

$$S'_N(g)(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} \widehat{g}(m) e^{2\pi i m x}$$

from  $L^p$  to  $L^p$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_{N \geq 0} \|S_N\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} < \infty \iff \sup_{N \geq 0} \|S'_N\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} < \infty, \quad (4.1.10)$$

and both of these statements are equivalent to the fact that for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T}^1)$ ,  $S_N(f) \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have already observed that the  $L^p$  boundedness of the conjugate function is equivalent to that of  $P_+$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $P_+$  is equivalent to the uniform  $L^p$  boundedness of  $S'_N$ .

Suppose first that  $\sup_{N \geq 0} \|S'_N\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} < \infty$ . Theorem 4.1.1 applied to the sequence  $a(m, R) = 1$  for  $0 \leq m \leq R$  and  $a(m, R) = 0$  otherwise gives that the operator  $A(f) = P_+(f) + \widehat{f}(0)$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{T}^1)$ . Hence so is  $P_+$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $P_+$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbf{T}^1)$  to itself. For all  $h$  in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^1)$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} S'_N(h)(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \widehat{h}(m) e^{2\pi i m x} - \sum_{m=2N+1}^{\infty} \widehat{h}(m) e^{2\pi i m x} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{h}(m) e^{2\pi i m x} + \widehat{h}(0) - e^{2\pi i (2N)x} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{h}(m+2N) e^{2\pi i m x} \\ &= P_+(h)(x) - e^{2\pi i (2N)x} P_+(e^{-2\pi i (2N)(\cdot)} h)(x) + \widehat{h}(0). \end{aligned}$$

This identity implies that

$$\sup_{N \geq 0} \|S'_N(f)\|_{L^p} \leq (2\|P_+\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} + 1) \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (4.1.11)$$

for all  $f$  smooth, and by density for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T}^1)$ . Note that  $S'_N$  is well defined on  $L^p(\mathbf{T}^1)$ . Thus the operators  $S'_N$  are uniformly bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{T}^1)$ .

Thus the uniform  $L^p$  boundedness of  $S_N$  is equivalent to the uniform  $L^p$  boundedness of  $S'_N$ , which is equivalent to the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $P_+$ , which in turn is equivalent to the  $L^p$  boundedness of the conjugate function.  $\square$

### 4.1.2 The $L^p$ Boundedness of the Conjugate Function

We know now that convergence of Fourier series in  $L^p$  is equivalent to the  $L^p$  boundedness of the conjugate function or either of the two Riesz projections. It is natural to ask whether these operators are  $L^p$  bounded.