2.3 The Class of Tempered Distributions

Theorem 2.3.20. If $u \in \mathscr{S}'$ and $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$, then $\varphi * u$ is a \mathscr{C}^{∞} function and

$$(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ast \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{x}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \rangle$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, there is a positive constant *m* and for all multi-indices α there exists a constant C_{α} such that

$$|\partial^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ast \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x})| \leq C_{\alpha}(1+|\boldsymbol{x}|)^{\boldsymbol{m}}$$

Furthermore, if u has compact support, then $\varphi * u$ is a Schwartz function.

Proof. Let ψ be in $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We have

$$\begin{split} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} \ast \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle &= \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \ast \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle \\ &= u \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\cdot - \boldsymbol{y}) \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{y}) \, d\boldsymbol{y} \right) \\ &= u \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\tau^{\boldsymbol{y}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})(\cdot) \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{y}) \, d\boldsymbol{y} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \tau^{\boldsymbol{y}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \rangle \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{y}) \, d\boldsymbol{y}, \end{split}$$
(2.3.20)

where the last step is justified by the continuity of *u* and by the fact that the Riemann sums of the inner integral in (2.3.20) converge to that integral in the topology of \mathcal{S} , a fact that will be justified later. This calculation identifies $\varphi * u$ with the function

$$(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ast \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{x}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \right\rangle. \tag{2.3.21}$$

We now show that $(\varphi * u)(x)$ is a \mathscr{C}^{∞} function. Let $e_j = (0, ..., 1, ..., 0)$ with 1 in the *j*th entry and zero elsewhere. Then

$$\frac{\tau^{-he_j}(\varphi \ast u)(x) - (\varphi \ast u)(x)}{h} = \left\langle u, \frac{\tau^{he_j}\tau^x \widetilde{\varphi} - \tau^x \widetilde{\varphi}}{h} \right\rangle \to \left\langle u, -\partial_j \tau^x \widetilde{\varphi} \right\rangle$$

by the continuity of *u* and the fact that $(\tau^{he_j}(\tau^x \widetilde{\varphi}) - \tau^x \widetilde{\varphi})/h$ tends to $-\partial_j \tau^x \widetilde{\varphi} = \tau^x (\widetilde{\partial_j \varphi})$ in \mathscr{S} as $h \to 0$; see Exercise 2.3.5 (a). This gives $\partial_j(\varphi * u) = \partial_j \varphi * u$ and a similar calculation for higher-order derivatives shows that $\varphi * u \in \mathscr{C}^\infty$ and that $\partial^\gamma(\varphi * u) = (\partial^\gamma \varphi) * u$ for all multi-indices γ . It follows from (2.3.3) that for some *C*, *m*, and *k* we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ast \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x})| &\leq C \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \leq m \\ |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq k}} \sup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \\ |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq k}} |\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{x}} (\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})(\boldsymbol{y})| \\ &= C \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \leq m \\ |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq k}} \sup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \\ |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq k}} |(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y})^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} (\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})(\boldsymbol{y})| \\ &\leq C_{m} \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq k \\ |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq k}} \sup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}}} (1 + |\boldsymbol{x}|)^{m} (1 + |\boldsymbol{y}|)^{m} |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})(\boldsymbol{y})|, \end{aligned}$$
(2.3.22)

and this clearly implies the claimed polynomial growth of $\partial^{\alpha}(\varphi * u)$ at infinity.

We now indicate why $\varphi * u$ is Schwartz whenever *u* has compact support. Applying estimate (2.3.4) to the function $y \mapsto \varphi(x - y)$ yields that

$$|\langle u, \varphi(x-\cdot) \rangle| = |(\varphi * u)(x)| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \sup_{|y| \le N} |\partial_y^{\alpha} \varphi(x-y)|$$

for some constants C, m, N. Since for $|x| \ge 2N$ we have

$$|\partial_{y}^{\alpha}\varphi(x-y)| \leq C_{\alpha,M}(1+|x-y|)^{-M} \leq C_{\alpha,M,N}(1+|x|)^{-M},$$

it follows that $\varphi * u$ decays rapidly at infinity. Since $\partial^{\gamma}(\varphi * u) = (\partial^{\gamma}\varphi) * u$, the same argument yields that all the derivatives of $\varphi * u$ decay rapidly at infinity; hence $\varphi * u$ is a Schwartz function. Incidentally, this argument actually shows that any Schwartz seminorm of $\varphi * u$ is controlled by a finite sum of Schwartz seminorms of φ .

We now return to the point left open concerning the convergence of the Riemann sums in (2.3.20) in the topology of $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For each N = 1, 2, ..., consider a partition of $[-N,N]^n$ into $(2N^2)^n$ cubes Q_m of side length 1/N and let y_m be the center of each Q_m . For multi-indices α, β , we must show that

$$D_N(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{(2N^2)^n} x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \widetilde{\varphi}(x - y_m) \psi(y_m) |Q_m| - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \widetilde{\varphi}(x - y) \psi(y) \, dy$$

converges to zero in $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as $N \to \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\widetilde{\varphi}(x-y_{m})\psi(y_{m})|Q_{m}| &-\int_{Q_{m}}x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\widetilde{\varphi}(x-y)\psi(y)\,dy\\ &=\int_{Q_{m}}x^{\alpha}(y-y_{m})\cdot\nabla\big(\partial_{x}^{\beta}\widetilde{\varphi}(x-y)\psi\big)(\xi)\,dy\end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi = y + \theta(y_m - y)$, where $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Distributing the gradient to both factors, we see that the last integrand is at most

$$C|x|^{|\alpha|} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{N} \frac{1}{(1+|x-\xi|)^{M/2}} \frac{1}{(2+|\xi|)^M}$$

for *M* large (pick $M > 2 \max\{|\alpha|, n\}$), which in turn is at most

$$C' |x|^{|\alpha|} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{N} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{M/2}} \frac{1}{(2+|\xi|)^{M/2}} \leq C' |x|^{|\alpha|} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{N} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{M/2}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{M/2}} \,,$$

since $|y| \le |\xi| + \theta |y - y_m| \le |\xi| + \sqrt{n}/N \le |\xi| + 1$ for $N \ge \sqrt{n}$. Inserting the estimate obtained for the integrand in the last displayed integral, we obtain

$$|D_N(x)| \leq \frac{C''}{N} \frac{|x|^{|\alpha|}}{(1+|x|)^{M/2}} \int_{[-N,N]^n} \frac{dy}{(1+|y|)^{M/2}} + \int_{([-N,N]^n)^c} |x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \widetilde{\varphi}(x-y) \psi(y)| \, dy \, .$$

128