

*Proof.* We begin the proof by observing that as a consequence of (2.4.23) we have

$$\|T(a)\|_{L^p} \leq C_0 \quad (2.4.25)$$

for all  $a$  that are  $L^2$ -atoms for  $H^p$ . Indeed, (2.4.22) implies that for a given  $L^2$  atom  $a$  for  $H^p$ , there is sequence  $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$  such that

$$T(a) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_{\varepsilon_k}(a) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_{\varepsilon_k}(a) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then Fatou's lemma on  $L^p$  together with (2.4.23) imply (2.4.25).

Given  $f \in H^p \cap L^2$ , we write  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$  in an atomic decomposition, where  $a_j$  are  $L^2$ -atoms for  $H^p$ , the series converges to  $f$  in  $H^p$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \leq 2^p \|f\|_{H^p}^p$ .

We observe that the sequence  $\{\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j T(a_j)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy in  $L^p$  since

$$\left\| \sum_{j=N'}^N \lambda_j T(a_j) \right\|_{L^p}^p \leq \sum_{j=N'}^N |\lambda_j|^p C_0^p,$$

which tends to zero as  $N', N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the sequence  $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j T(a_j)(x)$  converges in  $L^p$  to a well-defined  $L^p$  function. We set

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T(a_j) = L^p \text{ limit of } \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j T(a_j).$$

To prove (2.4.24), we first prove an analogous result about  $T_{\varepsilon}$ , namely,

$$T_{\varepsilon}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon}(a_j) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (2.4.26)$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon}(a_j)$  denotes the  $L^p$  limit of the Cauchy sequence  $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon}(a_j)$ . We fix  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ . Then by the linearity of  $T_{\varepsilon}$  for each  $L \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left| T_{\varepsilon}(f)(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon}(a_j)(x) \right| > \delta \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left| T_{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \right)(x) - \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon}(a_j)(x) \right| > \delta \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left| T_{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \right)(x) \right| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left| \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon}(a_j)(x) \right| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2^p}{\delta^p} \left\| T_{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \right) \right\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^p}{\delta^p} \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \|T_{\varepsilon}(a_j)\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4.27)$$

By assumption (2.4.23) the second term in the sum in (2.4.27) is controlled by  $C_0^p (\frac{2}{\delta})^p \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p$  which tends to zero as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .

To show the same conclusion for the first sum in (2.4.27) we recall the grand maximal function

$$\mathcal{M}_N(f)(x) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sup_{t>0} \sup_{\substack{y \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ |y-x|<t}} |(\varphi_t * f)(y)|$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_N = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1+|x|)^N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N+1} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The function  $\zeta_\varepsilon$  lies in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ; thus there is a constant  $c_{\varepsilon,N}$  such that  $c_{\varepsilon,N} \zeta_\varepsilon$  lies in  $\mathcal{F}_N$ . Then we have

$$|T_\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \right)| \leq \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon,N}} \mathcal{M}_N \left( f - \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j a_j \right).$$

Taking  $L^p$  quasi-norms we obtain

$$\left\| T_\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \right) \right\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon,N}^p} \left\| \mathcal{M}_N \left( f - \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j a_j \right) \right\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{C_{n,p}^p}{c_{\varepsilon,N}^p} \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j a_j \right\|_{H^p}^p,$$

and since  $\sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j a_j \rightarrow f$  in  $H^p$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that the first sum in (2.4.27) tends to zero as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves that for any  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$  we have

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left| T_\varepsilon(f)(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_\varepsilon(a_j)(x) \right| > \delta \right\} \right| = 0;$$

hence (2.4.26) holds.

Next, we claim that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_\varepsilon(a_j) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T(a_j)$  in measure as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, given  $\delta > 0$ , write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_\varepsilon(a_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T(a_j) \right| > \delta \right\} \\ & \leq \left| \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j (T_\varepsilon(a_j) - T(a_j)) \right| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_\varepsilon(a_j) - \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j T(a_j) \right| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2^2}{\delta^2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j (T_\varepsilon(a_j) - T(a_j)) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2^p}{\delta^p} \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \left[ \|T_\varepsilon(a_j)\|_{L^p}^p + \|T(a_j)\|_{L^p}^p \right] \\ & \leq \frac{2^2}{\delta^2} \left\| T_\varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j a_j \right) - T \left( \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j a_j \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2^{p+1} C_0^p}{\delta^p} \sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4.28)$$