

$$C_{n,k}(1+|x|)^k \leq \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} |x^\beta| \leq D_{n,k}(1+|x|)^k. \quad (1.7.3)$$

This follows from (1.7.2) for  $|x| \geq 1$ , while for  $|x| < 1$  both terms in (1.7.3) are at least 1 as  $|x^{(0, \dots, 0)}| = 1$ . Here again  $0 < C_{n,k} < D_{n,k} < \infty$ .

We end the preliminaries by noting the validity of the one-dimensional *Leibniz rule*

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m}(fg) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{d^k f}{dt^k} \frac{d^{m-k} g}{dt^{m-k}}, \quad (1.7.4)$$

for all  $\mathcal{C}^m$  functions  $f, g$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ , and its multidimensional analog

$$\partial^\alpha(fg) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \cdots \binom{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^{\alpha-\beta} g), \quad (1.7.5)$$

for  $f, g$  in  $\mathcal{C}^{|\alpha|}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for some multi-index  $\alpha$ , where the notation  $\beta \leq \alpha$  in (1.7.5) means that  $\beta$  ranges over all multi-indices satisfying  $0 \leq \beta_j \leq \alpha_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We observe that identity (1.7.5) is easily deduced by repeated application of (1.7.4), which in turn is obtained by induction.

**Theorem 1.7.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $g \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Moreover, assume that  $\partial^\alpha \varphi$  lies in  $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . Then  $\varphi * g$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\partial^\alpha(\varphi * g) = (\partial^\alpha \varphi) * g \in L^\infty$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_j$  be the unit vector  $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  with 1 in the  $j$ th entry and zeros in all the other entries. If  $q > 1$  we initially make the additional assumption that  $g$  has compact support. If  $q = 1$  this initial assumption is not necessary.

We fix an arbitrary  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and we show that  $\varphi * g$  has a  $j$ th partial derivative at  $x_0$ , i.e., prove the case  $\alpha = e_j$ . Using the fundamental theorem of calculus write

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(g, \varphi)(t, x_0) &= \frac{(g * \varphi)(x_0 + te_j) - (g * \varphi)(x_0)}{t} - g * \partial_j \varphi(x_0) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left[ \partial_j \varphi(y + tse_j) - \partial_j \varphi(y) \right] g(x_0 - y) dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7.6)$$

We note that the integrand in (1.7.6) tends pointwise to zero as  $t \rightarrow 0$  by the fact that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1$ ; moreover it is bounded by  $2\|\partial_j \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(x_0, 2) - \text{supp } g)} |g(x_0 - \cdot)|$  which lies in  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n \times [0, 1], dy ds)$ . The last assertion follows by the hypotheses of the theorem if  $q = 1$  and by the additional assumption that it lies in  $L^q$  and is supported in a compact set, on which  $\partial_j \varphi$  is certainly bounded, if  $q > 1$ . The LDCT then yields that  $\Lambda(g, \varphi)(t, x_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for any fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  when  $g$  has compact support.

We now remove the assumption that  $g$  has compact support if  $q > 1$ . Given  $g \in L^q$ , set  $g_M(x) = g(x)\chi_{|x| \leq M}$  for  $M > 0$ . Given a point  $x_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we find an  $M$  such that  $\|g - g_M\|_{L^q} < \varepsilon$  (as  $q < \infty$ ) and we pick a  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|t| < \delta$  we have  $|\Lambda(g_M, \varphi)(t, x_0)| < \varepsilon$ . Additionally, by Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|\Lambda(g - g_M, \varphi)(t, x_0)| \leq \int_0^1 \|g - g_M\|_{L^q} \|\partial_j \varphi(\cdot + tse_j) - \partial_j \varphi\|_{L^{q'}} ds \leq 2\varepsilon \|\partial_j \varphi\|_{L^{q'}}.$$