(\xi+\eta)} d \xi d \eta\right| \geq \frac{1}{10} \chi_{R^{\prime}}(x) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We introduce an orthogonal matrix $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ such that $\mathcal{O}(v)=(1,0)$. Setting $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), \eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$, we write the expression on the left in (43) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iiint_{\mathcal{O}^{-1}(\xi+\eta) \cdot v \geq 0} \widehat{\chi_{R}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{-1} \xi\right) \widehat{\chi_{R}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{-1} \eta\right) e^{2 \pi i x \cdot \mathcal{O}^{-1}(\xi+\eta)} d \xi d \eta \mid \\
&=\left|\iint_{\xi_{1}+\eta_{1} \geq 0} \widehat{\chi_{\mathcal{O}[R]}}(\xi) \widehat{\chi_{\mathcal{O}[R]}}(\eta) e^{2 \pi i \mathcal{O} x \cdot(\xi+\eta)} d \xi d \eta\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the rectangle $\mathcal{O}[R]$ has sides parallel to the axes, say $\mathcal{O}[R]=I_{1} \times I_{2}$. Assume that $\left|I_{1}\right|>\left|I_{2}\right|$, i.e., its longest side is horizontal. Let $H$ be the classical Hilbert transform on the line. Setting $\mathcal{O} x=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$, we can write the last displayed expression as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\chi_{I_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)^{2} \int_{\xi_{1} \in \mathbf{R}} \widehat{\chi_{I_{1}}}\left(\xi_{1}\right) e^{2 \pi i y_{1} \xi_{1}} \int_{\eta_{1} \geq-\xi_{1}} \widehat{\chi_{1}}\left(\eta_{1}\right) e^{2 \pi i y_{1} \eta_{1}} d \eta_{1} d \xi_{1}\right| \\
& \quad=\chi_{I_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)\left|\int_{\xi_{1} \in \mathbf{R}} \widehat{\chi_{1}}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \frac{1}{2}(I+i H)\left[\chi_{I_{1}}(\cdot) e^{2 \pi i \xi_{1}(\cdot)}\right]\left(y_{1}\right) d \xi_{1}\right| \\
& \quad=\chi_{I_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)\left|\frac{1}{2}(I+i H) \chi_{I_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\left[\chi_{\xi_{1} \geq 0} \widehat{\chi_{I_{1} \times I_{2}}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right]^{\vee}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the result from [14, Proposition 10.1.2] or [42, estimate (33), page 453], we deduce that the preceding expression is at least

$$
\frac{1}{10} \chi_{\left(I_{1} \times I_{2}\right)^{\prime}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{10} \chi_{(\mathcal{O}[R])^{\prime}}(\mathcal{O} x)=\frac{1}{10} \chi_{R^{\prime}}(x)
$$

This proves the required conclusion.
Lemma 4. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{j}, \ldots$ be a sequence of unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Define a sequence of half-spaces $\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ as in Lemma 3. Let $B, B_{1}, B_{2}$ be the following sets in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
B^{* 1} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi+\eta|^{2}+|\eta|^{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
B^{* 2} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi|^{2}+|\xi+\eta|^{2} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that one of $T_{\chi_{B}}, T_{\chi_{B^{* 1}}}, T_{\chi_{B^{* 2}}}$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ and has norm $C=C(p, q, r)$. Then the vector-valued inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{\chi \mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}}\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all functions $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}$.
Proof. Assume that $T_{\chi_{B}}$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ for some indices $p, q, r>0$. Set $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$. For $\rho>0$ we define sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\rho} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2} \leq 2 \rho^{2}\right\} \\
B_{j, \rho} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:\left|\xi-\rho v_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta-\rho v_{j}\right|^{2} \leq 2 \rho^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that bilinear multiplier norms are translation and dilation invariant; consequently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\chi_{B_{j, \rho}}}\right\|_{L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}}=\left\|T_{\chi_{B_{\rho}}}\right\|_{L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}}=\left\|T_{\chi_{B}}\right\|_{L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}}=C . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in view of the bilinear version of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund $([19, \S 9])$, we have the following vector-valued extension of our boundedness assumption on $T_{\chi_{B_{\rho}}}$ :

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{\chi_{B_{\rho}}}\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}} \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q}}
$$

Since $\chi_{B_{j, \rho}} \rightarrow \chi_{\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}}$ pointwise as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$, we deduce that

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} T_{\chi_{B_{j, \rho}}}(f, g)(x)=T_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}}}(f, g)(x)
$$

for suitable functions $f$ and $g$. By Fatou's lemma we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}_{j}}}\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}} \leq \liminf _{\rho \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{\chi_{B_{j, \rho}}}\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, observe the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\chi_{B_{j, \rho}}}(f, g)(x)=e^{4 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot x} T_{\chi_{B \rho}}\left(e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} f, e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} g\right)(x) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (45) and(46), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}_{j}}}\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{\rho \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|e^{4 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} T_{\chi_{B \rho}}\left(e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} f_{j}, e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} g_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{\rho \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{\chi_{B_{\rho}}}\right\|_{L^{p} \times L^{q} \rightarrow L^{r}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q}} \\
& =C\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|\left\|\left(\sum_{L^{p}}\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we used (44).
The proof of the analogous statements for $T_{B^{* 1}}$ and $T_{B^{* 2}}$ is as follows. We introduce sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\rho}^{* 1} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi+\eta|^{2}+|\eta|^{2} \leq \rho^{2}\right\} \\
B_{j, \rho}^{* 1} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:\left|\xi-\rho v_{j}+\eta\right|^{2}+|\eta|^{2} \leq \rho^{2}\right\} \\
B_{\rho}^{* 2} & =\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi|^{2}+|\xi+\eta|^{2} \leq \rho^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{j, \rho}^{* 2}=\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}:|\xi|^{2}+\left|\xi+\eta-\rho v_{j}\right|^{2} \leq \rho^{2}\right\}
$$

and we note that the characteristic functions of $B_{j, \rho}^{* 1}$ and $B_{j, \rho}^{* 2}$ converge to the characteristic function of $\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Using the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\chi_{B_{j, \rho}^{* 1}}}(f, g)(x)=e^{2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot x} T_{\chi_{B_{\rho}^{* 1}}}\left(e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} f, g\right)(x), \\
& T_{\chi_{B_{j, \rho}^{* 2}}}(f, g)(x)=e^{2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot x} T_{\chi_{B_{\rho}^{* 2}}}\left(f, e^{-2 \pi i \rho v_{j} \cdot(\cdot)} g\right)(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain the same conclusion assuming boundedness of the bilinear operators $T_{\chi_{B^{* 1}}}$ and $T_{\chi_{B^{* 2}}}$ from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$.

The following is the main result of this section.
Theorem 11. Fix indices $p, q, r$ satisfying $0<p, q, r,<\infty$ and $1 / p+1 / q=1 / r$ in the non-local $L^{2}$ region, i.e., in the region where one of $p, q$, or $r^{\prime}$ is less than 2. Let $B$ be the unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. Then the operator in (42) (with $n=2$ ) is not bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$.
Proof. First fix $p, q, r$ satisfying $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=r^{-1}<1 / 2$ with $r>2$. To obtain a contradiction, we assume that the operator in (42) (with $n=2$ ) is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \times L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ with norm $C$.

Suppose that $\delta>0$ is given. Let $E$ and $R_{j}$ be as in Lemma 2. Let $v_{j}$ be the unit vector parallel to the longest side of $R_{j}$ and pointing in the direction of the set $E$ indicated by the longest side of $R_{j}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j} \int_{E} \mid T_{\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}} & \left.\left(\chi_{R_{j}}, \chi_{R_{j}}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq|E|^{\frac{r-2}{r}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|T_{\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}}\left(\chi_{R_{j}}, \chi_{R_{j}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{r}}^{2} \\
& \leq C|E|^{\frac{r-2}{r}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|\chi_{R_{j}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|^{2}\left\|\left(\sum_{L^{p}}\left|\chi_{R_{j}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{2} \\
& =C|E|^{\frac{r-2}{r}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|R_{j}\right|\right)^{2 / r} \\
& \leq C \delta^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \sum_{j}\left|R_{j}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Hölder's inequality with $r>2$, Lemma 4, the disjointness of the rectangles $R_{j}$, and Lemma 2, respectively, in the preceding sequence of estimates.

We also have a reverse inequality:

$$
\sum_{j} \int_{E}\left|T_{\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}}\left(\chi_{R_{j}}, \chi_{R_{j}}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x \geq \sum_{j} \int_{E}\left(\frac{1}{10} \chi_{R_{j}^{\prime}}(x)\right)^{2} d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{100} \sum_{j}\left|E \cap R_{j}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1200} \sum_{j}\left|R_{j}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Lemma 3 and Lemma 2.
Combining the upper and lower estimates for $\sum_{j} \int_{E}\left|T_{\mathcal{H}_{v_{j}}}\left(\chi_{R_{j}}, \chi_{R_{j}}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{1200} \sum_{j}\left|R_{j}\right| \leq C \delta^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \sum_{j}\left|R_{j}\right|
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{1}{1200} \leq C \delta^{\frac{r-2}{r}}
$$

for any $\delta>0$. This is a contradiction since we are assuming that $r>2$.
The lack of boundedness of the ball multiplier operator (42) in the remaining nonlocal $L^{2}$ regions $(p>2, q<2, r<2)$ and ( $p<2, q>2, r<2$ ) follows by duality, while in the region $\left(1 \leq p, q<\infty, \frac{1}{2}<r \leq 1\right)$ it is obvious.
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