

(b) Here $\vec{G} = \{g_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is a row vector but $\vec{S}(\vec{G})$ is now a row vector consisting of columns of length L . The j th column of $\vec{S}(\vec{G})$ is $(K_i * g_j)_{i=1}^L$. In this case we obtain (4.3.9) by repeating the proof of estimate (4.1.8) and replacing any appearance of ℓ_M^s in the range by $\ell_N^q(\ell_L^\infty)$. Note that the domain $\ell_N^q(\ell_L^1) = \ell_N^q$ remains unchanged.

The transpose operator \vec{T}^t of \vec{T} has kernel $(\widetilde{K}_1, \dots, \widetilde{K}_L)^t$ and the transpose operator \vec{S}^t of \vec{S} has kernel $(\widetilde{K}_1, \dots, \widetilde{K}_L)$, and these kernels obviously satisfy (4.3.5) and (4.3.6). So, modulo the reflection of the K_j , the operators \vec{T} and \vec{S} are transposes of one another. Next we interpolate between $\vec{T} : L^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^q(\ell_L^1)) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^q)$ and estimate (4.3.7). Using Exercise 1.3.7, we obtain for $1 < p < r$

$$\|\vec{T}\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^q(\ell_L^1)) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^q)} \leq 2C_n' \left(\frac{p}{p-1} + \frac{p}{r-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (A_2 + B_*). \quad (4.3.11)$$

If $r = \infty$, the constant in (4.3.11) raised to the power $1/p$ is bounded by $C(p) = p(p-1)^{-1}$. Now if $r < \infty$, notice that \vec{T}^t maps $L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^{q'})$ to $L^{r'}(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^{q'}(\ell_L^\infty))$ with bound B_* . As the kernel of \vec{T}^t satisfies the same estimates as that of \vec{S} , it follows that \vec{T}^t also admits a bounded extension from $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^{q'})$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^{q'}(\ell_L^\infty))$ with bound at most $C_n'(A_2 + B_*)$. By interpolation (Exercise 1.3.7) we obtain for $1 < p' < r'$

$$\|\vec{T}^t\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^{q'}) \rightarrow L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}^n, \ell_N^{q'}(\ell_L^\infty))} \leq 2C_n' \left(\frac{p'}{p'-1} + \frac{p'}{r'-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} (A_2 + B_*). \quad (4.3.12)$$

Estimates (4.3.11) and (4.3.12) imply statements analogous to (4.1.19) and (4.1.20) with ℓ_N^q replaced by $\ell_N^q(\ell_L^1)$ and ℓ_M^s replaced by ℓ_N^q . The rest of the argument proceeds as that in the proof of Theorem 4.1.1. A completely analogous argument is also valid for \vec{S} . Combining these ingredients completes the proof. \square

We now pass to an application which extends the discussion in Example 4.2.4. Let $\Phi(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-n-\gamma}$ be as in that example. For a fixed odd positive integer L let $\{t_1, \dots, t_L\} = \{2^{-[L/2]}, 2^{-[L/2]+1}, \dots, 2^{[L/2]}\}$. We consider the $L \times 1$ matrix $\vec{K}(x) = (\Phi_{t_1}(x), \dots, \Phi_{t_L}(x))^t$ defined on \mathbf{R}^n . This matrix can be viewed as the operator

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{t_1}(x) \\ \Phi_{t_2}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{t_L}(x) \end{pmatrix} (a_1 \ a_2 \cdots a_N) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{t_1}(x)a_1 & \Phi_{t_1}(x)a_2 & \cdots & \Phi_{t_1}(x)a_N \\ \Phi_{t_2}(x)a_1 & \Phi_{t_2}(x)a_2 & \cdots & \Phi_{t_2}(x)a_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi_{t_L}(x)a_1 & \Phi_{t_L}(x)a_2 & \cdots & \Phi_{t_L}(x)a_N \end{pmatrix},$$

which maps ℓ_N^r to $\ell_N^r(\ell_L^\infty)$ with norm

$$\|\vec{K}(x)\|_{\ell_N^r \rightarrow \ell_N^r(\ell_L^\infty)} = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq L} |\Phi_{t_i}(x)|.$$

Properties (4.3.5) and (4.3.6) are proved via the arguments yielding (4.2.7) and (4.2.8), respectively. Additionally, for $1 < r < \infty$, the estimate