

satisfies (2.2.1) and  $\Theta$  is defined in terms of  $\Omega$  in the same way that  $\Phi$  is defined in terms of  $\Psi$ , [i.e., via (2.2.2)], then the norms defined in Definition 2.2.1 with respect to the pairs  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  and  $(\Theta, \Omega)$  are comparable. To prove this assertion we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.3.** *Let  $0 < r < \infty$ . Then there exist constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for all  $t > 0$  and for all  $\mathcal{C}^1$  functions  $u$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  whose distributional Fourier transform is supported in the ball  $|\xi| \leq t$  we have*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{1}{t} \frac{|\nabla u(x-z)|}{(1+t|z|)^{\frac{n}{r}}} \leq C_1 \sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|u(x-z)|}{(1+t|z|)^{\frac{n}{r}}}, \quad (2.2.6)$$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|u(x-z)|}{(1+t|z|)^{\frac{n}{r}}} \leq C_2 M(|u|^r)(x)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad (2.2.7)$$

where  $M$  denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. The constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depend only on the dimension  $n$  and  $r$ ; in particular they are independent of  $t$ .

*Proof.* Select a Schwartz function  $\Phi$  whose Fourier transform is supported in the ball  $|\xi| \leq 2$  and is equal to 1 on the unit ball  $|\xi| \leq 1$ . Then  $\widehat{\Phi}(\frac{\xi}{t})$  is equal to 1 on the support of  $\widehat{u}$  and we can write

$$u(x-z) = (\Phi_{1/t} * u)(x-z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} t^n \Phi(t(x-z-y))u(y) dy.$$

Taking partial derivatives and using that  $\Phi$  is a Schwartz function, we obtain

$$|\nabla u(x-z)| \leq C_N \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} t^{n+1} (1+t|x-z-y|)^{-N} |u(y)| dy,$$

where  $N$  is arbitrarily large. Using that for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}^n$  we have

$$1 \leq (1+t|x-z-y|)^{\frac{n}{r}} \frac{(1+t|z|)^{\frac{n}{r}}}{(1+t|x-y|)^{\frac{n}{r}}},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{t} \frac{|\nabla u(x-z)|}{(1+t|z|)^{\frac{n}{r}}} \leq C_N \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} t^n (1+t|x-z-y|)^{\frac{n}{r}-N} \frac{|u(y)|}{(1+t|x-y|)^{\frac{n}{r}}} dy,$$

from which (2.2.6) follows easily by choosing  $N = n + 1 + n/r$ .

We now turn to the proof of (2.2.7). We first prove this estimate under the additional assumption that  $u$  is a bounded function. Let  $|y| \leq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  to be chosen later. We now apply the mean value theorem to write

$$u(x-z) = (\nabla u)(x-z-\xi_y) \cdot y + u(x-z-y)$$