

for all  $x \in \mathbf{T}^n$ . We show that the family  $\{L^{z,R}\}_{R>0}$  is an approximate identity on  $\mathbf{T}^n$  when  $\operatorname{Re} z > \frac{n-1}{2}$ ; on this see the related Exercise 3.1.3. Obviously, using (4.1.19) we have that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^n} |L^{z,R}(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |K^z(y)| dy = C''(n, \operatorname{Re} z) e^{10|\operatorname{Im} z|^2} < \infty \quad (4.1.20)$$

for some constant  $C''(n, \operatorname{Re} z)$ , and also

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^n} L^{z,R}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K^z(y) dy = m_z(0) = 1$$

for all  $R > 0$  when  $\operatorname{Re} z > \frac{n-1}{2}$ . Moreover, for  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$  using (4.1.19) we have

$$\int_{\delta \leq \sup_j |x_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |L^{z,R}(x)| dx \leq \frac{C_{n,z}}{R^{\operatorname{Re} z - \frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{\delta \leq \sup_j |x_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \frac{1}{|x + \ell|^{n + \operatorname{Re} z - \frac{n-1}{2}}} dx \rightarrow 0,$$

thus the integral of  $L^{z,R}$  over  $[-1/2, 1/2]^n \setminus [-\delta, \delta]^n$  tends to zero as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using Theorem 1.2.19, we obtain these conclusions for  $\operatorname{Re} z > \frac{n-1}{2}$ :

- (a) For  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^n)$ ,  $B_R^z(f)$  converge to  $f$  in  $L^1$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (b) For  $f$  continuous on  $\mathbf{T}^n$ ,  $B_R^z(f)$  converge to  $f$  uniformly as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

We turn to the corresponding results for  $1 < p < \infty$ . We have that

$$\operatorname{Re} z > \frac{n-1}{2} \implies \sup_{R>0} \|B_R^z\|_{L^1(\mathbf{T}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbf{T}^n)} = C''(n, \operatorname{Re} z) e^{10|\operatorname{Im} z|^2} \quad (4.1.21)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} z = 0 \implies \sup_{R>0} \|B_R^z\|_{L^2(\mathbf{T}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{T}^n)} = \|m_z\|_{L^\infty} = 1. \quad (4.1.22)$$

The family of operators  $f \mapsto B_R^z(f)$  is of admissible growth for all  $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$ , since for all measurable subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbf{T}^n$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} B_R^z(\chi_A) \chi_B dx \right| = \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \widehat{\chi_A}(\mathbf{k}/R) m^z(k) \widehat{\chi_B}(k) \right| \leq \sum_{|k| \leq R} 1 \leq C_n R^n,$$

thus condition (1.3.23) holds. Moreover, hypothesis (1.3.24) of Theorem 1.3.7 holds in view of (4.1.21) and (4.1.22). Applying Theorem 1.3.7 (or rather Exercise 1.3.4 in which the strip  $[0, 1] \times \mathbf{R}$  is replaced by the more general strip  $[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}$ ) we obtain that when  $\alpha = \operatorname{Re} z > (n-1)|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|$ , we have

$$\sup_{R>0} \|B_R^\alpha\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{T}^n)} < \infty.$$

Finally, using Corollary 4.1.3, we deduce that  $B_R^\alpha(f) \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{T}^n)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T}^n)$ .  $\square$

The preceding result is sharp in the case  $p = 1$  (Theorem 4.2.5). For this reason, the number  $\alpha = (n-1)/2$  is referred to as the *critical index of Bochner–Riesz summability*.