

# ARE $L^2$ -BOUNDED HOMOGENEOUS SINGULAR INTEGRALS NECESSARILY $L^p$ -BOUNDED?

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS, PETR HONZÍK, AND DMITRY RYABOGIN

ABSTRACT. We present a dyadic one-dimensional version of the construction of even integrable functions  $\Omega$  on the unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}^{d-1}$  with mean value zero satisfying

$$\operatorname{es\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log \frac{1}{|\theta \cdot \xi|} d\theta < +\infty,$$

such that the singular integral operator  $T_\Omega$  given by convolution with the distribution p.v.  $\Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-d}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$  if and only if  $p = 2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

Let  $\Omega$  be an even complex-valued integrable function on the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^{d-1}$ , with mean value zero with respect to the surface measure. The classical theory of singular integral operators says that the Calderón and Zygmund principal-value singular integral initially defined for functions  $f$  in the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$

$$(1) \quad T_\Omega(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(y/|y|)}{|y|^d} f(x-y) dy,$$

is given by a convolution with the distribution p.v.  $\Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-d}$ , whose Fourier transform is the homogeneous of degree zero function

$$(2) \quad m(\Omega)(\xi) := (\text{p.v. } \Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-d})^\wedge(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \Omega(\theta) \log \frac{1}{|\xi \cdot \theta|} d\theta.$$

Thus, the  $L^2$  boundedness of  $T_\Omega$  is equivalent to the condition that  $m(\Omega)$  is an essentially bounded function, i.e.  $m(\Omega) \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ . The theory of singular integrals of the form (1) was developed by Calderón and Zygmund [1], [2] who established their  $L^p$  boundedness in the range  $1 < p < \infty$  for  $\Omega$  in  $L \log L(\mathbf{S}^{d-1})$ . It was proved by Weiss and Zygmund [8] that  $T_\Omega$  may be unbounded even on  $L^2$  for  $\Omega$  in  $L(\log L)^{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{S}^{d-1})$  when  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus the  $L \log L$  condition on  $\Omega$  is the sharpest possible, in this sense, that implies the  $L^p$  boundedness for in the whole range of  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . The weak type  $(1, 1)$  boundedness of such singular integrals with  $\Omega$  in  $L \log L(\mathbf{S}^{d-1})$  was studied much later by Christ and Rubio de Francia [3] and Seeger [7].

In [5] the following result was established:

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B20. Secondary 42E30.

*Key words and phrases*. Homogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals, rough kernels.

Grafakos' research was partially supported by the NSF under grant DMS0400387 and by the University of Missouri Research Council. Honzík was supported by 201/03/0931 Grant Agency of the Czech Republic. Ryabogin's research was partially supported by the NSF under grant DMS0400789.

**Theorem 1.** *There is an integrable function  $\Omega$  with mean value zero on the unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ , satisfying*

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{es\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log \frac{1}{|\xi \cdot \theta|} d\theta < \infty,$$

but such that  $T_\Omega$  is  $L^p$  bounded exactly when  $p = 2$ .

In this note we consider the one-dimensional dyadic model  $D_\Omega$  of  $T_\Omega$ ,

$$(4) \quad \widehat{D_\Omega f}(x) = m(\Omega)(x) \widehat{f}(x), \quad m(\Omega)(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}(x) \sum_{I \ni x} \int_I \Omega(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Here the sum is extended over all dyadic subintervals  $I$  of  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\Omega$  is a nonnegative function in  $L^1([0, 1])$ . We observe that

$$\sum_{I \ni x} \int_I \Omega(y) dy = \int_0^1 \sum_{I \ni x, y} \chi_I(y) \Omega(y) dy \leq \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} \Omega(y) dy,$$

provided  $x$  does not belong to a set of ends of dyadic intervals. We prove the following

**Theorem 2.** *There exists a nonnegative function  $\Omega \in L^1([0, 1])$  such that  $m(\Omega)$  is bounded and is not a  $L^p$  Fourier multiplier for any  $p \neq 2$ .*

To show that the multiplier norm  $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})}$  is infinite for  $p \neq 2$ , we use deLeeuw [4] type result which comes from the work of Lebedev and Olevski [6]:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $b$  be a function on the real line and let  $y_j$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $y_{j+1} - y_j$  is a constant for all  $j$ . Assume that the function  $b$  is regulated at the points  $y_j$ , i.e. the average of left and right limits of  $b$  at each  $y_j$  coincides with  $b(y_j)$ . Then we have*

$$\|b\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} \geq \|\{b(y_j)\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

Here  $\|\{b(y_j)\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}$  is the norm of the operator  $f \rightarrow \sum_j b(y_j) \widehat{f}(j) e^{2\pi i j x}$  acting on functions  $f$  on the circle  $[0, 1]$ . For compactly supported sequences this norm is at most the size of the support of the sequence times its  $L^\infty$  norm.

Given a compactly supported sequence  $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$  with a large norm  $\|\{\epsilon_j\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}$  we will construct an integrable function  $\Omega$  and take an arithmetic progression  $\{x_j\}_j$  such that  $\|\{m(\Omega)(x_j)\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})} \geq c \|\{\epsilon_j\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To pick up a sequence  $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$  with a large multiplier norm, we use the fact that the Riesz basis of  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\{e^{2\pi i j x}\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  is not unconditional for  $p \neq 2$ . That means that for any  $K > 0$  we can find a compactly supported sequence  $a_j$  and a sequence  $\epsilon_j$  of 0's and 1's such that

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_j \epsilon_j a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p \geq K \left\| \sum_j a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p.$$

Consider a decreasing sequence  $p_1 > p_2 > p_3 > \dots$  which converges to 2 and let  $a_j^k$  be a sequence supported in  $\{1, \dots, l_k\}$  and  $\varepsilon_j^k$  be a sequence of zeros and ones such that (5) holds with  $p = p_k$  and  $K = k$ , i.e.

$$(6) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \varepsilon_j^k a_j^k e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_{p_k} \geq k \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} a_j^k e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_{p_k}.$$

To construct  $\Omega$  (depending on  $\varepsilon_j^k$ ), we look at  $m(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega = \chi_{I_0}$  is the characteristic function of any dyadic interval  $I_0 \subset [0, 1]$  of length  $2^{-i_0}$ . We observe that  $m(\chi_{I_0})(x) = (i_0 + 1)2^{-i_0}$  for  $x \in I_0$ , and  $m(\chi_{I_0})(y) \leq n_0 2^{-i_0}$ , for  $y$  outside  $I_0$ . Here  $n_0$  is the number of dyadic subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  that contain both  $I_0$  and  $y$ . This means that given any dyadic interval  $I$  and any  $\delta > 0$ , one can find a centrally located (within  $I$ ) dyadic subinterval  $J$  of  $I$  of length  $2^{-j}$  and a function  $\Omega_{\delta, I} = 2^j \chi_J / (j + 1)$  such that  $m(\Omega_{\delta, I})(x) = 1$  when  $x \in J$  and  $m(\Omega_{\delta, I})(x) \leq \delta$  when  $x$  is not in  $I$ . Note that the  $L^1$  norm of  $\Omega_{\delta, I}$  is  $1/(j + 1)$ ,  $j = -\log |J|$ , and it can be made small.

We set

$$\Omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{I_k}, \quad \Omega_{I_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \varepsilon_j^k \Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}}.$$

where  $\Omega_{I_k}$  are supported in  $I_k$ , the dyadic subintervals of  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$I_1 = [0, 1/2], \quad I_2 = [1/2, 3/4], \quad I_3 = [3/4, 7/8], \quad I_4 = [7/8, 15/16], \quad \dots,$$

and  $\varepsilon_j^k$  are as in (6). To define  $\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}}$  we pick irrational points

$$x_{k,1} < x_{k,2} < \dots < x_{k,l_k}$$

inside  $I_k$  so that the intervals spanned by two consecutive such points have the same length. We choose small disjoint subintervals  $I_{k,j}$  of  $I_k$  centered at the points  $x_{k,j}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_k\}$ . Next, we select an interval  $J_{k,j} \subset I_{k,j}$  such that the function

$$\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}} = \frac{\chi_{J_{k,j}}}{|J_{k,j}|(\log(1/|J_{k,j}|) + 1)}$$

satisfies

$$(7) \quad m(\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}})(x) = 1 \quad \text{when} \quad x \in J_{k,j},$$

and

$$(8) \quad m(\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}})(x) \leq \delta_{k,j} = 2^{-2-j-k} / l_k^2 \quad \text{when} \quad x \notin I_{k,j}.$$

We can also assume that  $J_{k,j}$  satisfies

$$(9) \quad \log \frac{1}{|J_{k,j}|} \geq k^2 l_k.$$

Observe that (9) implies

$$\|\Omega\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \frac{1}{\log(1/|J_{k,j}|)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty.$$

Observe also that  $m(\Omega)$  is a bounded function. Indeed, let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $x \in I_n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , and

$$(10) \quad m(\Omega)(x) \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} m(\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}})(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,j}, I_{n,j}})(x).$$

The first term in the right hand side of (10) is bounded due to the choice of  $I_{k,j}$  and  $\delta_{k,j}$ , see (8). To estimate the second one, we consider two cases, a)  $x \in I_{n,s} \setminus J_{n,s}$  for some fixed  $s = 1, 2, \dots, l_n$ , and b)  $x \in J_{n,s}$ , or  $x \in I_n \setminus I_{n,s}$ . We write

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,j}, I_{n,j}})(x) \leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq s}^{l_n} m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,j}, I_{n,j}})(x) + m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,s}, I_{n,s}})(x).$$

In the case a) we have

$$m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,s}, I_{n,s}})(x) \leq \sum_{I \ni x, J_{n,s}} \int_I \Omega_{\delta_{n,s}, I_{n,s}}(y) dy \leq \frac{|J_{n,s}| \sum_{I \ni x, J_{n,s}} 1}{|J_{n,s}| (\log(1/|J_{n,s}|) + 1)} < \infty,$$

and the boundedness of the right-hand side in (11) follows from (8). In the case b) we use (7) and (8). Thus, the second term in (10) is bounded and  $m(\Omega)$  is bounded.

It remains to show that  $m(\Omega)$  is not an  $L^p$  Fourier multiplier for any  $p \neq 2$ . We fix a  $p > 2$  and pick a  $k_0$  so that  $2 < p_{k_0} < p$ . Then  $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} \geq \|m(\Omega)\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{R})}$  and it suffices to show that the latter can become arbitrarily large.

Observe that the function  $m(\Omega)$  is regulated at the points  $\{x_{k_0,j}\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}$ , (this can be easily seen by splitting  $m(\Omega)(x_{k_0,j})$  into the sums similar to (10), (11)), and by Theorem 3 we have

$$\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{R})} \geq \|\{m(\Omega)(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

But the last expression is at least as big as

$$\|\{m(\Omega_{I_{k_0}})(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})} - \|\{\sum_{k \neq k_0} m(\Omega_{I_k})(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

Note that the functions  $\sum_{k \neq k_0} m(\Omega_{I_k})$  are constant on the interval  $I_{k_0}$  and therefore the sequence  $\{\sum_{k \neq k_0} m(\Omega_{I_k})(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}$  is constant of length  $l_{k_0}$ . The multiplier norm of this sequence is a constant  $c(p_{k_0})$  which is bounded above by a constant  $c(p) = \cot(\pi/2p)$  independent of  $k_0$ . Now

$$m(\Omega_{I_{k_0}})(x_{k_0,j}) = \epsilon_j^{k_0} + E_j^{k_0},$$

where

$$E_j^{k_0} = \sum_{1 \leq j' \neq j \leq l_{k_0}} \epsilon_{j'}^{k_0} m(\Omega_{\delta_{k_0,j'}, I_{k_0,j'}})(x_{k_0,j}),$$

and (8) implies  $|E_j^{k_0}| \leq 2^{-2-j-k}/l_k$ ,  $\|\{E_j^{k_0}\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})} \leq 2^{-2-j-k}$ , due to the compactness of the support of  $\{E_j^{k_0}\}_j$ . We conclude that  $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} \geq k_0 - 1 - c(p)$  and this can be made arbitrarily large. Hence  $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} = \infty$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On the existence of certain singular integrals*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 85–139.
- [2] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On singular integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 289–309.
- [3] M. Christ and J.-L. Rubio de Francia, *Weak type  $(1, 1)$  bounds for rough operators II*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 225–237.
- [4] K. deLeeuw, *On  $L^p$  multipliers*, Ann. Math, **81** (1964), 364–379.
- [5] L. Grafakos, P. Honzík and D. Ryabogin, *On the  $p$ -independence boundedness property of Calderon-Zygmund theory*, Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, to appear.
- [6] V. Lebedev and A. Oleviskii, *Idempotents of Fourier multiplier algebra*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), 539–544.
- [7] A. Seeger, *Singular integral operators with rough convolution kernels*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc., **9** (1996), 95–105.
- [8] M. Weiss and A. Zygmund, *An example in the theory of singular integrals*, Studia Math. **26** (1965), 101–111.

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

*E-mail address:* loukas@math.missouri.edu

PETR HONZÍK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA

*E-mail address:* petrhonez@math.msu.edu

DMITRY RYABOGIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66503 USA

*E-mail address:* ryabs@math.ksu.edu