

We now prove (iii). First we show that for all  $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$  the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=-N}^N T_j(x) \right\}_N$$

is Cauchy in  $H$ . Suppose that this is not the case. This means that there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x \in H \setminus \{0\}$ , and a subsequence of integers  $1 \leq N_1 < N_2 < N_3 < \dots$  such that

$$\|\tilde{T}_k(x)\|_H \geq \varepsilon, \quad (4.5.9)$$

where we set

$$\tilde{T}_k(x) = \sum_{N_k < |j| \leq N_{k+1}} T_j(x).$$

For any fixed  $\omega \in [0, 1]$ , we apply conclusion (i) to the family of linear operators  $\{r_k(\omega)T_j : 1 \leq k \leq K, N_k < |j| \leq N_{k+1}\}$ , indexed by  $\Lambda = \{j \in \mathbf{Z} : N_1 < |j| \leq N_{K+1}\}$ , which clearly satisfies hypothesis (4.5.1). We obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^K r_k(\omega) \sum_{N_k < |j| \leq N_{k+1}} T_j(x) \right\|_H = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^K r_k(\omega) \tilde{T}_k(x) \right\|_H \leq A \|x\|_H.$$

Squaring and integrating this inequality with respect to  $\omega$  in  $[0, 1]$ , and using (4.5.8) with  $\tilde{T}_k$  in the place of  $T_k$  and  $\{1, 2, \dots, K\}$  in the place of  $\Lambda$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \|\tilde{T}_k(x)\|_H^2 \leq A^2 \|x\|_H^2.$$

But this clearly contradicts (4.5.9) as  $K \rightarrow \infty$ .

We conclude that every sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=-N}^N T_j(x) \right\}_N$$

is Cauchy in  $H$  and thus it converges to  $T(x)$  for some linear operator  $T$ . In view of conclusion (i), it follows that  $T$  is a bounded operator on  $H$  with norm at most  $A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.2.** At first sight, it appears strange that the norm of the operator  $T$  is independent of the norm of every piece  $T_j$  and depends only on the quantity  $A$  in (4.5.1). But as observed in the proof, if we take  $j = k$  in (4.5.1), we obtain

$$\|T_j\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 = \|T_j T_j^*\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq \gamma(0) \leq A^2;$$

thus the norm of each individual  $T_j$  is also controlled by the constant  $A$ .

We also note that there wasn't anything special about the role of the index set  $\mathbf{Z}$  in Lemma 4.5.1. Indeed, the set  $\mathbf{Z}$  can be replaced by any countable group, such as  $\mathbf{Z}^k$  for some  $k$ . For instance, see Theorem 4.5.7, in which the index set is  $\mathbf{Z}^{2n}$ .