

where the equality $T(f)(x+y) = H(x+y)$ holds a.e. in y . Thus the continuous functions H_x and $\tau^{-x}H$ are equal a.e. and thus they must be everywhere equal, in particular, when $y = 0$. This proves that $H_x(0) = H(x)$, which is a restatement of (2.5.3). \square

We now return to Lemmas 2.5.3 and 2.5.4. We begin with Lemma 2.5.3.

Proof. Consider first the multi-index $\alpha = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, where 1 is in the j th entry and 0 is elsewhere. Let $e_j = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, where 1 is in the j th entry and zero elsewhere. We have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} T(f)(y) \frac{\varphi(y+he_j) - \varphi(y)}{h} dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(y) T\left(\frac{\tau^{he_j}(f) - f}{h}\right)(y) dy \quad (2.5.4)$$

since both of these expressions are equal to

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(y) \frac{T(f)(y-he_j) - T(f)(y)}{h} dy$$

and T commutes with translations. We will let $h \rightarrow 0$ in both sides of (2.5.4). We write

$$\frac{\varphi(y+he_j) - \varphi(y)}{h} = \int_0^1 \partial_j \varphi(y+hte_j) dt,$$

from which it follows that for $|h| < 1/2$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(y+he_j) - \varphi(y)}{h} \right| \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C_M dt}{(1+|y+hte_j|)^M} \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C_M dt}{(1+|y|-\frac{1}{2})^M} \leq \frac{C'_M}{(|y|+1)^M}.$$

The integrand on the left-hand side of (2.5.4) is bounded by the integrable function $|T(f)(y)|C'_M(|y|+1)^{-M}$ and converges to $T(f)(y)\partial_j\varphi(y)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields that the integral on the left-hand side of (2.5.4) converges to

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} T(f)(y) \partial_j \varphi(y) dy = -\langle \partial_j T(f), \varphi \rangle, \quad (2.5.5)$$

where $\partial_j T(f)$ is the distributional derivative of $T(f)$. Moreover, for a Schwartz function f we have

$$\frac{\tau^{he_j}(f)(y) - f(y)}{-h} = \int_0^1 \partial_j f(y-hte_j) dt,$$

which converges to $\partial_j f(y)$ pointwise as $h \rightarrow 0$ and is bounded by $C'_M(1+|y|)^{-M}$ for $|h| < 1/2$ by an argument similar to the preceding one for φ in place of f . Thus

$$\frac{\tau^{he_j}(f) - f}{-h} \rightarrow \partial_j f \quad \text{in } L^p \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.5.6)$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. The boundedness of T from L^p to L^q yields that

$$T\left(\frac{\tau^{he_j}(f) - f}{h}\right) \rightarrow -T(\partial_j f) \quad \text{in } L^q \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.5.7)$$

Since $\varphi \in L^q$, by Hölder's inequality, the right-hand side of (2.5.4) converges to

$$-\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(y) T(\partial_j f)(y) dy = -\langle T(\partial_j f), \varphi \rangle$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. This limit is equal to (2.5.5) and the required conclusion follows for $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. The general case follows by induction on $|\alpha|$. \square

We now prove Lemma 2.5.4.

Proof. Let $R \geq 1$. Fix a \mathcal{C}_0^∞ function φ_R that is equal to 1 in the ball $|x| \leq R$ and equal to zero when $|x| \geq 2R$. Since h is in $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$, it follows that $\varphi_R h$ is in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We show that $\widehat{\varphi_R h}$ is also in L^1 . We begin with the inequality

$$1 \leq C_n (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} |(-2\pi i x)^\alpha|, \quad (2.5.8)$$

which is just a restatement of (2.2.3). Now multiply (2.5.8) by $|\widehat{\varphi_R h}(x)|$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\varphi_R h}(x)| &\leq C_n (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} |(-2\pi i x)^\alpha \widehat{\varphi_R h}(x)| \\ &\leq C_n (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \|(\partial^\alpha (\varphi_R h))^\wedge\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C_n (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \|\partial^\alpha (\varphi_R h)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C_n (2^n R^n \nu_n)^{1/q'} (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \|\partial^\alpha (\varphi_R h)\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C_{n,R} (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \|\partial^\alpha h\|_{L^q}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Leibniz's rule (Proposition 2.3.22 (14)) and the fact that all derivatives of φ_R are pointwise bounded by constants depending on R .

Integrate the previously displayed inequality with respect to x to obtain

$$\|\widehat{\varphi_R h}\|_{L^1} \leq C_{R,n} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \|\partial^\alpha h\|_{L^q} < \infty. \quad (2.5.9)$$

Therefore, Fourier inversion holds for $\varphi_R h$ (see Exercise 2.2.6). This implies that $\varphi_R h$ is equal a.e. to a continuous function, namely the inverse Fourier transform of its Fourier transform. Since $\varphi_R = 1$ on the ball $B(0, R)$, we conclude that h is a.e. equal to a continuous function in this ball. Since $R > 0$ was arbitrary, it follows that