REMARKS ON COUNTABLE SUBADDITIVITY

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS AND MONICA VIŞAN

ABSTRACT. We discuss how countable subadditivity of operators can be derived from subadditivity under mild forms of continuity, and provide examples manifesting such circumstances.

1. Introduction

A central theorem in modern analysis is Hunt's interpolation theorem, [7, 8]. Arising as the culmination of earlier studies, e.g. [2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16], Hunt's theorem provides the definitive formulation of the celebrated Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem in the setting of Lorentz spaces. It is well known that Lorentz spaces constitute a natural scale of spaces that contain both L^p and weak L^p spaces.

There are elegant and well-documented treatments of these interpolation results centered around simple functions; for textbook presentations see, for example, [5, 17]. The interpolation results are then extended from simple functions to those Lorentz spaces in which simple functions are dense. However, simple functions are *not* dense in some of the most conspicuous Lorentz spaces arising in analysis, namely, the weak L^p spaces.

Due to the reliance on the density of simple functions, standard proofs of interpolation theorems often offer incomplete treatments in the case of weak L^p spaces. Inevitably, interpolation results in these spaces require different ad-hoc arguments tailored to the particular operators appearing in each application. This shortcoming somewhat compromises a universal formulation in the elegant theory of interpolation.

In this note, we advocate that the property of countable subadditivity offers an effective and universal remedy to this problem. Countable subadditivity provides a streamlined approach to proving these interpolation theorems, obviating the need for various limiting procedures imposed by the consideration of simple functions, thus allowing the uniform treatment of all Lorentz spaces. Accordingly, we believe that

in addition to its scientific value, this approach has a significant expository and pedagogical merit.

In Proposition 2.7, we prove that the hypotheses of the Hunt interpolation theorem already guarantee countable subadditivity of the operator. Indeed, we show that a subadditive operator satisfying the hypotheses of Hunt's theorem is automatically countably subadditive on the interpolated spaces.

More generally, the question we explore in this paper is under what reasonable conditions a subadditive mapping may actually be countably subadditive. Recall that a mapping T defined on a normed vector space $(W, |\cdot|_W)$ and taking values in another normed vector space $(V, |\cdot|_V)$ is called *subadditive* if

$$|T(f+g)|_{V} \le |T(f)|_{V} + |T(g)|_{V}$$

for every $f, g \in W$. We say that T is *countably subadditive* if whenever a series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f_j$ converges in the norm of W, we have

(2)
$$\left| T \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j \right) \right|_V \le \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| T(f_j) \right|_V.$$

Many examples indicate that in general, a subadditive operator need not be countably subadditive. For instance, we may define T from $L^1([0,1])$ to \mathbf{R} via

$$T(f) = \min \left\{ 1, \limsup_{n \to \infty} n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |f(x)| \, dx \right\}.$$

The functional T is certainly subadditive but not countably subadditive on $L^1([0,1])$, as the sequence $f_j = \chi_{(\frac{1}{j+1},\frac{1}{j}]}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, violates (2).

A positive answer to the question of when subadditivity implies countable subadditivity can be given if the (not necessarily linear) operator T is assumed to be "continuous at zero" in the sense that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

(3)
$$f \in W, \quad |f|_W < \delta \implies |T(f)|_V < \varepsilon.$$

This property implies that the action of T on the tail of a convergent series tends to zero in norm, and countable subadditivity may be easily deduced from this and the subadditivity property.

Although continuity implies countable subadditivity, it should be noted that the reverse implication is not valid. For instance, the linear operator $L(f)(x) = g(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(t) dt$ is countably subadditive on $L^1(\mathbf{R})$, but it is not continuous from $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ to any reasonable space, if g is a measurable function that exhibits bad behavior everywhere.

In many situations arising in analysis, V and W are not normed spaces but rather quasi-normed spaces, which means that the triangle inequality in (1) holds with the appearance of a multiplicative constant on the right-hand side. Quasi-normed spaces are ubiquitous in analysis: for instance, Hardy spaces, L^p spaces, and Lorentz spaces with indices less than 1 are all examples of such spaces.

When V and W are quasi-normed spaces, it is reasonable to replace (1) by the quasi-additivity condition

$$(4) |T(f+g)|_{V} \le K(|T(f)|_{V} + |T(g)|_{V})$$

for all f, g in W and some fixed constant K > 1. Then under the assumptions (3) and (4), T enjoys the *countable* α -quasi-additivity property

(5)
$$\left| T \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j \right) \right|_V^{\alpha} \le 4 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| T(f_j) \right|_V^{\alpha}$$

for all series $\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} f_j$ that converge in the quasi-norm of W. Here α is the positive constant that satisfies $(2K)^{\alpha} = 2$.

Assertion (5) can be derived as follows: by the Aoki–Rolewicz theorem [1, 9, 13] one has

(6)
$$\left| T \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j \right) \right|_V^{\alpha} \le 4 \sum_{|j| \le N} \left| T(f_j) \right|_V^{\alpha} + 4 \left| T \left(\sum_{|j| > N} f_j \right) \right|_V^{\alpha}$$

for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, the last term on the right-hand side in (6) can be made smaller than $4 \varepsilon^{\alpha}$, provided N is large enough such that $|\sum_{|j|>N} f_j|_W < \delta$. Letting $N \to \infty$ we obtain

(7)
$$\left| T \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j \right) \right|_V^{\alpha} \le 4 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| T(f_j) \right|_V^{\alpha} + 4 \varepsilon^{\alpha}$$

and, as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we deduce (5).

In this note, we consider the issue of countable subadditivity and countable α -quasi-additivity in the situation when the underlying spaces W and V are Lorentz spaces over σ -finite measure spaces. Our main observation is that the continuity assertion (3) can be derived from weak-type, or even restricted weak-type estimates. This is encapsulated in Proposition 2.7, which guarantees that a subadditive operator satisfying the hypotheses of Hunt's interpolation theorem is countably subadditive on the interpolated spaces. As observed earlier, this allows one to prove the Hunt theorem directly (without resorting to simple functions) and uniformly on all Lorentz spaces, even those in which simple functions are not dense.

Further applications are discussed in Section 3; these include extensions of the Yano extrapolation theorem and the Calderón–Zygmund theorem, as well as a result concerning 0-local operators.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** L.G. is supported by the Simons Foundation Grant 624733 and of the University of Missouri Research Council. M.V. was supported by NSF grant DMS-2054194.

2. Countable subadditivity and α -quasi-additivitity for operators between Lorentz spaces

In this section, we show that mild boundedness assumptions imply countable subadditivity and countable α -quasi-additivity.

To fix notation, we let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be two σ -finite measure spaces. We denote by S(X) the space of complex-valued simple functions on X and by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the space of complex-valued measurable functions on X. We analogously define S(Y) and $\mathcal{M}(Y)$.

Following [5], we denote by $S_0(X)$ the subset of S(X) of functions of the form $f_1 - f_2 + if_3 - if_4$, where each f_j has the form $\sum_{k=m}^n 2^{-k} \chi_{A_k}$ where m < n are integers and A_k are subsets of X of finite measure.

Definition 2.1. The decreasing rearrangement of a function $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is defined as follows: for any $t \in [0, \infty)$,

(8)
$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0: \ \mu(\{|f| > s\}) \le t\}.$$

For $0 < p, q \le \infty$, the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(X)$ is the space of all complex-valued measurable functions f on X for which the quasi-norm

$$||f||_{L^{p,q}(X)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) & \text{if } q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite.

Note that $L^{p,p}(X) = L^p(X)$ for all $0 , while <math>L^{p,\infty}(X)$ coincides with the weak $L^p(X)$ space for 0 .

Lorentz spaces satisfy a nesting property; specifically, $L^{p,q}(X) \subset L^{p,r}(X)$ whenever $0 and <math>0 < q < r \le \infty$. Indeed, there exists a constant C(p,q,r) depending only on p,q,r so that

(9)
$$||f||_{L^{p,r}(X)} \le C(p,q,r) ||f||_{L^{p,q}(X)}.$$

That the space of simple functions S(X) is dense in $L^{p,q}(X)$ for all $0 < p, q < \infty$ was observed already in [8]. For a proof that $S_0(X)$ is dense in $L^{p,q}(X)$ for $0 < p, q < \infty$ see Proposition 1.4.21 in [5].

However, S(X) is not dense in $L^{p,\infty}(X)$ for any $0 if <math>\mu$ has infinite support; see Exercise 1.4.4. in [5].

Definition 2.2. Let T be a mapping defined on a Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(X)$ and taking values in the space $\mathcal{M}(Y)$. We say that

(a) T is subadditive on $L^{p,q}(X)$ if for all $f,g\in L^{p,q}(X)$ we have

$$|T(f+g)| \le |T(f)| + |T(g)|$$
 v-a.e.

If in addition T satisfies

(10) $|T(\lambda f)| = |\lambda||T(f)|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and $f \in L^{p,q}(X)$,

then T is called sublinear.

(b) T is countably subadditive on $L^{p,q}(X)$ if whenever $\sum_{j\in \mathbf{Z}} f_j$ converges in $L^{p,q}(X)$, we have

$$\left| T\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j\right) \right| \le \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |T(f_j)|$$
 ν -a.e.

(c) T is quasi-additive on $L^{p,q}(X)$ if there is a constant K > 1 such that for all $f, g \in L^{p,q}(X)$ we have

$$|T(f+g)| \le K(|T(f)| + |T(g)|)$$
 v-a.e.

If in addition T satisfies (10), then T is called quasi-linear.

(d) T is countably α -quasi-additive on $L^{p,q}(X)$ for some $0 < \alpha \le 1$ if there is a constant $K' \ge 1$ such that whenever $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j$ converges in $L^{p,q}(X)$, we have

$$\left|T\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}f_j\right)\right|^{\alpha} \le K'\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}|T(f_j)|^{\alpha}$$
 ν -a.e.

Next, we recall the restricted weak-type and weak-type conditions.

Definition 2.3. We say that a mapping T defined on a subset of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ containing S(X) and taking values in $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is of restricted weak-type (p,q) for $0 and <math>0 < q \leq \infty$ if there is a constant C > 0 so that

(11)
$$||T(\chi_A)||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)} \le C \,\mu(A)^{1/p}$$

for all measurable subsets A of X with finite measure.

We say that T is of weak-type (p,q) if there is a constant C>0 such that

(12)
$$||T(f)||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)} \le C ||f||_{L^p(X)}$$

for all $f \in L^p(X)$.

We recall that a sublinear operator T is of restricted weak-type (p,q) with $0 and <math>1 < q < \infty$ if and only if it admits a bounded extension from $L^{p,1}(X)$ to $L^{q,\infty}(Y)$; see, for example, [5, Exercise 1.4.7].

As observed in the introduction, countable subadditivity and countable α -quasi-additivity are consequences of continuity. We first observe that the weak-type condition provides a strong form of continuity.

Proposition 2.4. Fix $0 and <math>0 < q \le \infty$.

- (i) A subadditive operator T of weak-type (p,q) is countably subadditive on $L^p(X)$.
- (ii) A quasi-additive operator T of weak-type (p,q) is countably α -quasi-additive on $L^p(X)$.

Proof. We prove (ii) as the proof of (i) is easier.

Let $\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} f_j$ be a series converging in $L^p(X)$. By the Aoki–Rolewicz theorem (with $(2K)^{\alpha}=2$), we obtain

for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

To conclude the proof, it thus suffices to show that $T(\sum_{|j|>N} f_j)$ converges to zero ν -a.e. as $N \to \infty$. As T is of weak-type (p,q), we have

$$\left\| T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} f_j\right) \right\|_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)} \le C \left\| \sum_{|j|>N} f_j \right\|_{L^p(X)}$$

for some fixed C>0. As the right-hand side converges to zero as $N\to\infty$, we conclude that $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N}f_j\right)$ converges in measure to zero. Consequently, there is a subsequence $N_k\to\infty$ such that $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N_k}f_j\right)\to 0$ ν -a.e. Setting $N=N_k$ in (13) and letting $k\to\infty$ we obtain that T is countably α -quasi-additive on $L^p(X)$.

Next we discuss a weaker form of continuity that is still sufficient to imply countable subadditivity in the setting of Lorentz spaces.

Proposition 2.5. Let $0 , <math>0 < q \le \infty$, and $0 < r < \min\{1, q\}$. Let T be a subadditive operator defined on $S_0(X)$ with values in $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ which is of restricted weak-type (p,q). Then |T| has a unique countably subadditive extension on $L^{p,r}(X)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.4.20 in [5] there is a constant C > 0 such that

(14)
$$||T(\varphi)||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)} \le C ||\varphi||_{L^{p,r}(X)}$$

for all functions φ in the subspace $S_0(X)$ of $L^{p,r}(X)$.

Next, we use the density of $S_0(X)$ in $L^{p,r}(X)$ together with (14) to define an extension of |T| on $L^{p,r}(X)$. Given a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}_j \subset S_0(X)$ converging to a given $f \in L^{p,r}(X)$ in the norm of this space, we claim that $|T(\varphi_j)|$ converges to |T(f)| in $L^{q,\infty}(Y)$. To see this, we note that in view of subadditivity we have

$$||T(\varphi_{j'})| - |T(\varphi_j)|| \le |T(\varphi_{j'} - \varphi_j)|.$$

Invoking (14), this yields that that sequence $\{|T(\varphi_j)|\}_j$ is Cauchy in the complete metric space $L^{q,\infty}(Y)$ and thus it has a limit in this space, which we denote by $|T(f)|^*$. Moreover,

(15)
$$||T(f)|^*||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)} = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||T(\varphi_j)||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)}$$

$$\leq C \lim_{j \to \infty} ||\varphi_j||_{L^{p,r}(X)} = C||f||_{L^{p,r}(X)}.$$

Next we observe that the object $|T(f)|^*$ does not depend on the particular sequence $\{\varphi_j\}_j$ used to approximate f. Indeed, if $\{\psi_j\}_j$ is another sequence in $S_0(X)$ converging to f, then

$$||T(\psi_j)| - |T(\varphi_j)|| \le |T(\psi_j - \varphi_j)|.$$

Together with (14) this shows that $|T(\psi_j)|$ has the same limit as $|T(\varphi_j)|$ in $L^{q,\infty}(Y)$. Therefore, $|T(f)|^*$ is well defined for each $f \in L^{p,r}(X)$.

This allows us to define an extension $|T|^*$ of |T| on $L^{p,r}(X)$ by setting $|T|^*(f) = |T(f)|^*$. Moreover, in view of (15), this extension satisfies

(16)
$$|||T(f)|^*||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)} \le C||f||_{L^{p,r}(X)}.$$

This bound provides the required continuity needed to show that the extension $|T|^*$ is a countably subadditive operator on $L^{p,r}(X)$. Indeed, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, to derive countable subadditivity we need to control $|T(\sum_{|j|>N} f_j)|^*$ whenever $\sum_{|j|>N} f_j \to 0$ in $L^{p,r}(X)$. The estimate (16) implies that $||T(\sum_{|j|>N} f_j)|^*||_{L^{q,\infty}(Y)}$ tends to zero as $N \to \infty$, so there is a subsequence $N_k \to \infty$ such that $|T(\sum_{|j|>N_k} f_j)|^*$ tends to zero ν -a.e. as $k \to \infty$. This yields the countable subadditivity of the extension $|T|^*$.

Remark 2.6. Proposition 2.5 has a natural extension in the case T is a quasi-additive operator defined on $S_0(X)$ with values in $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ which is of restricted weak-type (p,q) for $0 and <math>0 < q \leq \infty$. In this setting, Lemma 1.4.20 in [5] establishes the estimate (14) provided

$$0 < r < \min \bigl\{ q, \tfrac{\log 2}{\log(2K)} \bigr\},$$

where K denotes the quasi-additivity constant of T. The arguments in Proposition 2.5 then show that |T| has a unique countably α -quasiadditive extension on $L^{p,r}(X)$ where α is chosen such that $(2K)^{\alpha} = 2$. Proposition 2.5 shows that operators that are well-behaved on simple functions have extensions that are likewise well-behaved. However, the extension provided by Proposition 2.5 need not be the only extension of the operator, as the following example demonstrates: Let B denote a vector space basis of $S_0(X)$ and let B' denote an extension of B to a basis of $L^{p,r}(X)$. Given a function $h \neq 0$, that may or may not belong to $L^{q,\infty}(Y)$, we define

$$T(f) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f \in B \\ h, & \text{if } f \in B' \setminus B, \end{cases}$$

and extend T to $S_0(X)$ and $L^{p,r}(X)$ as a linear operator. Then the extension provided by Proposition 2.5 is the zero operator on $L^{p,r}(X)$ and so does not coincide with T on $L^{p,r}(X)$.

In fact, the restricted weak-type property is most useful when it is additionally known that the operator is also bounded on some other space, in which case there is no ambiguity over the extension.

Our main result in this section demonstrates that if two estimates are known (such as in the setting of the Hunt or Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorems, for example), then subadditivity (respectively, quasi-additivity) properties of the operator necessarily imply countable subadditivity (respectively, countable α -quasi-additivity) on interpolation spaces.

Proposition 2.7. Let $0 < p_0 < p < p_1 \le \infty$ and $0 < q_0, q_1 \le \infty$. Let T be a quasi-additive (respectively, subadditive) operator defined on $L^{p_0,1}(X) + L^{p_1,1}(X)$ with values in $\mathcal{M}(Y)$. Assume that T satisfies

(17)
$$||T(f)||_{L^{q_0,\infty}(Y)} \le C_0 ||f||_{L^{p_0,1}(X)},$$

(18)
$$||T(f)||_{L^{q_1,\infty}(Y)} \le C_1 ||f||_{L^{p_1,1}(X)},$$

for fixed constants positive C_0 , C_1 . Then T is countably α -quasi-additive (respectively, countably subadditive) on $L^{p,r}(X)$ for any $0 < r \le \infty$, where α is related to the quasi-addivity constant K via $(2K)^{\alpha} = 2$.

Proof. We prove the claim in the case T is quasi-additive, as the sub-additive case is included.

Given $f \in L^{p,r}(X)$, we may decompose it as

$$f = f_0 + f_1$$
 with $f_0 = f\chi_{\{|f| > f^*(1)\}}$.

Then

$$f_0^*(t) \le f^*(t)\chi_{(0,1)}(t)$$
 and $f_1^*(t) \le \begin{cases} f^*(1), & \text{if } t < 1\\ f^*(t), & \text{if } t \ge 1 \end{cases}$

which yields

$$(19) ||f_0||_{L^{p_0,1}} + ||f_1||_{L^{p_1,1}} \le C(p,p_0,p_1)||f||_{L^{p,\infty}} \le C(p,p_0,p_1)||f||_{L^{p,r}}.$$

The last inequality above follows from the nesting property (9) of Lorentz spaces.

As (19) shows, the space $L^{p,r}(X)$ is contained in $L^{p_0,1}(X) + L^{p_1,1}(X)$. Therefore, given a sequence f_j in $L^{p,r}(X)$, we may decompose each $f_j = g_j + h_j$ with $g_j \in L^{p_0,1}(X)$ and $h_j \in L^{p_1,1}(X)$. If the series $\sum_j f_j$ converges in $L^{p,r}(X)$, then (19) shows that $\sum_j g_j$ converges in $L^{p_0,1}(X)$ and $\sum_j h_j$ converges in $L^{p_1,1}(X)$.

The quasi-additivity of T yields

(20)
$$\left| T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} f_j\right) \right| \le K \left| T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} g_j\right) \right| + K \left| T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} h_j\right) \right|.$$

By (17), (18), and the convergence of $\sum_j g_j$ in $L^{p_0,1}(X)$ and that of $\sum_j h_j$ in $L^{p_1,1}(X)$, we have that $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} g_j\right)$ tends to zero in $L^{q_0,\infty}(Y)$ and $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} h_j\right)$ tends to zero in $L^{q_1,\infty}(Y)$. Passing to a subsequence $N_k \to \infty$, we may thus guarantee that $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N_k} g_j\right)$ tends to zero ν -a.e. and $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N_k} h_j\right)$ tends to zero ν -a.e. as $k \to \infty$. By (20), this shows that $T\left(\sum_{|j|>N_k} f_j\right)$ tends to zero ν -a.e. as $k \to \infty$.

To continue, we employ the Aoki–Rolewicz theorem to deduce

Sending $k \to \infty$, this yields the countable α -quasi-additivity of T. \square

Note that the boundedness properties (17) and (18) of T are the standard hypotheses in Hunt's interpolation theorem [7, 8]. Moreover, by the nesting property (9), if T is of weak-type (p_0, q_0) and of weak-type (p_1, q_1) , then it also satisfies (17) and (18); weak-type bounds are the standard hypotheses in the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

Proposition 2.7 shows that the colloquial formulation of the Hunt interpolation theorem holds true — there is no need to discuss simple functions. Indeed, Proposition 2.7 guarantees that, under the traditional hypotheses of the Hunt interpolation theorem, the operator T extends naturally to the entirety of $L^{p,r}(X)$, even when $r = \infty$. By comparison, traditional treatments guarantee only that the interpolation bounds hold for simple functions and consequently, that the operator T extends to a bounded operator on $L^{p,r}$ only when simple functions are dense therein. As discussed in the introduction, this excludes the weak L^p spaces.

3. Applications

In this section, we look at three examples where countable subadditivity plays a critical role.

3.1. Yano's extrapolation. For our first application we prove a slight extension of Yano's extrapolation theorem [18]; see also [4, Theorem 4.1] for a different approach to this result.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be finite measure spaces and fix $1 < p_* < \infty$ and positive constants A, α . Let T be a sublinear operator that maps $L^{p,1}(X)$ to $L^{p,\infty}(Y)$ for every $1 with norm bounded by <math>A(p-1)^{-\alpha}$. Then for all $f \in \bigcup_{1 we have$

(22)
$$\int_{Y} |T(f)| d\nu \le A C_{Y} \left[\int_{X} |f| (\log_{2}^{+} |f|)^{\alpha} d\mu + C_{X,\alpha,p_{*}} \right],$$

where C_{X,α,p_*} and C_Y are constants depending on the indicated parameters.

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, T is countably subadditive on $L^{p,1}(X)$ for all 1 .

Given $f \in L^{p,1}(X)$ for some 1 , we decompose

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f \chi_{S_k} \,,$$

where $S_0 = \{|f| < 2\}$ and $S_k = \{2^k \le |f| < 2^{k+1}\}$ for $k \ge 1$. That the series representation of f converges in $L^{p,1}(X)$ follows from the dominated convergence theorem.

Let $k_0 \ge 1$ be such that $1 + \frac{1}{k} < p_*$ for all $k \ge k_0$. Let $p_k = \frac{k+1}{k}$ whenever $k \ge k_0$.

By the countable subadditivity property of T and Hölder's inequality in Lorentz spaces, we may estimate

$$\int_{Y} |T(f)| d\nu
\leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{Y} |T(f\chi_{S_{k}})| d\nu
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k < k_{0}} ||T(f\chi_{S_{k}})||_{L^{p,\infty}(Y)} ||1||_{L^{p',1}(Y)} + \sum_{k \geq k_{0}} ||T(f\chi_{S_{k}})||_{L^{p_{k},\infty}(Y)} ||1||_{L^{p'_{k},1}(Y)}
\leq \frac{A}{(p-1)^{\alpha}} \sum_{0 \leq k < k_{0}} ||f\chi_{S_{k}}||_{L^{p,1}(X)} \nu(Y)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \sum_{k \geq k_{0}} \frac{A}{(p_{k}-1)^{\alpha}} ||f\chi_{S_{k}}||_{L^{p_{k},1}(X)} \nu(Y)^{\frac{1}{p'_{k}}}$$

$$\leq AC_Y \Big[C_{X,\alpha,p_*} + \sum_{k > k_0} 2^{k+1} k^{\alpha} \mu(S_k)^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \Big].$$

Claim (22) follows from this and an application of Young's inequality:

$$\mu(S_k)^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \le \frac{k}{k+1} 4\mu(S_k) + \frac{1}{k+1} 4^{-k} \le 4\mu(S_k) + 4^{-k}.$$

Summing over $k \geq k_0$ yields the claimed assertion.

3.2. The Calderón–Zygmund theorem. Our next application concerns singular integral operators of Calderón–Zygmund type. One of the central themes in the treatment of such operators is that knowledge of the boundedness of the operator from $L^r(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^r(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for some $1 < r < \infty$, together with further regularity conditions satisfied by the kernel, guarantee that the operator is of weak-type (1,1). An application of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem then allows one to conclude that the operator admits a bounded extension from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all 1 ; see [5, 14]. Below we discuss an analogous statement under the milder assumption that <math>T is bounded from $L^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for some fixed $1 < r < \infty$. In view of Proposition 2.7, boundedness on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for 1 will follow once the operator <math>T is shown to also be of weak-type (1,1).

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that the singular integral operator T is associated with a kernel K(x,y) in the sense that

(23)
$$T(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(x, y) f(y) \, dy \qquad \text{for } x \notin \text{supp } f,$$

whenever $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is compactly supported. Assume that there exists two constants A, A' > 0 and $1 < r < \infty$ so that

- (i) $|K(x,y)| \le A|x-y|^{-n}$ uniformly for $x \ne y$,
- (ii) $\int_{|x-y|\geq 2|y-y'|} |K(x,y)-K(x,y')| dx \leq A'$ uniformly for $y,y'\in\mathbb{R}^d$,
- (iii) T is bounded from $L^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Then T admits an extension that is of weak-type (1,1) with a bound proportional to $A' + ||T||_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}}$.

Proof. Fix $f \in L^1 \cap L^{r,1}$, which is a dense subset of L^1 . For $\lambda > 0$ fixed and a constant $\gamma > 0$ to be specified shortly, we perform a Calderón–Zygmund decomposition of f at level $\gamma \lambda$, thereby writing $f = g + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} b_j$ where

(24)
$$||g||_{L^1} \le ||f||_{L^1}$$
 and $|g| \le 2^n \gamma \lambda$ a.e.

and each b_j is supported in a dyadic cube Q_j such that

(25)
$$||b_j||_{L^1} \le 2^{n+1} \gamma \lambda |Q_j|$$
 and $\int_{Q_j} b_j(y) \, dy = 0.$

Moreover, the dyadic cubes Q_j have pairwise disjoint interiors and satisfy

(26)
$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |Q_j| \le (\gamma \lambda)^{-1} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

For each cube Q_j , let y_j denote its center. Let Q_j^* denote the dilate of Q_j with the same center y_j and side $\ell(Q_j^*) = 2\sqrt{n}\,\ell(Q_j)$. Note that in view of the hypothesis (i), $T(b_j)$ admits the representation (23) for $x \notin Q_j^*$. Moreover, as

$$|x - y_j| \ge 2|y - y_j|$$
 for all $y \in Q_j$ and $x \notin Q_j^*$,

hypotheses (i) and (ii) together with (25) yield

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q_j^*} |T(b_j)(x)| \, dx \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q_j^*} \int_{Q_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,y_j)| |b_j(y)| \, dy \, dx$$

$$(27) \qquad \le 2^{n+1} A' \gamma \lambda |Q_j|.$$

By (24) and interpolation, we conclude that $g \in L^{r,1}$. Indeed,

(28)
$$||g||_{L^{r,1}} \lesssim ||f||_{L^{1}}^{\frac{1}{r}} (\gamma \lambda)^{1-\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Consequently, the series $\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} b_j = f - g \in L^{r,1}$ and converges a.e. By the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that the series converges in $L^{r,1}$. As by hypotheses (iii) we have

$$\left\| T\left(\sum_{|j|>N} b_j\right) \right\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C \left\| \sum_{|j|>N} b_j \right\|_{L^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

for some fixed C>0, and the right-hand side converges to zero as $N\to\infty$, we conclude that $|T(\sum_{|j|>N}b_j)|$ converges to zero in $L^{r,\infty}$ (and so also in measure) as $N\to\infty$. Thus, there exists a subsequence $N_k\to\infty$ such that $|T(\sum_{|j|>N_k}b_j)|\to 0$ a.e. as $k\to\infty$. Together with the linearity of T, this yields

(29)
$$T(f) = T(g) + \sum_{j} T(b_j)$$
 a.e.

To continue, we use hypothesis (iii), (24), (26), (27), (28), and (29) to derive the bound

$$\left| \left\{ x : |T(f)(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \left\{ x : |T(g)(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right| + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |Q_j^*| + \left| \left\{ x \notin \bigcup Q_j^* : \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |T(b_j)(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right| \\
\lesssim \left[\lambda^{-1} ||T(g)||_{L^{r,\infty}} \right]^r + (\gamma \lambda)^{-1} ||f||_{L^1} + \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bigcup Q_j^*} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |T(b_j)(x)| \, dx \\
\lesssim \left[\lambda^{-1} ||T||_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}} ||f||_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{r}} (\gamma \lambda)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \right]^r + (\gamma \lambda)^{-1} ||f||_{L^1} + A' \lambda^{-1} ||f||_{L^1} \\
\lesssim \lambda^{-1} (A' + ||T||_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}}) ||f||_{L^1},$$

having chosen $\gamma = 1/\|T\|_{L^{r,1}\to L^{r,\infty}}$. All the implicit constants depend only on the dimension (and not on A, f, λ).

This proves that T satisfies weak-type (1,1) bounds on $f \in L^1 \cap L^{r,1}$. Using the density of $L^1 \cap L^{r,1}$ in L^1 , we may therefore extend T to a bounded operator from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

3.3. **Subadditive** 0-local operators. Finally, we consider an application concerning subadditive operators that preserve the supports of functions with vanishing integral; we call such operators 0-local.

Theorem 3.3. Let $1 < r \le \infty$. Suppose S is a subadditive operator defined on $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ that is bounded from $L^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Assume that S is 0-local in the sense that whenever h is supported in a dyadic cube Q and has vanishing integral, then S(h) is supported in a fixed multiple Q^* of Q. Then S is of weak-type (1,1).

Proof. Assume initially that f lies in $L^1 \cap L^{r,1}$, which is dense in L^1 . For $\lambda > 0$ fixed and a constant $\gamma > 0$ to be chosen shortly, we apply the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition to f at height $\gamma\lambda$. In this way, we may write f = g + b so that (24) and (25) are satisfied. As $g \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$, we have $g \in L^{r,1}$ and it satisfies the bound (28). By hypothesis, this implies

(30)
$$||S(g)||_{L^{r,\infty}} \lesssim ||S||_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}} ||f||_{L^{1}}^{\frac{1}{r}} (\gamma \lambda)^{1-\frac{1}{r}}.$$

As $b = f - g \in L^{r,1}$ and S is bounded from $L^{r,1}$ to $L^{r,\infty}$, we may deduce the countable subadditivity property

$$|S(b)| \le \sum_{j} |S(b_j)|$$
 a.e.

As each b_j is supported in Q_j and has mean value zero, our hypotheses guarantee that $S(b_j)$ is supported in Q_j^* . Hence, $\sum_j |S(b_j)|$ is supported in $\bigcup_j Q_j^*$, which has measure bounded by a constant multiple of $(\gamma \lambda)^{-1} ||f||_{L^1}$.

Using that $|S(f)| \leq |S(g)| + |S(b)|$ together with (30) and choosing $\gamma = 1/\|S\|_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}}$, we may bound

$$\lambda \left| \left\{ |S(f)| > \lambda \right\} \right| \leq \lambda \left| \left\{ |S(g)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right| + \lambda \left| \left\{ |S(b)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|$$
$$\lesssim \lambda \left(\frac{\|S(g)\|_{L^{r,\infty}}}{\lambda} \right)^{r} + \lambda (\gamma \lambda)^{-1} \|f\|_{L^{1}}$$
$$\lesssim \|S\|_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}} \|f\|_{L^{1}},$$

where all implicit constants are independent of λ . This proves the weak-type (1,1) bounds for functions $f \in L^1 \cap L^{r,1}$. Since S is already defined on L^1 , a density argument yields

$$||S(f)||_{L^{1,\infty}} \lesssim ||S||_{L^{r,1} \to L^{r,\infty}} ||f||_{L^{1}}$$

for all $f \in L^1$.

Note that by an application of Hunt's interpolation theorem, the operator S in Theorem 3.3 maps $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for every 1 .

If the operator S were only defined on $L^{r,1}$ and not on L^1 , then we can deduce that it has a unique bounded subadditive extension on L^1 which is of weak-type (1,1) and a unique bounded subadditive extension on L^p for 1 .

Examples of 0-local subadditive operators in the sense of Theorem 3.3 can be constructed as follows: Let h_I be the Haar functions, where I ranges over all dyadic intervals. These are equal to $|I|^{-1/2}$ on the left half of I and $-|I|^{-1/2}$ on the right half. Let \mathcal{D}_k be the set of all dyadic intervals of length 2^{-k} . The dyadic martingale difference operator is

(31)
$$D_k(f) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_k} \langle f, h_I \rangle h_I, \qquad f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}).$$

For a bounded and compactly supported sequence $\{a_{k,j}\}_{k,j\in\mathbf{Z}}$, define

(32)
$$S(f) = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{k,j} D_k(f) \right|,$$

whenever $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R})$. Then S is 0-local; indeed, if f is supported in a dyadic interval I_0 of length 2^{-k_0} and has vanishing integral, then for any $k < k_0$ and any J of length 2^{-k} containing I_0 we have that f is supported in the left or right half of J on either of which h_J is constant. But if f has mean value zero, it follows that $D_k(f) = 0$ when $k < k_0$. Therefore the smallest k that appears in the sum in (32) is k_0 . Also, the sum in (31) for $k = k_0$ contains only one term, namely the one corresponding to $I = I_0$. Finally, the part of the sum in (31) with $k > k_0$ contains only terms which are supported in I_0 . Thus, S(f) is supported in I_0 .

Such examples of operators S are inspired by maximal combinations of martingale difference operators on probability spaces equipped with dyadic filtrations [6].

References

- Aoki, T., Locally bounded spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 18 (1942), no. 10, 585–634.
- [2] Calderón, A.-P., Intermediate spaces and interpolation, Studia Math. (Ser. Specjalna) Zeszyt 1 (1963), 31–34.
- [3] Calderón, A.-P., Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.
- [4] Carro, M. J., Martín, J., Extrapolation theory for the real interpolation method, Collectanea Mathematica **53**, no. 2, (2002), 165–186.
- [5] Grafakos L., Classical Fourier Analysis, 3rd Edition, Graduate Texts in Math. 249, Springer, NY, 2014.
- [6] Grafakos, L., Kalton, N. J., The Marcinkiewicz multiplier condition for bilinear operators, Studia Math. 146 (2001), 115–156.
- [7] Hunt, R. A., An extension of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem to Lorentz spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 803–807.
- [8] Hunt, R. A., On L(p,q) spaces, Enseignement Math. (2) 12 (1966), 249–276.
- [9] Kalton, N. J., Peck, N. T., Roberts, J. W., An F-space Sampler, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, 89, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [10] Krein, S. G., Semenov, E. M., A scale of spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 138 (1961), 763–766.
- [11] Lions, J.-L., Peetre, J., Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Publ. Math. No. 19 (1964), 5–68.
- [12] Peetre, J., Nouvelles propriétés d'espaces d'interpolation, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 256 (1963), 1424–1426.
- [13] Rolewicz, S., Metric Linear Spaces, 2nd edition, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), 20. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht; PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1985.
- [14] Stein, E. M., Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [15] Stein, E. M., Weiss, G., Interpolation of operators with change of measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958), 159–172.
- [16] Stein, E. M., Weiss, G., An extension of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz and some of its applications, J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959) 263–284.
- [17] Stein, E. M., Weiss, G., Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Mathematical Series, **32**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1071
- [18] Yano, S., Notes on Fourier analysis, XXIX, An extrapolation theorem, J. Math. Soc. Japan 3 (1951), 296–305.

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO $65211,\,\mathrm{USA}$

 $Email\ address: {\tt grafakosl@umsystem.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Email address: visan@math.ucla.edu